DRAFT FOR REVIEW

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION TO SUPPORT THE REVIEW OF THE PROPOSED GOALS AND TARGETS IN THE UPDATED ZERO DRAFT OF THE POST-2020 GLOBAL BIODIVERSITY FRAMEWORK

DETAILED SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION RELATED TO THE PROPOSED GOALS AND TARGETS (CBD/SBSTTA/24/INF/21)

Note by the Executive Secretary

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. The post 2020 global biodiversity framework is due to be adopted by the Conference of the Parties at its fifteenth meeting. The Co-Chairs of the Open-ended Working Group on the Post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework, together with the Executive Secretary, developed a "zero draft" of the framework, published in January 2020, as requested by the Working Group at its first meeting. An "updated zero draft" was published in August 2020, in the light of the discussions of the second meeting of the Working Group. A "first draft" will be prepared ahead of the third meeting of the Working Group, taking into account the outcomes of the twenty-fourth meeting of the Subsidiary Body on Scientific, Technical and Technological Advice and the third meeting of the Subsidiary Body on Implementation.
- 2. The Working Group at its second meeting invited the Subsidiary Body on Scientific, Technical and Technological Advice at its twenty-fourth meeting to carry out a scientific and technical review of the updated goals and targets, and requested the Executive Secretary to provide information to support that review. Accordingly, the present draft information document, ³ prepared in collaboration with the UN Environment Programme World Conservation Monitoring Centre and the financial support of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, provides detailed scientific and technical information related to the proposed 2050 goals and 2030 targets of the updated zero draft of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework. The information in this document was used in the preparation of CBD/SBSTTA/24/3/ADD2⁴ on scientific and technical information to support the review of the proposed goals and targets in the updated zero draft of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework.
- 3. In the present document, for each proposed goal and target in post-2020 global biodiversity framework, information⁵ is provided on the rationale for having a goal or target on the issue being addressed, on the current status and trends of the issue, a set of considerations related to the formulation of the proposed goal or target and general global level considerations for monitoring progress. Links between the proposed goals and targets are also discussed. More detailed proposals for monitoring implementation of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework, including a proposed monitoring approach, and a proposed set of headline, component and thematic indicators, are presented in document CBD/SBSTTA/24/INF/16. In addition, more detailed information on indicators is available in document CBD/SBSTTA/24/INF/16. In addition, more detailed information on the links between the proposed goals and targets of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework and the Sustainable Development Goals is presented in information document CBD/SBSTTA/24/INF/12.

¹ CBD/WG2020/2/3.

² CBD/POST2020/PREP/2/1.

³This draft document will be revised following a peer-review process and reissued as an information document for the Subsidiary Body on Scientific, Technical and Technological Advice at its twenty-fourth meeting.

⁴ This document was also made available for peer review from https://www.cbd.int/sbstta24/review.shtml. Review comments received on document CBD/SBSTTA/24/3/ADD2 will also be considered in the revision of the present document.

⁵Given the interconnections between the proposed goals and targets of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework, information has been included where it is most relevant in order to reduce repetition and limit the length of the text. However, some of the information under a given proposed goal or target may also be relevant to other goals and/or targets.

II. SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION RELATED TO THE PROPOSED GOALS OF THE POST-2020 GLOBAL BIODIVERSITY FRAMEWORK

Ecosystems, species and genetic diversity:6

Goal A - The area, connectivity and integrity of natural ecosystems increased by at least [X%] supporting healthy and resilient populations of all species while reducing the number of species that are threatened by [X%] and maintaining genetic diversity

1. This proposed goal addresses all three levels of biodiversity: ecosystems, species and genetic diversity. Here, they are examined in turn.

A. Ecosystems

- 2. The extent and integrity of ecosystems are essential for the protection of species and genetic diversity, ecosystem functioning and for the continued provision of ecosystem services or nature's contributions to people. This proposed element of the goal is thus indispensable to the achievement of the 2050 Vision for Biodiversity (Living in harmony with nature where, by 2050, biodiversity is valued, conserved, restored and wisely used, maintaining ecosystem services, sustaining a healthy planet and delivering benefits essential for all people).
- 3. Natural ecosystems are understood to be those whose species composition is predominantly native and determined by the climatic and geophysical environment. Integrity refers to the compositional functional, structural and spatial components of ecosystems. Connectivity is important to maintain the integrity of ecosystems across otherwise fragmented patches.

Status and trends

- 4. The status and trends of ecosystem vary by ecosystem type and by geographic area. For example:
- (a) The rate of deforestation was around 10 million hectares per year between 2015 and 2020, but with widely varying trends in different countries and regions of the world, and loss of tree cover from tropical primary forests has been particularly high in the second half of this decade. Globally about 40% of forests have high landscape-level integrity. Further a recent study of more than 130 million tropical forest fragments on three continents found that forest fragmentation was close to a critical point, beyond which fragments will greatly increase in number and reduce in size.
- (b) Between 2000 and 2012 the rate of deforestation of mangrove had substantially decreased at the global level but remained high in Southeast Asia where half of all mangroves are located. 10

⁶ The text in this subsection is largely based on CBD/SBSTTA/24/INF/9 and Diaz et al (2020) Set ambitious goals for biodiversity and sustainability, Science 370, 411-413, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abe1530. It also draws on the IPBES Global Assessment and the fifth edition of the *Global Biodiversity Outlook* and references therein. Additional references are indicated in the text for specific points.

⁷ FAO (2020) Global Forest Resources Assessment 2020: Main report. Rome. https://doi.org/10.4060/ca9825en

⁸ Grantham et al (2020) Anthropogenic modification of forests means only 40% of remaining forests have high ecosystem integrity. Nature Communications 11, 5978. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19493-3

⁹ Taubert et al (2018) Global patterns of tropical forest fragmentation. Nature, 554(7693), 519–522. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25508

¹⁰ Hamilton and Casey (2016) Creation of a high spatiotemporal resolution global database of continuous mangrove forest cover for the 21st century (CGMFC-21). Global Ecology and Biogeography, 25: 729-738 https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12449; Biodiversity Indicators Partnership (2020) CGMFC-21 - Continuous Global Mangrove Forest Cover for the 21st Century. https://www.bipindicators.net/indicators/cgmfc-21-continuous-global-mangrove-forest-cover-for-the-21st-century

- (c) The area covered by natural wetlands has reduced by an average of 35% worldwide between 1970 and 2015. Losses have been relatively greater in coastal areas than inland areas. ¹¹ Permanent surface water was lost from an area of almost nine million hectares between 1984 and 2015. Over the same period, new permanent bodies of water covering more than 18 million hectares have formed elsewhere, largely from reservoir filling. ¹²
- (d) Rivers are becoming increasingly fragmented, further threatening freshwater biodiversity. An assessment in 2019 of the connectivity status of 12 million kilometres of rivers globally found that only 37 per cent of rivers longer than 1,000 kilometres remained free-flowing over their entire length, and 23 per cent flowed uninterrupted to the ocean. Turther a recent study concluded that more than half of the world's river basins were heavily impacted by human activities. The state of the connectivity status of 12 million kilometres of rivers globally found that only 37 per cent of rivers longer than 1,000 kilometres remained free-flowing over their entire length, and 23 per cent flowed uninterrupted to the ocean. The state of the connectivity status of 12 million kilometres of rivers globally found that only 37 per cent of rivers longer than 1,000 kilometres remained free-flowing over their entire length, and 23 per cent flowed uninterrupted to the ocean. The state of the connectivity status of 12 million kilometres of rivers globally found that only 37 per cent flowed uninterrupted to the ocean.
- (e) Multiple pressures threaten coral reefs. While overfishing and destructive fishing practices are the most pervasive and immediate drivers of loss, higher sea temperatures have led to an increase in mass coral bleaching, compounded by the impact of ocean acidification. A recent analysis of coral bleaching over the last two decades, based on information from 3351 sites in 81 countries, found that the probability of coral bleaching has been increasing over time and preliminary analysis in 2020 of long-term data trends from nearly 700 coral reef sites around the world shows a decline in the level of hard coral cover. Thurther there has also been a significant shift in the composition of coral reef communities in many locations, away from faster growing species that create complex habitat for reef-dwelling species, and towards slower-growing corals more resistant to higher temperatures, but offering less niche-space to other species.
- (f) An estimated 3.3 million square kilometres of wilderness has been lost since the early 1990s, accounting for nearly one tenth of the total wilderness remaining at that time. In this context, wilderness refers to landscapes that are largely intact and relatively free of human disturbance although many are occupied by and essential for indigenous peoples and local communities. By 2015, less than one quarter of the Earth's land surface (23.2%) was estimated to remain as wilderness.¹⁹

¹¹ Darrah, S. E., Shennan-Farpón, Y., Loh, J., Davidson, N. C., Finlayson, C. M., Gardner, R. C., & Walpole, M. J. (2019). Improvements to the Wetland Extent Trends (WET) index as a tool for monitoring natural and human-made wetlands. Ecological Indicators, 99, 294–298. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOLIND.2018.12.032; Biodiversity Indicators Partnership (2020). Wetland Extent Trends (WET) index https://www.bipindicators.net/indicators/wetland-extent-trends-index.

¹² Pekel, J.-F., Cottam, A., Gorelick, N., & Belward, A. S. (2016). High-resolution mapping of global surface water and its long-term changes. Nature, 540(7633), 418–422. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20584

¹³ Grill, G et al. 2019. Mapping the World's Free-Flowing Rivers. Nature 569(7755): 215–21. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1111-9

¹⁴ Su et al (2021) Human impacts on global freshwater fish biodiversity. Science 371, 835–838. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abd3369

¹⁵ IPBES (2019): Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. IPBES Secretariat, Bonn, Germany;

¹⁶ Hughes, T.P., Barnes, M.L., Bellwood, D.R., Cinner, J.E., Cumming, G.S., Jackson, J.B.C. et al. (2017). Coral reefs in the Anthropocene. Nature, 546, 82-90 3. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22901

¹⁷ Although the decline is less than expected based on past studies on coral cover and reef health. This is likely due to a number of factors including the small number of datasets available from before the 1990s, the high level of regional variation and a tendency to select relatively healthy reef sites to begin monitoring programmes. For further details see GCRMN (2020) Status of Coral Reefs of the World Report, Global Coral Reef Monitoring Network. https://gcrmn.net/about-gcrmn/2020-global-report-status-coral-reefs/

¹⁸ Jackson et al. (2014). Status and Trends of Caribbean Coral Reefs: 1970-2012. GCRMN/ICRI/UNEP/IUCN; Moritz et al (2018) Status and Trends of Coral Reefs of the Pacific. GCRMN; Obura et al. (2017). Coral Reef Status Report for the Western Indian Ocean. GCRMN/ICRI All available online at: www.gcrmn.net

¹⁹ IPBES (2019): Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany. https://ipbes.net/global-assessment; Watson, et al (2016). Catastrophic Declines in Wilderness Areas Undermine Global Environment Targets. Current Biology, 26(21), 2929–2934. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CUB.2016.08.049

5. Overall, both the extent and integrity of most natural ecosystems continues to decline, and these trends will continue under business-as-usual scenarios. This would lead to further extinctions, further reductions in the abundance of species populations and genetic diversity and continued decline in ecosystem functions and services. In some cases, major disruptions in ecosystem functioning at regional scales is projected and the stability of the earth system could be compromised. However, other scenarios demonstrate that it is possible to reverse these trends and to achieve a substantial increase in the overall extent and integrity of natural ecosystems by 2050, which would help to protect species, genetic diversity and the provision of ecosystem services.

Considerations

- 6. The world's terrestrial, marine and freshwater habitats exist on a gradient from natural to highly managed. How these habitats are protected, managed, and/or restored will play a major role in reaching the 2050 Vision for Biodiversity.
- 7. To reach the 2050 Vision, a significant net increase in both area and integrity of natural ecosystems is needed. This will need to be achieved by avoiding further loss where possible, reducing current rates of loss elsewhere, and restoring both converted and degraded ecosystems to reverse overall trends. Models, scenarios and other studies suggest that an increase in the extent of natural ecosystems of the order of 10 to 15 per cent, globally, across all ecosystem types, by 2050 may be feasible. A viable pathway towards such an outcome requires that net gain, or at minimum no net loss, be achieved by 2030.
- 8. Ecosystem restoration will be an essential part of efforts to achieve this goal. For example, some estimates suggest that converting 20% of terrestrial managed ecosystems to "natural" ecosystems could reduce the global terrestrial extinction debt (predicted future extinction based on current pressures) by up to 70%. However, while restoration will be essential it also has limitations. For example, restoration outcomes generally result in ecosystems with lower diversity and functionality than undisturbed ones. Further, some ecosystems are not amendable to restoration activities in the time frame covered by the post-2020 global biodiversity framework. Given this, priority should be given to retaining existing natural ecosystems. In particular, the loss of existing intact and wilderness areas, areas with high integrity and biodiversity value, rare or vulnerable ecosystems, those essential for planetary function, and those which cannot be restored should be avoided.
- 9. With regards to restoration, it should be noted that the recovery of ecosystem integrity, (including species diversity and abundance and communities of species interacting within ecosystems) lags behind recovery of ecosystem extent. So, achieving no net loss in biodiversity by a certain date would require achieving no net loss in ecosystem extent at an earlier date. Further net gain, or no net loss approaches, if not qualified, carry high risk of harmful outcomes. Thus, in accounting for net changes, safeguards would be needed, for example, to ensure that any loss is replaced by the same or similar ecosystems and that critical ecosystems are not lost.
- 10. An effective network of protected areas and other effective area-based conservation measures (OECMs) will be an important tool in ensuring the extent and integrity of ecosystems. The areas covered by such a network is as, if not more, important than the total area of land or sea covered. If protected areas and other effective area-based measures are not properly situated their impact on conservation may be limited (see also proposed target 2).
- 11. The outcomes of conservation and restoration activities for the abundance and diversity of species, genetic diversity and ecosystem functions and services strongly depend on location. Spatial targeting is therefore essential to achieve synergies with other aspects of this goal. Further ecosystems are used for

²⁰ For example, see Leclère et al. (2020). Bending the curve of terrestrial biodiversity needs an integrated strategy. Nature. 585, 551–556 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2705-y; Strassburg et al (2020). Global priority areas for ecosystem restoration. *Nature* 586:724–729. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2784-9

²¹ Bernardo et al (2020) Global priority areas for ecosystem restoration. Nature 586, 724–729 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2784-9

various purposes and provide a range of essential ecosystem services on which people depend. The demand for ecosystem services by people may at times compete with conservation and sustainable use objectives. Given this there will be a need to balance competing demands on ecosystems. Integrated land use or ecosystem use planning will be an important tool in the effective management of these demands by helping to obtain maximum benefits from conservation and restoration while avoiding or managing trade-offs (proposed target 1).

- 12. The conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity is also important in areas beyond "natural" ecosystems including in agricultural and urban environments. Such "managed" ecosystems (those whose biotic composition is the result of deliberate manipulation by people) can provide important habitats, and contribute to habitat connectivity, for some species, as well as being essential for ecosystem functioning and services (proposed target 9).
- 13. The actions towards this proposed goal would contribute towards the attainment of a number of targets under the Sustainable Development Goals, including SDG Targets 15.1, ²² 15.2, ²³ and 15.5. ²⁴ Similarly actions towards this proposed goal could also contribute to reaching the commitments set out in the Paris Agreement adopted under the United Framework Convention on Climate Change and to land degradation neutrality under the United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification.

Monitoring

14. Monitoring progress towards this goal will require information on both the extent and integrity of natural ecosystems. Given the diversity of ecosystems which exist, their dynamics and the different pressures on them, information which can be disaggregated by ecosystem type will likely also be necessary. In addition information on ecosystem restoration could also help to monitor progress towards this goal. Information on the extent of natural ecosystems is available, particularly with advances in remote sensing. There is comparatively less information on ecosystem integrity. With regards to restoration, various initiatives track commitments in this respect, however there is limited comprehensive information on the outcomes of restoration activities and on the total area restored or under restoration.

Links to other proposed targets

15. Proposed target 1, addressing land-/sea-use change, contributes directly to improving ecosystem extent, while targets 4-7 address other direct drivers of biodiversity decline. Proposed target 2 on area-based conservation would address most direct drivers for specific sites. Proposed targets 3 and 8 would also support the attainment of this goal to the extent that actions to promote the management of wild species address issues related to the extent and integrity of ecosystems. Proposed targets 9, 10 and 11 would directly contribute to improving the integrity of managed ecosystems. Proposed targets 12-20 would contribute to all aspects of this goal by addressing the indirect drivers of biodiversity change.

B. Species

16. Maintaining the diversity of species and ensuring that populations of species are healthy is clearly indispensable to the achievement of the 2050 Vision. Further, conserving species diversity and abundance is essential for the integrity (functioning and composition) of ecosystems and directly conserves genetic diversity. In order to meet the 2050 Vision, it will be necessary to reduce both the extinction rate (i.e. prevent species extinctions) and the extinction risk (i.e. reduce the number of species threatened with extinction and improve the status of threatened species), as well as to maintain or improve the population abundances and the natural geographical extent of all species.

²² By 2020, ensure the conservation, restoration and sustainable use of terrestrial and inland freshwater ecosystems and their services, in particular forests, wetlands, mountains and drylands, in line with obligations under international agreements

²³ By 2020, promote the implementation of sustainable management of all types of forests, halt deforestation, restore degraded forests and substantially increase afforestation and reforestation globally

²⁴ Take urgent and significant action to reduce the degradation of natural habitats, halt the loss of biodiversity and, by 2020, protect and prevent the extinction of threatened species

Status and trends

- 17. Three components tend to be considered together in determining the status of species: the number of extinctions, the number of species that are threatened with extinction, and the abundance of species. Each of these three components are further discussed below:
- (a) Extinctions Currently, the global species extinction rate is at least tens to hundreds of times higher than the average over the past 10 million years, and the rate is increasing. Four species are known to have become extinct since 2010. However, extinctions are difficult to measure, and it is likely that more extinctions during this time period will be confirmed in the future.
- (b) Trends of threatened species A key indicator for measuring species extinction risk is the Red List Index, which measures overall extinction risk for taxonomic groups that have been comprehensively assessed. The index has declined, indicating increased extinction risk, for all listed groups (birds, mammals, amphibians, corals, cycads) and for all regions since 2000. However the rate at which bird species have declined has been reduced through conservation action by at least 40 per cent, mainly preventing critically endangered bird species from becoming extinct.²⁵ Some species have also improved in conservation status, such as the Guam Rail which was Extinct in the Wild but is now living in the wild once again. ²⁶ However overall about 1 million species (or 13 per cent) are currently threatened with extinction, although the extinction risk varies significantly across taxa. ²⁷ For comprehensively assessed taxonomic groups the proportion ranges from 7 (for some bony fish) to 63 per cent (for cycads) across groups, averaging about 24 per cent.²⁸
- (c) Trends in abundance All indicators suggest that species abundance is declining globally, including the Living Planet Index, the Species Habitat Index, and fish biomass indicators. For most species in the wild, population abundance is declining globally, with some indicators showing a 68 per cent decline between 1970 and 2016, including a 32 per cent decline since 2000.²⁹ In the marine environment a recent assessment considering multiple models and projecting the impacts of climate change and socio-economic indicators, concluded that over the 21st century significant biomass changes are projected to occur in 40-57% of the world's oceans with declines in 68% to 84% of these areas unless climate change is meaningfully addressed. The impacts of this projected loss would be particularly severe for coastal developing countries.³⁰

While extinctions, threat status and abundance can be measured with available information and indicators, the taxonomic coverage of available information is dominated by vertebrates and there are taxonomic and geographical biases in the available data. Further there is comparatively little information on plant and

²⁵ Monroe et al. (2019). The dynamics underlying avian extinction trajectories forecast a wave of extinctions. Biology Letters, 15(12), 20190633. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2019.0633

²⁶ IUCN (2019) Species recoveries bring hope amidst the biodiversity crisis - IUCN Red List. https://www.iucn.org/news/species/201912/species-recoveries-bring-hope-amidst-biodiversity-crisis-iucn-red-list.

²⁷ IPBES (2019). Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany. https://ipbes.net/global-assessment

²⁸ IUCN (2020). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2020-2. Summary Statistics. https://www.iucnredlist.org/resources/summary-statistics

²⁹ WWF (2020). Living Planet Report -2020: Bending the curve of biodiversity loss. WWF, Gland, Switzerland. https://livingplanet.panda.org/en-us/. The Living Planet Index is a measure of the state of the world's biological diversity based on population trends of vertebrate species from terrestrial, freshwater and marine habitats. While not a direct measure of abundance it is an indicator of it. The Living Planet Index (LPI) is calculated using the geometric mean of relative abundance. In order to improve the taxonomic and geographic representativeness of the index, the current iteration of the index accounts for the estimated number of species within biogeographical realms, and the relative diversity of species within them. See: McRae L, Deinet S, Freeman R (2017) The Diversity-Weighted Living Planet Index: Controlling for Taxonomic Bias in a Global Biodiversity Indicator. PLoS ONE 12(1): e0169156. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0169156

³⁰ Boyce et al (2020) Future ocean biomass losses may widen socioeconomic equity gaps. Nature Communications 11, 2235. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15708-9

invertebrate groups and there tends to be less information available on tropical ecosystems (see also proposed target 19). It also important to noted that there is are an estimated 8.7 million species, of which only about 1 million have been described by science, and therefore for the majority of species little is known. 31 onsiderations

- 18. Scenarios suggest that a plausible pathway towards the 2050 Vision is to prevent an increase in extinction rates in the coming decade and to reduce them progressively through 2050, towards being as close as possible to background levels by 2050.³² Halting human-induced extinction completely by 2030 is likely not realistic, especially given that certain threats such as climate change will continue to intensify and there are unavoidable time lags associated with conservation action. However, where both the species at risk and the drivers of decline are known, extinctions can probably be avoided given sufficient political will and investment. Consideration will also need to be given to what extent actions to reach this target should focus on known threatened species or known species more generally.
- 19. Scenarios also suggest that it would be feasible to reduce the proportion of species threatened with extinction in the wild by 2030 and aim to reduce extinction risk across all species by 2050. Efforts to reduce extinction rate and risk should prioritize evolutionary distinct species to conserve evolutionary lineages across the entire "tree of life," as well as species in ecological and functional groups that have globally relevant roles either because they intervene in regulating processes at the continental or larger scales, such as migratory animals, or because they are locally important across a large number of ecosystems around the world, such as pollinators, scavengers, top predators, and large-bodied mammals and trees.
- 20. In most cases the ecological role of species (community assemblage and ecosystem functioning, and in turn the generation of some ecosystem services) depends on its existence in locally sufficient numbers. The goal could aim to improve, or at least maintain current levels by 2030, and progressively increase the diversity and abundance of populations of species thereafter, towards 2050. This would require halting and reversing the currently ongoing decline of both threatened and common species. Efforts should prioritize retaining and restoring local population diversity, abundances and ranges of species that have particularly important functional roles in ecosystems and to avoid increases in the abundance and spread of invasive alien species.
- 21. With regards to abundance, the Living Planet Index suggests that a 60 per cent decline has occurred since 1970. To get back to the 1970 level by 2050, it will be necessary to incrementally increase the abundance once again. However, recent work suggests that the Living Planet Index is strongly influenced by those species with the most extreme population declines or increases. For example, if the populations with most severe declines are excluded, the overall index shows a slight increase, whereas excluding the populations with most increases shows, the index has a decline of 71 per cent. ³³
- 22. Improving the status of threatened species to reduce extinction risk, and maintaining abundance of common species, will require that the various direct and indirect drivers of biodiversity loss be reduced. Species are mainly threatened by habitat loss linked to the expansion of agriculture and aquaculture, followed

There are different estimates of background extinction rate depending on the methodology used and the species considered. For example, some estimates suggests that the background rate of extinction is approximately 1 extinction per million species per year, while the background rate of extinction for mammals has been conservatively estimated at 2 mammal extinctions per 10,000 species per 100 years and for plants the background rate of extinction has been estimated at 0.05 to .13 extinctions per million species per year. For further details see Pimm et al (2006). Human impacts on the rates of recent, present, and future bird extinctions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 103 (29) 10941-10946; https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0604181103; Ceballos et al (2015). Accelerated modern human-induced species losses: Entering the sixth mass extinction. *Science Advances* 1(5), e1400253. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1400253; Gray (2019). The ecology of plant extinction: Rates, traits and island comparisons. Oryx, 53(3), 424-428. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605318000315.

³¹ Mora et al (2011). How Many Species Are There on Earth and in the Ocean? Plos Biology. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001127

³³ Leung et al (2020) Clustered versus catastrophic global vertebrate declines. Nature. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2920-6

by unsustainable use (logging, hunting and fishing). Business as usual is likely to exacerbate the effects of these threats. For example, an assessment of modelled expansion of agricultural lands projected that more than 17,000 species will loose habitat by 2050 with 1,280 species loosing more than a quarter of their habitat.³⁴ Other key threats include invasive species, pollution, and climate change.³⁵Many of these issues are addressed by the proposed targets in the post-2020 global biodiversity framework. For example, proposed targets 1 and 4-7 address the direct drivers of species loss (land-/sea-use change, exploitation of organisms, invasive alien species, pollution and climate change, respectively). However, once a species has declined to a small population size, stopping threats alone might not be sufficient to prevent extinction, because such species are more vulnerable to stochastic processes such as disease outbreaks or extreme weather events. In these situations, more targeted conservation or management action (proposed target 3) may be necessary for species recovery. Conservation actions may include reintroductions, species recovery actions, and *ex situ* conservation. Recent analyses suggest that 37 per cent of threatened species in comprehensively assessed taxonomic groups will require additional actions.³⁶ These types of intensive actions are known to have avoided extinction for between 28 and 48 bird and mammal species since 1993, and between 11 and 25 species since 2010.³⁷ It is therefore possible to prevent extinctions even over relatively short timeframes.

- 23. Protected areas and other effective area-based conservation measures (proposed target 2) will also be essential elements in reaching this element of the goal. Further proposed targets 12-20 would contribute to all aspects of this goal by addressing the indirect drivers of biodiversity change. This proposed goal is also related to target 15.5³⁸ of the Sustainable Development Goals
- 24. Reaching this goal is likely to require the balancing of the protection of habitats, which is critical to avoiding extinctions, with other land uses, including for food production. However, modelling work indicates it would be possible to achieve this, if demand is reduced to sustainable and healthy levels, and food waste is reduced.³⁹ Further various tools to monitor the spatial extent of threats to species exist which can help to balance these demands.⁴⁰

Monitoring

25. Three types of information can help to monitor progress towards this target: the number of extinctions, the status and trends of threatened species, and the abundance of species. Information on these three issues is available. However, as noted above, there are gaps in taxonomic and geographic coverage that should be born in mind (see also proposed target 19). Further there are often time lags in collecting these types of information. For example, the IUCN Red List of Threatened species assessment methodology requires that a species has improved for five years before it can be down listed. Information on the protection of important habitats protection is also available, and can provide an indication of conservation action for areas with high diversity.

Links to other proposed targets

2.4

³⁴ Williams, et al (2020) Proactive conservation to prevent habitat losses to agricultural expansion. Nature Sustainability https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-020-00656-5

³⁵ Bolam et al (202) Preventing extinctions post-2020 requires species recovery actions as well as transformative change. In review. Preprint at https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.11.09.374314v1

³⁶ IUCN (2019) Species recoveries bring hope amidst the biodiversity crisis - IUCN Red List. Available at https://www.iucn.org/news/species/201912/species-recoveries-bring-hope-amidst-biodiversity-crisis-iucn-red-list.

³⁷ Bolam et al. (2020) How many bird and mammal extinctions has recent conservation action prevented? Conservation Letters. https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12762

³⁸ Take urgent and significant action to reduce the degradation of natural habitats, halt the loss of biodiversity and, by 2020, protect and prevent the extinction of threatened species

³⁹ Leclère *et al.* (2020) Bending the curve of terrestrial biodiversity needs an integrated strategy. Nature, 585(7826), pp.551-556. https://doi.org/10.1038

⁴⁰ Mair *et al.* (2021) Measuring spatially-explicit contributions to science-based species targets. Nature Ecology & Evolution (Accepted).

26. Proposed targets 1, and 4-7 address the direct drivers of biodiversity decline. Proposed target 2 on area-based conservation would address most direct drivers for specific sites and proposed target 3 and 8 are directly related to species management Proposed targets 12-20 would contribute to all aspects of this goal by addressing the indirect drivers of biodiversity change.

C. Genetic diversity

27. Genetic diversity is one of the three levels of biodiversity. It is critical for the long-term stability, adaptability and resilience of biodiversity, both at the species and ecosystem levels. Genetic diversity underpins the overall health of species and ecosystems and it is the source of many of the benefits societies depend on, including for food and medicine. Maintaining genetic diversity is therefore indispensable to the achievement of the 2050 Vision

Status and trends

- 28. The genetic diversity of cultivated and farmed species, their wild relatives, and other socio-economically important species, is declining, but the extent of this decline and its overall impacts are not well understood. Further the rate of loss of intraspecific diversity (genetic and phenotypic) is believed to be many times greater than the rate of species loss. ⁴¹ A recent assessment has conservatively estimated that there has been a 6% decline in wild genetic diversity since the industrial revolution and this decline has been most severe for island species. ⁴² Further, temperature changes associated with climate change are projected to pose a significant threat to genetic diversity. ⁴³
- 29. While there is limited information on the status of genetic diversity of wild species, the overall negative trends in biodiversity (including extinction risk, abundance, habitat loss and degradation as described above) suggest that it is in decline overall. For example:
- (a) The extinction risk of wild relatives of domesticated or farmed birds and mammals is increasing. A Red List Index covering 55 wild mammal and 449 wild bird species, related to 30 domesticated mammals and birds that are sources of food, showed a decline of 2% from 1988 to 2016, suggesting that on average these species are moving closer to extinction. Fifteen of the wild relatives (seven mammals and eight birds) are currently Critically Endangered, indicating that the status of the wild relatives of farmed animals could deteriorate rapidly unless action is taken to reverse their decline.
- (b) Wild plants useful for economic, social or cultural reasons are in a poor state of conservation worldwide. An indicator recently developed to assess the conservation status of nearly 7,000 useful wild plant species found that fewer than three per cent were sufficiently conserved either through protected areas (*in situ*), or in seedbanks or botanic gardens (*ex situ*).
- 30. For domesticated breeds of livestock, the proportion categorized as at risk or extinct is increasing, indicating a decline in livestock diversity, but the rate of increase is slowing, suggesting that countries may be making some progress in safeguarding domesticated animals. Out of 7,155 local breeds (i.e. breeds occurring in only one country), 1,940 are considered to be at risk of extinction. However, for 4,668 of them, the risk status remains unknown due to a lack of data or updated data.

Considerations

31. Significant progress has been made in the *ex situ* conservation of crops, that is the collection of seeds from different genetic varieties for cataloguing and storage for possible future use. However there has been less progress with *in situ* conservation, including through continued cultivation on farms, which allows for ongoing adaptation to changing conditions (such as climate change) and agricultural practices. As such this

⁴¹ Des Roches et al (2021) Conserving intraspecific variation for nature's contributions to people. Nature Ecology and Evolution. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-021-01403-5

⁴² Leigh et al (2019) Estimated six per cent loss of genetic variation in wild populations since the industrial revolution. Evolutionary Applications 12(8). https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12810

⁴³ Theodoridis et al (2021) Exposure of mammal genetic diversity to mid-21st century global change. Ecography. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.05588

goal implies a need for continued efforts to conserve genetic diversity through *ex situ* techniques with greater attention to maintaining and safeguarding genetic diversity *in situ*.

- 32. It is important to address the genetic diversity of both wild and domesticated species as their dynamics are very different. The genetic diversity of wild species provides the variation essential to maintain ecosystem stability and ensure benefits to people, and supports species survival and adaptation, linking explicitly to ecosystems and species. Domesticated species include all components of agricultural biodiversity (crops and livestock). Genetic variation across the gene pool, including wild relatives of crops and livestock is necessary to sustain food and nutrition security and production systems to cope with pests and disease, changing environmental conditions and climate change. It is essential that genetic diversity be conserved to allow the process of natural selection and evolution to continue, including on farm and *ex situ* for domesticated species.
- 33. It is important to conserve genetic diversity across all species. Determining precise quantitative targets for maintaining genetic diversity may be difficult, but current knowledge suggests a minimum of 90 per cent by 2050 would be consistent with the 2050 Vision.⁴⁴
- 34. Progress towards this proposed goal would contribute to the achievement of SDG target 2.5. ⁴⁵ Further there are number of international processes related to or addressing genetic resources. The work undertaken by and under the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture is particularly important.

Monitoring

35. Directly monitoring the status and trends of genetic diversity globally is challenging given the current information and technologies which are available. However, with ongoing technical advances in "omics", specifically genomic analysis, decreasing costs and better data stewardship, more frequent genetic monitoring could occur. While information on the genetic diversity conserved in gene banks can help to monitor progress towards this target it would only provide a subset of the information required. Further trends in abundance and extinction, in the absence of more direct global measures of genetic diversity, could be used as proxies to help track progress but would require careful interpretations as these would not account for within-population genetic diversity.

Links to other proposed targets

36. Actions to achieve this element of the goal are identified under the various proposed targets in the post-2020 global biodiversity framework. Proposed targets 1 and 4-7 address the direct drivers of biodiversity loss and would therefore contribute to the conservation of genetic diversity across all species. Protected areas and other effective area-based conservation measures (proposed target 2) would contribute to the conservation of genetic diversity of wild species, including the wild relatives of domesticated species (especially if targeted measures are taken). In addition, species-specific management interventions (proposed target 3) are critical for the conservation of genetic diversity of many threatened species. These interventions include *ex situ* conservation measures that could be extended to include *ex situ* conservation of domesticated species. Proposed target 9 would directly contribute to the *in situ* conservation of genetic diversity of domesticated species while also contributing to agricultural productivity and sustainability. Proposed target 12 on access and benefit sharing would also provide incentives in support of this goal. Proposed targets 13-20 would contribute to all aspects of this goal by addressing the indirect drivers of biodiversity change.

⁴⁴ CBD/SBSTTA/24/INF/9.

⁴⁵ Maintain genetic diversity of seeds, cultivated plants, farmed and domesticated animals and their related wild species, including through soundly managed and diversified seed and plant banks at national, regional and international levels, and ensure access to and fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising from the utilization of genetic resources and associated traditional knowledge as internationally agreed

Nature's contributions to people⁴⁶

Goal B - Nature's contributions to people have been valued, maintained or enhanced through conservation and sustainable use supporting global development agenda for the benefit of all

37. Nature's contributions to people (a concept similar to and inclusive of ecosystem services) refers to all the contributions from biodiversity to people's quality of life. They include (a) material contributions, such as the production of food, feed, fibre, medicines and energy, (b) regulating services, such as the regulation of air and water quality, climate regulation, pollination, regulation of pests and diseases and provision of habitat, and (c) other non-material contributions, such as learning, inspiration, health, physical and psychological experiences and supporting identities, as well as maintaining options for the future. The need to maintain, and where appropriate, enhance nature's contributions to people provides a strong rationale for the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity. They are also essential elements of the 2050 Vision and underpin most of the Sustainable Development Goals.

Status and trends

- 38. Spatial analysis of the provision and need for ecosystem services shows that nature's contributions to people, for example water quality regulation, coastal disaster risk reduction and pollination, are not evenly distributed across the world. Human needs also vary depending on the location. Where the two coincide, nature's contributions to people are highest. In some areas, however, people's needs and access to nature's contributions are not adequately met. The number of people who can benefit from nature's contributions to people depends not only on nature's ability to provide the benefit, but also on societies' ability to manage their distribution, fairly and equitably, within and between generations.
- 39. Of the 18 categories of nature's contributions to people analysed in the IPBES Global Assessment on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services, 14 have shown a consistent declining global trend over the past 50 years. These declines are the result of the overall decline in biodiversity at the ecosystem, species and genetic level. Almost all of the categories relating to the regulation of environmental processes are in decline, suggesting that the capacity of ecosystems to sustain nature's contributions to people are being compromised. The only categories of nature's contributions to people showing an increasing trend are those relating to material benefits, such as the provision of food, feed, materials and energy. However, the continued provision of these contributions may be compromised by the ongoing decline in ecosystems extent and integrity as well as in the decline of the regulating services that support such provision. People living in vulnerable situations are often most likely to suffer the impacts of declining contributions of nature.

Considerations

40. Declines in nature's contributions to people will worsen under business-as-usual scenarios, with water quality regulation, coastal protection and pollination all significantly compromised by 2050. As a result, up to 5 billion people, largely in in Africa and South Asia, would face higher water pollution and insufficient pollination for food and nutrition. Hundreds of millions of people across all continents would face heightened coastal risk. However, under scenarios of sustainable development, these negative trends could be reduced, eliminated or reversed.⁴⁷

41. An ambitious goal for nature's contributions to people could help to:

⁴⁶ The text in this subsection is largely based on CBD/SBSTTA/24/INF/9 and Diaz et al (2020), Set ambitious goals for biodiversity and sustainability, *Science*, 370, 411-413, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abe1530, as well as the IPBES *Global Assessment*, GBO-5, and the references therein. Additional references are indicated in the text for specific points.

⁴⁷ Chaplin-Kramer et al (2019). Global modelling of nature's contributions to people. *Science* 366, 255–258. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw3372

- (a) Deliver greater food security for 4 billion people, including the 2 billion who remain hungry, the more than 500 million people who are highly dependent on fisheries, and the more than 150 million households harvesting wild meat;⁴⁸
- (b) Deliver improved drinking water for about 600 million people currently dependent on untreated sources, enhance resilience for 75-300 million people at risk of coastal storms and 1 billion people living in floodplains;⁴⁹
- (c) Maintain the well-being of about 4 billion people who rely on natural medicines for their health care and the 50 per cent of the global population living in urban areas;⁵⁰
 - (d) Provide about one third of the efforts needed to achieve the goals of the Paris Agreement.⁵¹
- 42. Progress towards this goal would contribute to the attainment of SDG Target 15.9 related to the integration of ecosystem and biodiversity values into national and local planning, development processes, poverty reduction strategies and accounts and vice versa.

Monitoring

43. Tracking progress towards this proposed target will require information on the extent to which biodiversity values have been reflected in various planning and decision-making processes. While some information on this issue is available, there is currently no globally comprehensive information available. In addition, most of the global information on the values of nature's contributions to people focuses on financial values. Information related to other types of values are not readily available in a form that can be easily analysed or tracked. Information on the number of people benefiting from nature's contributions could also be used to help track progress towards this target. However, consideration would need to be given to what type of contributions and values to monitoring as all people benefit from nature's contributions to some extent. Further, information on the amount benefits to people from nature could be used to track progress and the 18 types of ecosystems services identified by the IPBES could provide a basis for this.⁵²

Links to other proposed targets

A4. Nature's capacity to continue delivering its contributions to people is reliant on the area and integrity of both natural and managed ecosystems and their constituent species and within-species genetic diversity. Thus, actions to reach proposed targets 1 and 4-7, which address the direct drivers of biodiversity loss (respectively, land/sea use change, exploitation of organisms, invasive alien species, pollution and climate change), as well as the proposed targets on effective area-based conservation measures (target 2), will indirectly contribute to this Goal. Proposed targets 7-11 will directly contribute to realizing benefits to people from nature's contributions through nature-based solutions and ecosystem-based approaches to climate change mitigation and adaptation, disaster risk-reduction, sustainable management of wild species,

⁴⁸ FAO. 2019. *State of the World's Biodiversity for Food and Agriculture*. FAO Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture. Rome http://www.fao.org/3/CA3129EN/CA3129EN.pdf; Willett et al. (2019). Our Food in the Anthropocene: The EAT-Lancet Commission on Healthy Diets from Sustainable Food Systems. *The Lancet* http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31788-4; Nielsen et al (2019). The Importance of Wild Meat in the Global South. *Ecological Economics* 146: 696-705. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2017.12.018

⁴⁹WHO (2019). https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/drinking-water; Jeandron et al. (2019). Predicting quality and quantity of water used by urban households based on tap water service. *Clean Water* 2: 23. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41545-019-0047-9; Di Baldassarre et al (2013). Socio-hydrology: conceptualising humanflood interactions. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 17: 3295–3303. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-17-3295-2013
⁵⁰ Bodeker et al (2005). WHO. *Global Atlas of Traditional, Complementary and Alternative Medicine*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization. https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/43108

⁵¹ Griscom et al (2017) Natural climate solutions. PNAS 114 (44) 11645-11650; https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1710465114 and Roe, S., et al (2019). Contribution of the land sector to a 1.5 °C world. Nature Climate Change. 9, 817–828. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0591-9.

⁵² IPBES is also undertaking a Methodological assessment regarding the diverse conceptualization of multiple values of nature and its benefits, including biodiversity and ecosystem functions and services. The assessment, which will be considered during IPBES's ninth session, will also be relevant.

sustainable agricultural ecosystems, regulation of air and water, and urban green spaces. Proposed targets 12-20 would contribute to all aspects of this goal by addressing the indirect drivers of biodiversity change, and also by influencing the distribution of benefits. Further the number of people who can benefit from nature's contributions to people depends not only on nature's ability to provide benefits, but also on societies' ability to manage demand and the distribution of benefits. As such processes related to decision making (proposed target 20) will also be important.

Fair and equitable sharing of benefits from the utilization of genetic resources

Goal C. The benefits, from the utilization of genetic resources are shared fairly and equitably

45. The fair and equitable sharing of benefits from the utilization of genetic resources is one of the three objectives of the Convention on Biological Diversity⁵³ and the primary objective of Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from their Utilization. A framework for the implementation of this objective of the Convention is provided in Article 15 of the text of the Convention while the Nagoya Protocol aims to further operationalize it. A number of additional international instruments and processes address this issue, including the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (see also proposed target 12). Access and benefit-sharing (ABS) also provides an important incentive for the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity. Hence ABS is an essential element in reaching the 2050 Vision for Biodiversity.

Status and trends

- 46. With a view to further enhance the fair and equitable sharing of benefits from the utilization of genetic resources, Parties adopted a supplementary agreement in the Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from their Utilization to the Convention on Biological Diversity. The Nagoya Protocol, which came into force in October 2014, provides a transparent legal framework for the effective implementation of the access and benefit sharing objective of the Convention. The Protocol covers genetic resources and associated traditional knowledge, as well as the benefits arising from their utilization, by setting out core obligations for its Parties to take measures in relation to access, benefit-sharing (ABS) and compliance. It aims to provides greater legal certainty and transparency for both providers and users of genetic resources, helps to ensure benefit-sharing, in particular when genetic resources are accessed or removed from a provider country and establishes more predictable conditions for those wanting to access genetic resources.
- 47. Information on the benefits derived from ABS agreements is limited. Looking specifically at the Nagoya Protocol, 27 Parties have reported having received benefits from granting access to genetic resources and/or associated traditional knowledge for their utilization, and some of those benefits are contributing to the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity. Given that the benefits received take various forms (monetary and non-monetary), and that often the content of the ABS agreements are confidential, it is not currently possible to put an overall value on the total amount of benefits shared.
- 48. With respect to the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, which facilitates access to plant genetic resources for farmers and plant breeders to develop new crop varieties and adapt agricultural production to a changing environment, over 5.5 million samples have been transferred globally, through more than 76,000 contracts known as Standard Material Transfer Agreements by February 2020.⁵⁴ More generally, an analysis of corporate reports and websites of cosmetic and food companies found that references to ABS appear to be receiving increasing attention including by 17 per cent of beauty companies (up from 2 per cent in 2009) and 5 per cent of food and beverage companies (up from 2 per cent in 2012).⁵⁵

⁵³ Article 2 (Objectives) of the text of the Convention.

⁵⁴ International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture - http://www.fao.org/plant-treaty/en/

⁵⁵ Union for Ethical BioTrade (2019). UEBT Biodiversity Barometer 2019, Special Edition – Asia - https://static1.squarespace.com/static/577e0feae4fcb502316dc547/t/5d0b61d53df5950001ac0059/1561027031587/UEBT+Biodiversity+Barometer+2019+.pdf

- 49. In recent years progress has also been made under a number of other international bodies and initiatives to extend access to genetic resources and the fair and equitable sharing of benefits from their utilization. For example work by the Intergovernmental Conference on the Conservation and Sustainable Use of Marine Biodiversity of Areas Beyond National Jurisdiction (BBNJ)⁵⁶, work under the Pandemic Influenza Preparedness Framework for the Sharing of Influenza Viruses and Access to Vaccines and Other Benefits⁵⁷ are also relevant to ABS issues.
- 50. While information on benefits shared is sparse, particularly in monetary terms, it is likely a very small proportion of the total revenues of the relevant sectors. For context, as of 2019, the global seed market is valued at about USD 60 billion⁵⁸ and the total global pharmaceutical market at about USD 1.25 trillion.⁵⁹ Further almost three-quarters of new drugs are either derived from or are synthetic mimics of a natural products.⁶⁰

Considerations

- 51. The main action required to reach this goal will be putting in place necessary mechanisms and processes to enable the benefits from the utilization of genetic resources to be shared fairly and equitably. The first assessment and review of progress in the implementation of the Nagoya Protocol revealed that Parties and non-Parties to the Protocol are at various stages in these processes (see also propose target 12). Currently the Nagoya Protocol is fully operational in about 87 countries, meaning that they have put in place national access and benefit sharing measures and established competent national authorities. Further about 25 countries which are not currently Party to Nagoya Protocol have put in place some form of access and benefit sharing measures. Currently about 40% of countries currently either do not have any form of access and benefit sharing mechanisms in place or have not provided information to the Convention on them. Given this, this proposed goal implies that some countries would need to create or establish ABS mechanisms while for other countries in may entail modifying or further implementing existing mechanisms to ensure their effectiveness. Another important consideration is that some countries are primarily providers of genetic resources and/or associated traditional knowledge and others are mostly users or have decided not to require prior informed consent for access.
- 52. As noted above information on the benefits derived from access and benefit sharing agreements is limited. As such this proposed goal implies a need for additional reporting on the benefits shared through ABS processes. In addition, given that reporting processes on this issue have already been established under the Nagoya Protocol, consideration should also be given to how any additional efforts can complement and build on these efforts and not detract from or duplicate them.

Monitoring

53. Monitoring progress towards this proposed goal will require information on the amount of benefits shared through ABS processes. Given that the benefits derived from the access and use of genetic resources and associated traditional knowledge can take various forms, including monetary and non-monetary benefits, consideration will need to be given to how information on the different types of benefits can be collected in

⁵⁶ Intergovernmental Conference on the Conservation and Sustainable Use of Marine Biodiversity of Areas Beyond National Jurisdiction https://www.un.org/bbnj/

⁵⁷ Pandemic Influenza Preparedness (PIP) Framework. https://www.who.int/influenza/pip/en/

⁶⁰ Of the new drugs developed between 1981 and 2019 18.4% were biological, 3.8% were natural, 0.8% were a natural botanical product, 18.9% were derived from a natural product but with a semisynthetic modification, 11.5% were a synthetic natural product mimic, 3.2% were synthetic but with a pharmacophore that was from a natural product and a natural product mimic and 7.5% were a vaccine. For further details see Newman and Cragg (2020) Natural products as sources of new drugs over the nearly four decades from 01/1981 to 09/2019. Journal of Natural Products. 83, 770-803. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jnatprod.9b01285

consistent way and in a way which allows information to be aggregated. Further, given that the contents of ABS agreements are often confidential consideration needs to be given to how such information could be accessed or used when reporting on the benefits being shared.

Links to other proposed targets

54. Proposed Target 12 on ABS measures directly contributes to achieving this Goal. Further proposed targets related to the integration of biodiversity values in planning processes (target 13), improving biodiversity information (target 19) and more equitable decisions making (target 20) would indirectly support the achievement of this target by helping to create an enabling environment.

Means of implementation

Goal D - Means of implementation are available to achieve all goals and targets in the framework

55. The post-2020 global biodiversity framework will need to be implemented primarily through activities at the national or subnational levels, with supporting action at the regional and global levels. The capacity for implementing the Convention in terms of human, technical and financial resources is limited in most countries, especially in developing countries, in particular the least developed countries and small island developing States, as well as countries with economies in transition. The limited means of implementation has been frequently noted by Parties as an obstacle to the implementation of the Convention. Reaching the 2050 Vision for biodiversity will require that the necessary means of implementation are available to enable Parties and stakeholders to undertake the necessary actions. These means of implementation will be required throughout the life of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework at level commensurate with the ambition of the other goals.

Status and trends

- 56. There are multiple types of means of implementation, including, among other things, financial resources, capacity building, technology transfer, the sharing of experiences and lessons learned, and partnerships. All of these means of implementation will be required for the effective implementation of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework.
- 57. The majority of global level information on means of implementation focuses on financial resources (see proposed target 18). Current global biodiversity finance is of the order of US\$100 billion per year, while estimates of funding needs for a comprehensive post 2020 global biodiversity framework are of the order of \$800 billion per year, giving a funding gap of the order of US\$700 billion per year. These estimates include not only the costs of conservation interventions (protected areas, control of invasive alien species and protection of ecosystems in coastal and urban areas), but also the estimated costs of transforming agricultural, forestry and fishery sectors to sustainability.⁶¹
- 58. There is no global level information on non-financial implementations needs for the effective implementation of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework. However, the need for capacity building, technology transfer, cooperation and partnerships, have been frequently noted by Parties. In recent years a number of initiatives and processes have been established to increase the amount of non-financial resources available to implement the Convention. These include initiatives related to capacity building and scientific

https://www.conservation.cam.ac.uk/files/waldron_report_30_by_30_publish.pdf. A discussion of these results is provided in CBD/SBI/3/5/Add.2. Estimation of resources needed for implementing the post-2020 global biodiversity framework preliminary second report of the panel of experts on resource mobilization. See proposed Target 18, for more detailed information on the range of estimates of current expenditures and funding needs.

⁶¹ Deutz et al (2020). Financing Nature: Closing the global biodiversity financing gap. The Paulson Institute, The Nature Conservancy, and the Cornell Atkinson Center for Sustainability. https://www.paulsoninstitute.org/key-initiatives/financing-nature-report/; and Waldron et al (2020) Protecting 30% of the planet for nature: costs, benefits and economic implications. Working paper analysing the economic implications of the proposed 30% target for areal protection in the draft post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework.

and technology transfer. While there is no global baseline information available on these types of means of implementation, the information available suggests that these need to be further supported and scaled up.

Considerations

- 59. The extent to which the Convention can be effectively implemented is influenced by the means available to do so. The specific means of implementation required will vary from country to country, according to national needs and circumstances, however a goal on this issue should be seen as a common commitment by all countries to increase the means of implementation available. Actions to reach this proposed goal should bear in mind the provisions of Article 18, on technical and scientific cooperation, Article 20, on financial resources and Article 21 on the financial mechanism.
- 60. Inadequate funding levels are a major impediment to effective biodiversity conservation in many countries and may be associated with failures to meet global targets. Conservation investment has been demonstrated to reduce biodiversity loss and a recent study, found that public biodiversity investments were associated with about a 1% reductions in the number of threatened species and in the rate of biodiversity loss. As such spending on biodiversity provides a very high social return on investment and biodiversity resource mobilization from all sources is not only necessary to reduce, halt and reverse biodiversity loss (i.e. to bend the curve on biodiversity loss) it is also likely to generate net economic benefits for both present and future generations.
- 61. In addition to financial resources, greater support for non-financial means of implementation will be needed to implement the post-2020 global biodiversity framework. These means of implementation can take various forms and be delivered through various channels.
- 62. Consideration also needs to be given to the fact that the types and amounts of means of implementation may vary throughout the implementation period of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework. For example, the means of implementation required for the period from 2021 to 2030 and 2030 onwards may not necessarily be the same.
- 63. National biodiversity strategies and action plans (NBSAPs) are the principal policy instrument for the implementation of the Convention at the national level. As such NBSAPS will be essential instruments in outlining the actions and means of implementation needed to implement the post-2020 global biodiversity framework nationally. While the majority of Parties went through a process to align their NBSAPs with the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020, for many Parties there were significant time lags between the adoption of the Strategic Plan and the development of an updated NBSAPs, and the national targets contained in the NBSAPs tended to be less ambitious than the corresponding Aichi Targets or have a narrower scope. In addition, the number of Parties that adopted their NBSAPs as policy instruments was limited, and few NBSAPs were adopted as whole-of-government instruments. In addition few NBSAPs contained strategies for resource mobilization, communication and public awareness, and capacity development or reflected gender considerations. These issues likely delayed actions in implementing the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 and reduced the effectiveness of the actions taken. In the post-2020 period avoiding

⁶² Waldron et al (2013) Targeting global conservation funding to limit immediate biodiversity declines. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 110 (29) 12144-12148; https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1221370110

⁶³ Waldron et al (2017) Reductions in global biodiversity loss predicted from conservation spending. Nature, 551(7680), 364-367. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24295; Seidl et al (2021) The effectiveness of national biodiversity investments to protect the wealth of nature. Nature Ecology and Evolution. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-01372-1

⁶⁴ Seidl et al (2021) The effectiveness of national biodiversity investments to protect the wealth of nature. Nature Ecology & Evolution. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-01372-1

⁶⁵ Johnson et al (2020). Global Futures: modelling the global economic impacts of environmental change to support policy-making. Technical Report, January 2020. https://www.wwf.org.uk/globalfutures; Waldron et al (2020) Protecting 30% of the planet for nature: costs, benefits and economic implications. Working paper; Second report of the High-level Panel on Global Assessment of Resources for Implementing the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 - https://www.cbd.int/financial/hlp/doc/hlp-02-report-en.pdf

such delays and limitations will be essential in taking effective actions to reach the targets and goals in the post-2020 global biodiversity framework.

64. Actions towards this goal could also help to reach a number of the targets in the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. These include targets 14.a, ⁶⁶ 15.a, ⁶⁷ 15.b, ⁶⁸ and 15.c⁶⁹.

Monitoring

65. To monitor the implementation of this proposed goal information on the means of implementation made available, from all sources, for national implementation of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework will be needed. In the case of financial resources various processes are already collecting relevant data (see proposed target 18). However, information on other means of implementation, including scientific and technical transfer, capacity building and partnerships, are less readily available and what is available cannot be easily aggregated or analysed.

Links to other proposed targets

66. Actions to achieve this goal are identified under the various proposed targets. Proposed target 18 (financial resources) directly contributes to the provision of financial resources. Proposed target 17 (incentive measures) could directly and indirectly support resource mobilization, supported by proposed target 13 (biodiversity mainstreaming). Proposed target 12 (access and benefit-sharing) also has the potential to generate funding and other non-monetary benefits which could be used to support the implementation of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework nationally. Proposed target 19 (information) would contribute to building technical capacity.

III. SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION RELATED TO PROPOSED TARGETS 1 TO 7 ADDRESSING TOOLS AND SOLUTIONS FOR IMPLEMENTATION AND MAINSTREAMING

Land-/sea-use change⁷⁰

Target 1. By 2030, [50%] of land and sea areas globally are under spatial planning addressing land/sea use change, retaining most of the existing intact and wilderness areas, and allow to restore [X%] of degraded freshwater, marine and terrestrial natural ecosystems and connectivity among them.

67. This proposed target relates to habitat loss, a major direct driver of biodiversity loss and the role of spatial planning in addressing this issue. Under business-as-usual scenarios, land use change (including deforestation and the loss and fragmentation of wetlands, savannahs, grasslands, and other ecosystems) is projected to remain the largest driver of terrestrial biodiversity loss, mainly due to the expansion of agriculture as well as infrastructure development. To achieve the 2050 Vision and the proposed Goals, the loss of existing intact and wilderness areas through land/sea use change must be avoided, reduced and reversed. This is to be achieved by both decreasing the loss and degradation (increasing the retention) and increasing the restoration of natural habitats. More effective and widespread spatial planning, in both

⁶⁶ Increase scientific knowledge, develop research capacity and transfer marine technology, taking into account the Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission Criteria and Guidelines on the Transfer of Marine Technology, in order to improve ocean health and to enhance the contribution of marine biodiversity to the development of developing countries, in particular small island developing States and least developed countries

⁶⁷ Mobilize and significantly increase financial resources from all sources to conserve and sustainably use biodiversity and ecosystems

⁶⁸ Mobilize significant resources from all sources and at all levels to finance sustainable forest management and provide adequate incentives to developing countries to advance such management, including for conservation and reforestation

⁶⁹ Enhance global support for efforts to combat poaching and trafficking of protected species, including by increasing the capacity of local communities to pursue sustainable livelihood opportunities

⁷⁰ The text in this subsection is largely based on GBO-5, and references therein, in particular the sections on the sustainable land and forest transition, the sustainable freshwater transition and the sustainable fisheries and ocean transition and the references contained therein. Additional references are indicated in the text for specific points.

terrestrial and marine ecosystems, will be crucial in accomplishing this. Spatial planning provides a means of efficient and coherent allocation of conservation actions for sustainable territorial development, while also considering the needs of different stakeholders and multiple policy objectives. It therefore provide a means to achieve or balance multiple objectives for a given area.⁷¹

Status and trends

- 68. This proposed target addresses a number of issues related to land and sea use change and the quality of freshwater, marine and terrestrial natural ecosystems. Each of the issues covered in this proposed target are discussed below:
- (a) Spatial planning It is not currently possible to accurately measure what proportion of the earth is considered 'under spatial planning, partly because there is no standard definition of what constitutes a spatial plan and a range of approaches and tools for planning are used at different scales. Only a handful of countries are known to have undertaken comprehensive spatial planning that integrate biodiversity objectives. Further the information in national biodiversity strategies and action plans and national reports to the Convention also suggests that the use of spatial planning in relation to biodiversity is limited. With regards to conservation strategies or ecoregional plans, issues related to spatial planning but more narrow in focus, a recent assessment calculated that around 50 per cent of terrestrial ecoregions had some form of these in place but the operational status of many of them was is uncertain.⁷² In the marine realm, spatial planning tools have been modified to better enable practitioners to consider ecological connectivity in decision making. However fewer than one-third of Member Countries of the FAO report having put in place complete and enabling policy, legal and institutional frameworks for integrated coastal zone management (ICZM), and about half have partially developed frameworks awaiting adoption.⁷³
- (b) Land and sea use change and wilderness areas Natural habitats continue to be lost and degraded (also see proposed goal a). Wild and intact areas are being lost through continued encroachment of human pressures into previously pristine spaces. Such areas are not only of critical importance for the functioning of the planet, but they also act as a buffer to species loss. ⁷⁴ It is estimated that 77 per cent of the land area has been modified to some extent by human activities. ⁷⁵ Terrestrial wilderness areas (defined by a lack of human activity) declined by 3.3 million km² between the early 1990s and 2009. ⁷⁶ In the marine environment about two thirds of the global ocean (77% of national jurisdictions) show evidence of increased human impacts with 5% being heavily impacted and 10% having low impacts. ⁷⁷ It is also estimated that 87 per cent of the oceans have been modified to some extent by human activities. ⁷⁸

⁷¹ Simeonova et al (2017) Natura 2000 and Spatial Planning. Final report for the European Commission (DG ENV) (Project 07.0202/2015/716477/ETU/ENV. B.3), https://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/knowledge/pdf/Natura 2000 and spatial planning final for publication.pdf

⁷² Dinerstein et al (2017) An Ecoregion-Based Approach to Protecting Half the Terrestrial Realm. BioScience 67, no. 6: 534–45. https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/bix014

⁷³ FAO. (2020). The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2020. Sustainability in action. Rome. https://doi.org/10.4060/ca9229en

⁷⁴ Di Marco et al (2019) Wilderness Areas Halve the Extinction Risk of Terrestrial Biodiversity. Nature 573, no. 7775: 582–85. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1567-7; Hill et al (2018). Worldwide impacts of past and projected future land-use change on local species richness and the Biodiversity Intactness Index. https://doi.ogb/10.1101/311787

⁷⁵ Watson et al (2018) Protect the Last of the Wild. Nature 563, no. 7729: 27–30. https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-018-07183-6.

⁷⁶ Watson et al (2016) Catastrophic Declines in Wilderness Areas Undermine Global Environment Targets. Current Biology 26, no. 21: 2929–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2016.08.049.

⁷⁷ Halpern, et al. (2015) Spatial and Temporal Changes in Cumulative Human Impacts on the World's Ocean. Nature Communications 6, no. 1: 7615. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms8615.

⁷⁸ Watson, et al (2018) Protect the Last of the Wild. Nature 563, no. 7729: 27–30. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-018-07183-6</u>.

- (c) Restoration of degraded natural ecosystems –Progress towards the restoration of 15 per cent of degraded ecosystems by 2020 has been limited, despite ambitious restoration programmes under way or proposed in many regions. ⁷⁹ It is estimated that major commitments for ecosystem restoration by countries total almost 300 million hectares to date. ⁸⁰ These include pledges of 173 million hectares under the Bonn Challenge and the New York Declaration on Forests (NYDF), as well as pledges under additional national schemes. Of the restoration commitments made, only about one third (34%) comprise regeneration of natural forest, with 45% of the planned areas comprising plantations and 21% agroforestry. ⁸¹ Further a recent assessment identified more than 12,000 offset projects, an issue related to restoration but different in scope, covering more than 15 million hectares across 37 countries. ⁸²
- (d) Connectivity A recent study considering both the extent of human impact as well as the connectedness of intact areas found that nearly three quarters of terrestrial ecoregions are highly degraded. Approximately 70 per cent of remaining forest is within 1 kilometer of the forest's edge 84 and around half of all terrestrial protected areas are considered isolated. The world's intact forest landscapes were estimated to have declined from 12.8 million km² in 2000 to 11.61 million km² in 2017 86 and only 17.4 million km² of forest retain high landscape-level integrity. The Biodiversity Intactness Index, an index showing the relative intactness of local species communities, estimates that, on average, species communities have lost approximately one quarter of their biotic intactness and that intactness in over half of the land surface has fallen below sustainable levels. Further land degradation has resulted in widespread fragmentation of natural areas causing impairment of ecosystem functioning resulting in loss of biodiversity In the marine realm, where knowledge gaps are greater than for terrestrial environments, a main tool for supporting and restoring connectivity is through marine protected area networks.

Considerations

69. In order to put biodiversity on a path to recovery by 2030 in line with the proposed Mission of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework, there would need to be a net gain of natural ecosystem area by

⁷⁹ Aichi Biodiversity Target 15 previous addressed the restoration of degraded habitats.

⁸⁰ Lewis et al (2019). Regenerate natural forests to store carbon. Nature, 568. https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-019-01026-8; Lewis et al reports a total figure of 292 mha based on data from https://www.bonnchallenge.org/ and the Forest Landscape Restoration tracking inventory at https://infoflr.org/, accessed in October 2017.

⁸¹ YDF Assessment Partners. (2019). Protecting and Restoring Forests: A Story of Large Commitments yet Limited Progress. New York Declaration on Forests Five-Year Assessment Report. Climate Focus (coordinator and editor). https://forestdeclaration.org/; This figure is reported in relation to the commitments under the Bonn Challenge/NYDF which comprises about 60% of the total commitments. It may be the case that there is additional implementation which has not been reported through these channels.

⁸² Bull and Strange (2018) The global extent of biodiversity offset implementation under no net loss policies. Nature Sustainability. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-018-0176-z

⁸³ Beyer et al (2019) Substantial Losses in Ecoregion Intactness Highlight Urgency of Globally Coordinated Action. Conservation Letters: e12592. https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12692.

⁸⁴ Haddad et al (2015) Habitat Fragmentation and Its Lasting Impact on Earth's Ecosystems. Science Advances 1, no. 2: e1500052. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1500052.

⁸⁵ Saura et al (2018) Protected Area Connectivity: Shortfalls in Global Targets and Country-Level Priorities. Biological Conservation 219, no: 53–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2017.12.020.

⁸⁶ Potapov et al (2017) The Last Frontiers of Wilderness: Tracking Loss of Intact Forest Landscapes from 2000 to 2013. Science Advances 3, no. 1: e1600821. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1600821.

⁸⁷ Grantham et al (2020) Anthropogenic Modification of Forests Means Only 40 per cent of Remaining Forests Have High Ecosystem Integrity. Nature Communications 11, no. 1: 5978. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19493-3

⁸⁸ Smith et al (2019) Synergies between the Key Biodiversity Area and Systematic Conservation Planning Approaches. Conservation Letters 12, no. 1: e12625. https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12625

⁸⁹ Haddad et al (2015) Habitat Fragmentation and Its Lasting Impact on Earth's Ecosystems. Science Advances 1, no. 2: e1500052. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1500052

⁹⁰ Balbar et al (2019) The Current Application of Ecological Connectivity in the Design of Marine Protected Areas. Global Ecology and Conservation 17: e00569. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2019.e00569.

2030, while preventing the loss of existing intact and wilderness areas, as well as areas with high biodiversity value (see proposed Target 2) or keeping such loss to an absolute minimum. Restoration may include: (a) restoring converted lands back to natural habitats; (b) improving the ecological integrity of degraded natural habitats; and (c) rehabilitating converted and degraded lands (e.g. degraded agricultural lands) to improve both productivity and integrity. Ambition in the first of these (e.g., restoring agricultural lands back to natural ecosystems) may be limited by competing demands for land; nevertheless, studies show that up to 55 per cent of converted land could be restored while maintaining current production if existing yield gaps could be closed by 75 per cent. 91 The second and third components would be limited only by logistical and other practical constraints.

- 70. The contribution of restoration activities to the desired outcomes for ecosystems, species and genetic diversity (proposed Goal A), as well as cost-effectiveness, can be enhanced by evidence-based prioritization of the areas to be retained and restored. For example, restoring 15 per cent of converted lands in priority areas could avoid over 60 per cent of expected extinctions. 92 However while restoration will be a critical element of reaching this target, care will need to be taken in restoration activities to guard against negative unintentional impacts of human wellbeing and livelihoods, that human-rights are ensured and that conservation outcomes are not undermined through inappropriate approaches to restoration. 93 In this respect collaboration and partnerships with indigenous peoples and local communities in restoration activities may be helpful to both avoid intentional negative impacts and also to improve the effectiveness of restoration activities. 94 Further giving focus efforts of degraded lands which are not contested for other uses may also be warranted. 95
- 71. Given competing demands for land and sea areas, comprehensive spatial planning across all landscapes and seascapes (i.e., marine spatial planning) will be needed to allow socioeconomic development to continue while also conserving biodiversity and maintaining ecosystem services in line with the levels of ambition suggested above, and to ensure connectivity between natural habitats. Currently, spatial planning is practiced variously and unevenly among countries. Scaling up the use of spatial planning, in both terrestrial and marine ecosystems, will require coordinated and comprehensive assessments by multiple stakeholders, including government, business and communities often across national boundaries. Spatial plans can be developed to address multiple criteria, for example threatened species, key habitats, productive activities, and local priorities and conditions. Developing capacity of local actors to understand, undertake, and use spatial planning and to consider multiple criteria will be important in ensuring the effectiveness of spatial

⁹¹ Strassburg et al (2020). Global priority areas for ecosystem restoration. *Nature* 586:724–729. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2784-9

⁹² Strassburg et al (2020). Global priority areas for ecosystem restoration. *Nature* 586:724–729. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2784-9

⁹³ Holl (2017) Restoring tropical forests from the bottom up. Science 355, 455–456.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aam5432; Fleischman et al (2020) Pitfalls of Tree Planting Show Why We Need People-Centered Natural Climate Solutions. Bioscience https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biaa094; Erbaugh et al (2020) Global forest restoration and the importance of prioritizing local communities. Nature Ecology & Evolution.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-01282-2; Adams et al (2016) Impacts of large-scale forest restoration on socioeconomic status and local livelihoods: what we know and do not know. Biotropica 48, 731–744 (2016).

https://doi.org/10.1111/btp.12385; Malkamäki et al (2018) A systematic review of the socio-economic impacts of large-scale tree plantations, worldwide. Global. Environmental Change 53, 90–103.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2018.09.001; and Agrawal and Redford (2009) Conservation and Displacement: An Overview. Conservation and Society 7, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.4103/0972-4923.54790

⁹⁴ Reyes-García et al (2019) The contributions of Indigenous Peoples and local communities to ecological restoration. Restor Ecol, 27: 3-8. https://doi.org/10.1111/rec.12894

⁹⁵ Xie et al (2020) Conservation opportunities on uncontested lands. Nature Sustainability 3, 9–15. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-019-0433-

planning. ⁹⁶ Comprehensive spatial planning which takes into account the conservation and sustainable of biodiversity as well as the conditions, characteristics and importance of different ecosystem types and national and international objectives could be complemented by, and contribute to, the protection of specific areas with high biodiversity value (see proposed target 2), and by measures to reduce the other direct (proposed targets 4-7) and indirect drivers (proposed targets 8, 13-20) of biodiversity loss and ecosystem degradation. ⁹⁷

Actions taken to reach this proposed target would contribute to a number of other international processes and initiatives. For example a number of targets under the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, including targets 6.6, 98 14.2, 99 15.1, 100 15.2, 101 15.3, 102 and 15.5 103 among others, are relevant to this proposed target in the post-2020 global biodiversity framework. Further actions related to restoration could contribute to the Bonn Challenge, which aims to restore 150 million ha of degraded and deforested land by 2020 and 350 million ha by 2030, the UN-REDD's Green Gigaton Challenge, which aims to achieve at least one gigaton of high-quality emissions reductions by 2025, and the Great Green Wall initiative, which aims to restore 100 million hectares of degraded land, sequester 250 million tons of carbon and create 10 million green jobs.

Monitoring

73. Information on land use change is generally available, particularly with the use of remote sensing. However, gaps exist for some ecosystems and measuring issues related to habitat quality, such as connectivity and degradation, remains challenging. For the marine environment less information is available and significant information gaps exist.

74. With regards to restoration, various initiatives are monitoring progress on this issue and information and land and sea use change can be used to help to determine progress towards this element of the proposed

⁹⁶ Smith et al (2019) Synergies between the Key Biodiversity Area and Systematic Conservation Planning Approaches. Conservation Letters 12, no. 1 (2019): e12625. https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12625; Williams et al (2020) Minimising the Loss of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services in an Intact Landscape under Risk of Rapid Agricultural Development. Environmental Research Letters 15, no. 1: 014001. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab5ff7 and Villarreal-Rosas, et al (2020) Advancing Systematic Conservation Planning for Ecosystem Services." Trends in Ecology & Evolution 35, no. 12: 1129–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2020.08.016.

⁹⁷ Lombard et al (2019) Practical Approaches and Advances in Spatial Tools to Achieve Multi-Objective Marine Spatial Planning. Frontiers in Marine Science, 6: https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00166166; Botts et al (2019), Practical actions for applied systematic conservation planning. Conservation Biology, 33: 1235-1246. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13321; Smith et al (2019) Synergies between the key biodiversity area and systematic conservation planning approaches. Conservation Letters.12:e12625. https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12625; Groves and Game (2015) Conservation Planning: Informed Decisions for a Healthier Planet, 608 pp., Roberts and Company Publishers Inc., Colorado, USA. ISBN 978-1-936221-51-6; Strassburg et al (2020) Global priority areas for ecosystem restoration. Nature 586, 724–729 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2784-9

⁹⁸ By 2020, protect and restore water-related ecosystems, including mountains, forests, wetlands, rivers, aquifers and lakes

⁹⁹ By 2020, sustainably manage and protect marine and coastal ecosystems to avoid significant adverse impacts, including by strengthening their resilience, and take action for their restoration in order to achieve healthy and productive oceans

¹⁰⁰ By 2020, ensure the conservation, restoration and sustainable use of terrestrial and inland freshwater ecosystems and their services, in particular forests, wetlands, mountains and drylands, in line with obligations under international agreements

¹⁰¹ By 2020, promote the implementation of sustainable management of all types of forests, halt deforestation, restore degraded forests and substantially increase afforestation and reforestation globally

¹⁰² By 2030, combat desertification, restore degraded land and soil, including land affected by desertification, drought and floods, and strive to achieve a land degradation neutral world

¹⁰³ Take urgent and significant action to reduce the degradation of natural habitats, halt the loss of biodiversity and, by 2020, protect and prevent the extinction of threatened species

target. However different approaches and definitions of what constitutes degraded and restored habitat makes assessing progress towards this target in a consistent way challenging.

75. As noted above there is no global level information on the use of spatial planning, partly because there is no standard definition of what constitutes a spatial plan and a range of approaches and tools for planning are used. Further the use of spatial planning occurs at various scales. In addition, the evidence for the use of spatial planning that is available often focus on specific aspects of spatial plans such as prioritisation or connectivity. While relevant, these issues may not necessarily be indicative of spatial planning more generally. An alternative to using the area of land and sea under spatial planning could be monitoring the extent to which spatial plans have been official recognized in relevant planning processes. However additional efforts would be needed to gather this information as it is not readily available.

Links to other proposed goals and targets

76. The attainment of this target would directly contribute to the progress towards proposed Goal A on ecosystems species and genetic diversity. The elements of the target related to spatial planning would also contribute to Goal D on means of implementation. The elements on spatial planning would also contribute to the proposed targets which have implications for the management of terrestrial and marine ecosystems including proposed targets 2 (area-based conservation measures), 4 (reducing threats from overexploitation and unsustainable use), 6 (reducing pollution), 9 (sustainability of agriculture and other managed ecosystems) and 11 (access to green/blue spaces).

Area-based conservation measures¹⁰⁴

Target 2. By 2030, protect and conserve through well connected and effective system of protected areas and other effective area-based conservation measures at least 30 per cent of the planet with the focus on areas particularly important for biodiversity.

77. Protected areas and other effective area-based conservation measures (OECMs), if well-sited and designed, and managed effectively and equitably, remain essential measures to conserve biodiversity. Protected areas and OECMs are important mechanisms for the *in situ* conservation of biodiversity and ecosystem services. ¹⁰⁵ Protected areas and OECMs function best as "systems", conserving and connecting habitats across the landscape and seascape. Protected areas have been shown to bring about positive conservation outcomes within their boundaries, ¹⁰⁶ to maintain species populations, ¹⁰⁷ and to reduce pressures on forests in comparison to unprotected areas. ¹⁰⁸ They can also contribute to human wellbeing and the achievement of multiple Sustainable Development Goals. ¹⁰⁹

Status and trends

 ¹⁰⁴ The text in this subsection is largely based on GBO-5, and references therein, in particular the sections on the sustainable land and forest transition, the sustainable freshwater transition and the sustainable fisheries and ocean transition and the references contained therein. Additional references are indicated in the text for specific points.
 105 IPBES (2019): Summary for policymakers of the global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany.

¹⁰⁶ Geldmann, J et al (2013). Effectiveness of terrestrial protected areas in reducing habitat loss and population declines. In Biological Conservation (Vol. 161, pp. 230–238). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2013.02.018 ¹⁰⁷ Barnes M., *et al.* (2016). Wildlife population trends in protected areas predicted by national socio-economic metrics and body size. Nature Communications 7, 12747, 1-9 https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12747 and Gray C.L., *et al.* (2016). Local biodiversity is higher inside than outside terrestrial protected areas worldwide. Nature. Communications. 7, 12306, 1-7. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12306

¹⁰⁸ Geldmann, J., et al (2019). A global-level assessment of the effectiveness of protected areas at resisting anthropogenic pressures. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 116(46), 23209–23215. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1908221116

¹⁰⁹ UNEP-WCMC, IUCN and NGS (2021) Protected Planet Live Report 2021. UNEP-WCMC, IUCN and NGS: Cambridge UK; Gland, Switzerland; and Washington, D.C., USA.

- 78. A protected area is a geographically defined area, which is designated or regulated and managed to achieve specific conservation objectives. ¹¹⁰ An OECM is a geographically defined area other than a protected area, which is governed and managed in ways that achieve positive and sustained long-term outcomes for the *in situ* conservation of biodiversity, with associated ecosystem functions and services and where applicable, cultural, spiritual, socio–economic, and other locally relevant values. ¹¹¹ In February 2021, the World Database on Protected Areas showed that 15.4 per cent of the world's terrestrial and inland water environments were covered by protected areas, with 7.7 per cent of the marine area covered (including 17.8 per cent of marine areas within national jurisdiction, and 1.2 per cent of marine areas beyond national jurisdiction). ¹¹² When information in the newly established World Database on OECMs is considered global terrestrial coverage increases to 16.3 per cent. ¹¹³ Taking into account recent announcements and commitments on protected areas as well as estimates of the size of other effective area-based conservation measures, the targets of having 17 per cent terrestrial areas and 10 per cent of marine area under protection, as expressed in Aichi Biodiversity Target 11, are likely to have been met or exceeded. ¹¹⁴
- 79. Despite the progress made in increasing the size of the world's protected areas and OECMs, a number of challenges remain. These include:
- (a) There are important gaps in relation to the representativeness of protected areas and their coverage of important biodiversity areas. As of September 2020, 19 per cent of Key Biodiversity Areas were completely within protected areas, but 39 per cent had no protection. In addition many terrestrial and marine ecoregions still lack protection, particularly in areas beyond national jurisdiction.
- (b) Only half of the global terrestrial protected area network consists of connected lands. There is a need to improve connectivity and coverage of important areas, in order to ensure that the world's protected areas function as an effective system.¹¹⁷
- (c) Only around 11 per cent of the world's protected areas have management effectiveness assessments recorded in the Global Database on Protected Area Management Effectiveness. ¹¹⁸ While effective management and equitable governance remain challenging to assess, a review of available data suggests that the effectiveness of protected areas varies significantly. ¹¹⁹ Further a recent review of forest loss in protected areas, based on information on more than 18,000 terrestrial protected areas, concluded that while protected areas reduced deforestation rates by 41%, they did not eliminate deforestation all together. The same study also concluded that, when effectiveness was taken into account, only 6.5% of the world's forests are protected. ¹²⁰

112 UNEP-WCMC and IUCN (2020) Protected Planet: The World Database on Protected Areas (WDPA) On-line, October 2020, Cambridge, UK: UNEP-WCMC and IUCN. Available at: www.protectedplanet.net
113 UNEP-WCMC and IUCN (2020) Protected Planet: The World Database on Other Effective Area-based

¹¹⁰ Article 2 of the Convention on Biological Diversity

¹¹¹ CBD COP Decision 14/8

¹¹³ UNEP-WCMC and IUCN (2020) Protected Planet: The World Database on Other Effective Area-based Conservation Measures (WD-OECM) On-line, October 2020, Cambridge, UK: UNEP-WCMC and IUCN. www.protectedplanet.net.

¹¹⁴ SCBD (2020) Global Biodiversity Outlook 5. Montreal. https://www.cbd.int/gbo5

¹¹⁵ BirdLife International, IUCN and UNEP-WCMC (2020). Protected area coverage of Key Biodiversity Areas - www.keybiodiversityareas.org

¹¹⁶ UNEP-WCMC, IUCN and NGS (2020). Protected Planet Live Report 2020. UNEP-WCMC, IUCN and NGS: Cambridge UK; Gland, Switzerland; and Washington, D.C., USA. https://livereport.protectedplanet.net/ UNEP-WCMC, IUCN and NGS (2020). Protected Planet Live Report 2020. UNEP-WCMC, IUCN and NGS:

Cambridge UK; Gland, Switzerland; and Washington, D.C., USA. https://liverport.protectedplanet.net/

¹¹⁸ UNEP-WCMC, IUCN and NGS (2020). Protected Planet Live Report 2020. UNEP-WCMC, IUCN and NGS: Cambridge UK; Gland, Switzerland; and Washington, D.C., USA. https://livereport.protectedplanet.net/

¹¹⁹ Geldmann, J., et al (2019). A global-level assessment of the effectiveness of protected areas at resisting anthropogenic pressures. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 116(46), 23209–23215. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1908221116

¹²⁰ Wolf et al. (2021) A forest loss report card for the world's protected areas. Nature Ecology and Evolution https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-021-01389-0

Considerations

- 80. In order to safeguard ecosystem diversity, reduce the rate and risk of extinction and improve species population abundance as well as maintain and enhance the provision of ecosystem services, protected area and OECM coverage needs to be expanded with appropriate prioritization and management. Consideration of issues related to connectivity, ecological representation, and coverage of areas of importance for biodiversity and ecosystem services will also be needed.
- 81. Estimates vary regarding the proportion of the planet that should be within protected areas and OECMs. For example the area of currently identified Key Biodiversity Areas (including Alliance for Zero Extinction sites) outside protected areas represents 4.5% of terrestrial area. ¹²¹ Covering hotspots of endemic species, and other areas with a high density of threatened species from the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species, would require about 1% additional to the current terrestrial protected area coverage. ¹²² However, adequately covering species niche's for birds, mammals and amphibians would require expanding current areas to about 34 per cent of the land area. ¹²³
- 82. Estimates vary regarding the proportion of the planet that should be within protected areas and OECMs, but many recent proposals converge on protecting about 30 per cent of the land surface by 2030, with the possibility of higher targets established subsequently. 124 Given future scenarios for land-use change and taking into account the potential for other effective area based conservation measures, such a target is likely feasible. 125 However, the importance of focusing on biodiversity outcomes rather than spatial area is emphasized; an increase in coverage alone will not be sufficient. 126 Similarly a recent assessment found that marine protected areas could have simultaneous benefits for protecting biodiversity, increase fisheries yields and secure carbon stocks from human activity if properly sited. 127 Further the same assessment found that a globally coordinated network of marine protected areas could be twice as effective as one solely determined by national-level planning. Therefore, there is strong evidence that an effective system of protected areas

https://portals.iucn.org/library/sites/library/files/resrecfiles/WCC_2016_RES_050_EN.pdf; and Bhola et al (2020) Perspectives on area-based conservation and its meaning for future biodiversity policy. Conservation Biology. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13509

¹²¹ This area will increase, potentially significantly, as additional key biodiversity areas are identified for additional species groups, ecosystems, and other biodiversity features.

¹²² Dinerstein et al (2019). A Global Deal for Nature: Guiding principles, milestones, and targets. Science Advances, 5(4), eaaw2869. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaw2869.

¹²³ Hanson et al (2018). Global conservation of species' niches. Nature, volume 580, 232–234. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2138-7

¹²⁴ Dinerstein et al (2019). A Global Deal for Nature: Guiding principles, milestones, and targets. Science advances, 5(4), eaaw2869. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaw2869; Visconti et al (2019). Protected area targets post-2020. Science. 364. eaav6886. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aav6886; and IUCN (2016). Increasing marine protected area coverage for effective marine biodiversity conservation.

¹²⁵ Immovilli and Kok (2020). Narratives for the 'Half earth' and 'Sharing the planet' scenarios. A literature review, PBL Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency, The Hague, PBL publication number 4226. https://www.pbl.nl/en/publications/narratives-for-the-%E2%80%9Chalf-earth%E2%80%9D-and-%E2%80%9Csharing-the-planet%E2%80%9D-scenarios; Leclère et al (2020) Bending the curve of terrestrial biodiversity needs an integrated strategy. Nature https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2705-y

¹²⁶ Maxell et al (2020) Area-based conservation in the 21st century. Nature, volume 586, pages 217–227. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2773-z; Pimm et al (2018) How to protect half of Earth to ensure it protects sufficient biodiversity Science Advances. 4 (8). https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aat2616

¹²⁷ For example, 90% of the maximum potential biodiversity benefits from marine protected areas could be achieved by protecting 21% of the ocean (43% of EEZs and 6% of high seas). Similarly, food provision could be increased by 5.9 million metric tonnes by strategically protecting 28% of the ocean, while eliminating 90% of the risk of future caron emissions from bottom trawling would require protecting 3.6% of the ocean. Areas where these three benefits overlap represent 0.3% of the global ocean while two of the three benefits occur in 2.7% of global ocean area. For further discussion see Sala et al (2021) Protecting the global ocean for biodiversity, food and climate. Nature (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03371-z

and OECMs will need to be ecologically representative, effectively managed, equitably governed, well-connected, cover areas of particular biodiversity importance, and is adequately monitored. It will also require that effects on human wellbeing are taken into account. 128

- 83. While OECMs may already cover a large part of the planet, greater efforts will be needed to identify, map and recognise them, including with the consent of their custodians where relevant and appropriate. The identification and mapping of OECMs should go hand-in-hand with appropriate support to enable them to maintain and enhance their conservation outcomes.¹²⁹
- 84. To ensure provision of ecosystem services and to maintain integrity of planetary ecological processes, natural ecosystems need to be maintained and restored beyond protected areas and biodiversity also needs to be nurtured in managed ecosystems (see proposed targets 1 and 9). Further some countries and regions have a greater share of certain ecoregions or areas particularly important for biodiversity. The need for mechanisms that can support a globally effective system of protected areas and OECMs that does not have negative economic or social impacts, particularly in developing countries and the territories of indigenous peoples and local communities may need to be considered.
- 85. Additional types of action that could be undertaken towards this target could include, but are not limited to:
- (a) Enhancing the monitoring of the effectiveness and conservation outcomes of protected areas and OECMs (proposed target 19),
- (b) The greater integration or mainstreaming of protected areas and OECMs across national policies and planning processes (proposed target 1).
- (c) Greater collaboration between neighbouring countries for transboundary planning and cooperation in the management of protected areas and OECMs, where relevant.
- 86. The actions taken to reach this proposed target have the potential to contribute to a number of the targets under the Sustainable Development Goals. This includes targets 6.6, ¹³⁰, 14.2, ¹³¹, 14.5, ¹³² 15.1, ¹³³ and 15.4. ¹³⁴
- 87. Protected areas and OECMS will be crucial elements in reaching the proposed goals and targets of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework. However, the designation of strict protected areas could, in some cases, lead to trade-offs with other land-uses and other proposed targets under the post-2020 global biodiversity framework. Such trade-offs can be minimised by ensuring that stakeholders and rights-holders are involved in the design and governance of protected areas and OECMs (proposed target 20), that allowances for sustainable use within protected areas and OECMs is permitted where appropriate, and that all protected areas and OECMs are equitably governed.

¹²⁹ IUCN-WCPA Task Force on OECMs, (2019). Recognising and reporting other effective area-based conservation measures. Gland, Switzerland: IUCN. https://portals.iucn.org/library/node/48773

Schleicher et al (2019) Protecting half of the planet could directly affect over one billion people. Nature Sustainability 2, 1094–1096 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-019-0423-y

¹³⁰ By 2020, protect and restore water-related ecosystems, including mountains, forests, wetlands, rivers, aquifers and lakes Target 11.4: Strengthen efforts to protect and safeguard the world's cultural and natural heritage

¹³¹ By 2020, sustainably manage and protect marine and coastal ecosystems to avoid significant adverse impacts, including by strengthening their resilience, and take action for their restoration in order to achieve healthy and productive oceans

¹³² By 2020, conserve at least 10 per cent of coastal and marine areas, consistent with national and international law and based on the best available scientific information

¹³³ By 2020, ensure the conservation, restoration and sustainable use of terrestrial and inland freshwater ecosystems and their services, in particular forests, wetlands, mountains and drylands, in line with obligations under international agreements

¹³⁴ By 2030, ensure the conservation of mountain ecosystems, including their biodiversity, in order to enhance their capacity to provide benefits that are essential for sustainable development

Monitoring

88. Monitoring progress towards this target will require information on the extent of protected areas and OECMs, their presentiveness, connectivity and effectiveness. Data on the location and size of protected areas and OECMs is already being reported through the World Database on Protected Areas (WDPA), and World Database on Other Effective Area-based Conservation Measures (WD-OECM). The data in WDPA is relatively well developed, with over 80 per cent of records updated in 2020. However additional efforts are needed to further populate the WD-OECM. In particular, more efforts are needed to identify and map OECMs, in consultation with stakeholders and rights-holders where relevant. Management effectiveness data can be reported and collected through to the Global Database on Protected Area Management Effectiveness (GD-PAME). However, as with WD-OECM, further efforts are needed to develop and populate the database. The information in these databases could also be combined with other sources of information, such as the World Database of Key Biodiversity Areas, in order to further track progress towards this target.

Links to other proposed goals and targets

89. The attainment of this target would directly contribute to the progress towards proposed Goal A on ecosystems species and genetic diversity. It would also contribute to the proposed targets which have implications for the achievement of proposed target 1 on the effective protection of terrestrial and marine ecosystems as well as for proposed target 3 on the management of wild species. It would also directly contribute towards targets addressing nature-based solutions and ecosystem based approaches to climate change (proposed target 10) and access to green and blue spaces (proposed target 11).

Active species management and Reducing human-wildlife conflict

Target 3. By 2030, ensure active management actions to enable wild species of fauna and flora recovery and conservation, and reduce human-wildlife conflict by [X%].

- 90. Species are one of the three levels of biodiversity. The active management of wild species, including by reducing human-wildlife conflict, will be essential in reaching the 2050 Vision for Biodiversity. Further reducing human-wildlife conflict would benefit human safety and livelihoods, and also ensure conservation needs can be met. The target therefore directly supports the implementation of parts of proposed goal A.
- 91. This proposed target addresses two distinct but related issues. Firstly, it addresses the direct management of wild species. Secondly, it addresses the reduction of human-wildlife conflict. Each issue is addressed in turn below.

A. Active species management¹³⁶

Status and trends

92. Active management measures have been instrumental in preventing a significant number of bird and mammal extinction in past decades, and have demonstrated that, in principle, it is possible to prevent extinction in most cases where both the species and the cause of the threat are known. ¹³⁷ However, these interventions are mostly "emergency room" type interventions and full recovery is only possible if the underlying drivers of loss are addressed. ¹³⁸

¹³⁵ UNEP-WCMC and IUCN (2020) Protected Planet: Global Database on Protected Area Management Effectiveness (GD-PAME) On-line, October 2020, Cambridge, UK: UNEP-WCMC and IUCN. Available at: www.protectedplanet.net.

¹³⁶ The text in this subsection draws on GBO-5, and references therein, in particular the section related to Aichi Biodiversity Target 12. Additional references are indicated in the text for specific points.

¹³⁷ Bolam et al (2020) How many bird and mammal extinctions has recent conservation action prevented? Conservation Letters, e12762. https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12762

¹³⁸ Bolam et al (in review) Preventing extinctions post-2020 requires recovery actions and transformative change, https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.11.09.374314v1.abstract

93. Based on information in the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species, species-specific management interventions will be needed to ensure the conservation of at least 2,707 threatened species and therefore to achieve the species component of proposed Goal A. Based on this global data there are on average (median) about 40 threatened species per country, but about ten countries contain over 200 such species. There is broad taxonomic coverage within the analysis, although plants and invertebrates are underrepresented in the underlying data.

Considerations

- 94. As noted above, the number of species that require active management varies by country and in some countries, such as those with high diversity, the number of species requiring management is likely to be large. This suggests that prioritization may be required in some cases. Further the pressures on species which bring about the need for active management will also vary by country. Identifying clear objectives in threatened species management can help to make the process more efficient.¹⁴⁰
- 95. Relevant actions related to this aspect of the proposed target include species reintroductions, species recovery actions (such as vaccinations, supplementary feeding, provision of breeding sites, and planting and protection of seedlings) and *ex situ* conservation. Further some species may require more than one type of intervention. Similarly, some of these active management actions may need to be aligned with a reduction in threats to the species (proposed targets proposed targets 1, 4-7) as well as the enhancement of protected areas and OECMS (proposed target 2) in order for them to be fully effective. The scope of the target could be expanded to include *ex situ* conservation of genetic resources at the sub-species level, including for crops and livestock.
- 96. Specifically for plants, the guidance developed as part of the Global Strategy for Plant Conservation could help to inform the types of actions needed to reach this element of the proposed target. ¹⁴¹ In addition, a follow up to GSPC in the context of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework could stimulated collaboration and synergies to assist in implementation.
- 97. The effective implementation of species management measures may also require the participation of relevant actors (proposed target 20). For example, 75 per cent of threatened species in Australia have ranges that overlap with Indigenous People's lands, indicating the potential contributions of Indigenous Peoples and local communities to species management actions. ¹⁴² Similarly many wild species, by some estimates up to 40%, are used by people and the involvement of relevant stakeholders will be crucial to the effectiveness of management interventions (see also proposed target 4). ¹⁴³

Monitoring

98. Monitoring this element of the proposed target would require information on the number of species that require active management and the number of species for which such management is implemented. While there have been some assessments of this, more regular monitoring and data collection efforts are likely needed. ¹⁴⁴ Further, information on the number of species which have recovered following

¹³⁹ Bolam et al (in review) Preventing extinctions post-2020 requires recovery actions and transformative change, https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.11.09.374314v1.abstract

¹⁴⁰ Scheele et al (2018) How to improve threatened species management: an Australian perspective. Journal of Environmental Management, 223, pp.668-675. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.06.084

¹⁴¹ The Global Strategy for Plan Conservation (GSPC) was originally adopted by the Conference of the Parties to the Convention in 2002 and aimed to achieving a series of 16 outcome-oriented and measurable targets by 2010. A revised set of targets for 2020 were agreed at COP-10 in 2010, with a decision that implementation of the GSPC should be pursued as part of the broader framework of the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020.

¹⁴² Renwick et al (2017) Mapping Indigenous land management for threatened species conservation: An Australian case-study. PloS one, 12(3), p.e0173876. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0173876

¹⁴³ Marsh et al (202) Prevalence of sustainable and unsustainable use of wild species inferred from the IUCN Red List. Preprint available at https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.11.04.367763v2.

¹⁴⁴ For example see Bolam et al (in review) Preventing extinctions post-2020 requires recovery actions and transformative change, https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.11.09.374314v1.abstract

management interventions could also be used. This is the concept behind the proposed Green Status of Species, which is currently under development. 145

Links to other proposed goals and targets

99. The attainment of this element of the target would directly contribute to the progress towards proposed Goal A on ecosystems species and genetic diversity. It would also contribute to the attainment of the targets addressing the direct drivers of biodiversity to the extent that the actions addressed these pressures on species. This includes proposed targets addressing land use change (target 1), overexploitation (target 3), invasive alien species (target 5), and pollution (target 6).

B. Reducing human-wildlife conflict¹⁴⁶

Status and trends

100. Human-wildlife conflict is commonly described as conflict that occurs between people and wildlife, through the actions of and threats posed by wildlife that have an adverse effect on human life, health, well-being, and/or livelihoods. As a result of those actions and threats, humans may harm or eliminate wildlife. These responses can be intentional and unintentional. Reducing human—wildlife conflict and improving co-existence is important both to improve human health and well-being (e.g. avoiding danger, property damage, and disease transmission) and to reduce threats to wildlife (e.g. reprisals against large land mammals that may damage crops or threaten human life or livestock). It is an essential element of efforts to maintain or reintroduce many keystone species and usual requires targeted management interventions. Though numerous examples of human wildlife conflict exist, it is difficult, at present, to determine a specific quantitative level or amount of human wildlife conflict or to determine the number of people which are impacts globally.

Considerations

- 101. There is very limited global data available on human-wildlife conflict. Further human-wildlife conflict is often a context specific issue. As such a first step towards this target may be the greater identification and collection of information on human-wildlife conflict (proposed target 19).
- 102. Human-wildlife conflict can be exacerbated by poorly planned development, including that which results in encroachment into wild areas, the conversion, destruction, degradation or reduction of natural habitats, inappropriate waste management and some tourism activities. As such human-wildlife conflict can be reduced by the better planning of development and land use, including of agriculture and infrastructure, and by mitigation, including compensation and control measures. ¹⁴⁹ It may also be managed by empowering indigenous peoples and local communities, the use of rights-based approaches, education, awareness raising, compensation for damage and other incentive measures (some of these issues are addressed under proposed target 20 on participation in decision-making). Other actions which may be required are the development of

¹⁴⁵ Akçakaya et al (2018) Quantifying species recovery and conservation success to develop an IUCN Green List of Species. Conservation Biology, 32: 1128-1138. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13112 and IUCN (2021) The IUCN Green Status of Species. https://www.iucnredlist.org/about/green-status-species#:~:text=The%20Green%20Status%20assesses%20species,major%20human%20impacts%2Fdisruption%3B%20AND

¹⁴⁶ The text in this subsection draws primarily on Nyhus (2016): Human–wildlife conflict and coexistence, Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 41. <u>10.1146/annurev-environ-110615-085634</u>, Luc Hoffmann Institute (2020): The state of knowledge and practice on human–wildlife conflicts. https://luchoffmanninstitute.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/LucHoffmannInstitute-humanwildlifeconflict-web.pdf. Additional references are indicated in the text for specific points.

¹⁴⁷ FAO (2019) Human and wildlife conflict. Available at http://www.fao.org/forestry/wildlife/67288/en/ (last accessed 15/12/2020)

¹⁴⁸ For example see Gulati et al (2021) Human casualties are the dominant cost of human–wildlife conflict in India. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 118 (8) e1921338118; https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1921338118 lage Ravenelle and Nyhu (2017) Global patterns and trends in human–wildlife conflict compensation. Conservation Biology. 31, 1247–1256, https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12948.

action plans, shared governance systems, and the development of physical infrastructure, such as barriers and other detterants. 150

103. While the focus of this proposed target is on human-wildlife conflict, it is important to note that some human -wildlife interactions can also be positive. Thus, this issue is closely related to the issues addressed in proposed target 4 on the harvesting, trade and use of wild species of fauna and flora and proposed target 8 on the benefits from biodiversity related to nutrition, food security, livelihoods, health and well-being.

Monitoring

104. There is currently no global level information or indicators on human-wildlife conflict. However, there are numerous national and sub-national examples. Some work is underway to develop guidelines and a standard to address human-wildlife conflict, which may be useful in monitoring progress in the future.¹⁵¹

Links to other proposed goals and targets

105. The attainment of this element of the target would directly contribute to the progress towards proposed Goal A on ecosystems species and genetic diversity.

Reducing threats from overexploitation and unsustainable use¹⁵²

Target 4. By 2030, ensure that the harvesting, trade and use of wild species of fauna and flora is legal, at sustainable levels and safe.

106. This proposed target addresses the exploitation of organisms, a major direct driver of biodiversity loss. The direct exploitation of wild populations of species is the largest direct driver of biodiversity loss in marine ecosystems and the second largest in terrestrial and freshwater ecosystems. While directly impacting the species that are the target of exploitation (e.g., fish, wild meat, timber, medicinal plants), it often also causes collateral impacts to other species and affects the functioning of ecosystems. Since people depend on wild species for food, medicine, construction materials and other products, unsustainable consumption jeopardizes these uses as well as the livelihoods of those engaged (see also proposed target 8). While legal use is not always sustainable, illegal trade is associated with threats to biodiversity and human health. Promoting sustainable use is therefore integral to achieving the 2050 Vision and the proposed Goals of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework. It is also one of the three objectives of the Convention which also recognizes the customary sustainable use of biodiversity by indigenous peoples and local communities.

Status and trends

107. Humans depend on wild species as a source of food, medicine, construction materials and other product, ¹⁵³ with wildlife exploitation providing livelihoods and income for rural communities ¹⁵⁴ as well as driving international trade. ¹⁵⁵ For example more than 28,000 plant species, 723 of which are threatened with

¹⁵⁰ CPW: CPW fact sheet on sustainable wildlife management and human—wildlife conflict. Available at https://www.cbd.int/sustainable/doc/cpw-factsheets/cpw-fs-hwc-en.pdf (last accessed 15/12/2020).

¹⁵¹ Luc Hoffmann Institute (2020): The state of knowledge and practice on human—wildlife conflicts. Available at https://luchoffmanninstitute.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/LucHoffmannInstitute-humanwildlifeconflict-web.pdf (last accessed 07/12/2020)

¹⁵² The text in this subsection draws on GBO-5, and references therein, in particular the sections on Aichi Biodiversity Target 4, 14 and 14 and section related to Pathways to the 2050 Vision for Biodiversity. Additional references are indicated in the text for specific points.

¹⁵³ Leadley et al (2014) Progress towards the Aichi Biodiversity Targets: An Assessment of Biodiversity Trends, Policy Scenarios and Key Actions. Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity, Montreal, Canada. Technical Series 78, 500 pages.

Robinson et al (2018). Supplying the wildlife trade as a livelihood strategy in a biodiversity hotspot. Ecology and Society 23(1):13. https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-09821-230113

¹⁷ UNODC. 2020. World wildlife crime report: trafficking in protected species. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. https://www.unodc.org/documents/data-and-analysis/wildlife/2020/World Wildlife Report 2020 9July.pdf

extinction, have documented medicinal uses, ¹⁵⁶ while thousands of wild species used for food have been recorded, including large numbers of plants, birds, insects, mammals and mushrooms. ¹⁵⁷

108. The unsustainable harvest and overexploitation of wild species are major threats to biodiversity and associated ecosystem services, causing recent increases in extinction rates and biodiversity decline as well as creating potential risks for human health and wellbeing. Further, where exploitation for trade occurs, species abundance has been found to decline between 62% and 76% with local extirpations observed. Exploitation may also cause collateral harm to non-target species and ecosystems, for example due to overfishing. Overexploitation can also impact the abundance of (common) species by reducing their density or distribution range, fragmenting populations, impacting the role in ecosystem function and reducing genetic diversity. However, managed sustainably, the use of wild species has the potential to reduce extinction risk and meet human needs. However, managed sustainably.

109. Forty percent of the species on the IUCN Red List are threatened by unsustainable use. Top threats to species linked to utilization include their use as pets, display animals, horticulture and human consumption. Further of the species listed as threatened or near-threatened on the IUCN Red List, overexploitation is reported to be the most prevalent threat to 6241 species (72 per cent of species in these categories). Of these overexploited species 4,049 were affected by logging, 1,680 by hunting, 1,118 by fishing and 557 by plant harvesting. A further study examining 10,098 species across 10 comprehensively assessed taxa on The IUCN Red List, found that 16 per cent of species had intentional use as a documented

 $\frac{https://www.unep.org/resources/report/preventing-future-zoonotic-disease-outbreaks-protecting-environment-animals-and}{}$

¹⁵⁶ Antonelli et al (2020). State of the World's Plants and Fungi 2020. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew. https://doi.org/10.34885/172

¹⁵⁷ FAO. 2019. The State of the World's Biodiversity for Food and Agriculture, FAO Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture Assessments. Rome. 572 pp.

^{158&#}x27;t Sas-Rolfes et al (2019) Illegal Wildlife Trade: Scale, Processes, and Governance. Annual Review of Environment and Resources 44:201–28. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-101718-033253; Joppa et al (2016). Filling in biodiversity threats gaps. Science 80 (353), 416–418. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf3565; IPBES (2019): Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany; Can et al (2019). Dealing with deadly pathogens: Taking stock of the legal trade in live wildlife and potential risks to human health. Global Ecology and Conservation 17 (2019) e00515. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2018.e00515; WWF (2020) Living Planet Report 2020 - Bending the curve of biodiversity loss. WWF, Gland, Switzerland. https://livingplanet.panda.org/en-us/ and United Nations Environment Programme and International Livestock Research Institute (2020). Preventing the Next Pandemic: Zoonotic diseases and how to break the chain of transmission. Nairobi, Kenya.

Morton et al (2021) Impacts of wildlife trade on terrestrial biodiversity. Nature Ecology & Evolution. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-021-01399-y and Johnson et al (2017). Biodiversity losses and conservation responses in the Anthropocene. Science 356 (6335), 270-275. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aam9317

¹⁶⁰ Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity (2020) Global Biodiversity Outlook 5. Montreal; MacNeil, et al (2020) Global shark and conservation potential of reef sharks. Nature. 583 (7818): 801-806. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2519-y; and Bearzi et al (2008). Overfishing and the disappearance of short-beaked common dolphins from western Greece. Endangered Species research. 5:1-12. https://doi.org/10.3354/esr00103

¹⁶¹ Reynolds et al (2006) Overexploitation. Chapter 8 in Principles of Conservation Biology, 3rd Edition (pp.253-277). Sinauer; and Kenchington (2003) The effects of fishing on species and genetic diversity. In FAO, 2003. Responsible Fisheries in the Marine Ecosystem.

¹⁶² Cooney et al (2018) Wildlife, Wild Livelihoods: Involving Communities in Sustainable Wildlife Management and Combatting the Illegal Wildlife Trade. United Nations Environment Programme, Nairobi, Kenya. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.11770.85449; and Marsh et al (2020). Prevalence of sustainable and unsustainable use of wild species inferred from the IUCN Red List. bioRxiv preprint. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.04.367763; ¹⁶³ Maxwell et al (2016) Biodiversity: the ravages of guns, nets and bulldozers. Nature 536, 143–145 https://doi.org/10.1038/536143a

threat.¹⁶⁴ Hunting, especially for commercial use, has been implicated in the overall declines in bird (58 per cent) and mammal (83 per cent) populations in the tropics.¹⁶⁵ Hunting pressure on tropical mammals is estimated to have reduced species distributions by 41 per cent, due to the combined impacts of hunting and land use change.¹⁶⁶ The use of bird species is often unsustainable, with utilised species less likely to remain extant than non-utilised species,¹⁶⁷ while use is the most common threat to orchids.¹⁶⁸

- 110. The 2020 Living Planet Index (LPI) tracks the abundance of more than 21,000 threatened and non-threatened populations of mammals, birds, fish, reptiles and amphibians around the globe. ¹⁶⁹ A recent analysis of more than 11,000 population time-series (from 2,944 species) from the LPI indicated that wildlife use can be both a driver of negative population trends or a driver of species recovery. Utilised populations of mammals, birds and fish declined by an average of 50 per cent over the period 1970–2016, compared with an average decline of only 3 per cent for non-utilised populations; however utilised populations were less likely to be in decline when management actions were in place. ¹⁷⁰
- 111. In the marine environment global fish production (from marine or aquaculture capture fisheries, for human consumption and non-food uses) has increased on all continents, with global capture fisheries production in 2018 reaching a record of 96.4 million tonnes. Globally, the state of marine fishery resources has continued to decline, with the Mediterranean and Black Sea being identified as areas with the highest percentage of stock fished at unsustainable levels.¹⁷¹
- 112. Wildlife trade is highly diverse and includes ornamental plants, fish and corals, exotic leather goods, pets, timber, gums and oils, furniture and musical instruments. ¹⁷² Between USD 8 20 billion a year (excluding fisheries and timber) of wildlife trade is estimated to be illegal. ¹⁷³ As well as being a serious environmental crime, illegal wildlife trade also threatens the stability and security of societies and causes substantial economic costs. ¹⁷⁴ Illegal activities, including illegal, unreported and unregulated (IUU) fishing, illegal logging and illegal harvesting of wild animals, constitute a major threat to both nature and livelihoods. Wildlife trade, including illegal trade, is also recognised as a possible pathway for alien invasive species and the spread disease (see also proposed target 5). ¹⁷⁵

¹⁶⁴ Marsh et al (2020) Prevalence of sustainable and unsustainable use of wild species inferred from the IUCN Red List. bioRxiv preprint. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.04.367763;

¹⁶⁵ Benítez-López et al (2017) The impact of hunting on tropical mammal and bird populations. Science 356(6334): 180-183. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaj1891

¹⁶⁶ Gallego-Zamorano et al (2020) Combined effects of land use and hunting on 534 distributions of tropical mammals. Conservation Biology 34(5): 1271-1280. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13459

¹⁶⁷ Butchart (2008) Red List Indices to measure the sustainability of species use and impacts of invasive alien species. Bird Conservation International 18: S245–S262. https://doi.org/10.1017/S095927090800035X

¹⁶⁸ Wraith and Pickering (2018) Quantifying anthropogenic threats to orchids using the IUCN Red List. Ambio. 47(3):307-317. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-017-0964-0

¹⁶⁹ WWF (2020) Living Planet Report 2020 - Bending the curve of biodiversity loss. WWF, Gland, Switzerland. https://livingplanet.panda.org/

¹⁷⁰ McRae et al (2020) A global indicator of utilised wildlife populations: regional trends and the impact of management. bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.02.365031.

¹⁷¹ FAO. 2020. The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2020. Sustainability in action. Rome. https://doi.org/10.4060/ca9229en

¹⁷² Oldfield (ed) (2003). The trade in wildlife: regulation for conservation. Earthscan Publications Ltd.

¹⁷³ Myburgh in Haken (2011). Transnational Crime in the Developing World, Global Financial Integrity, Washington, DC, USA and European Union. 2016. EU Action Plan against wildlife trafficking. (COM (2016) 87 final). ISBN 978-92-79-55076-8, https://doi.org/10.2779/016138.

¹⁷⁴ Lawson and Vines (2014) Global impacts of the illegal wildlife trade. The costs of crime, insecurity and institutional erosion. Chatham House. ISBN 978 1 78413 004 6.

¹⁷⁵ Garcia-Diaz et al (2017). The illegal wildlife trade is a likely source of alien species. Conservation Letters, November/December 2017, 10(6), 690–698. https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12301; and Harfoot et al (2018) Unveiling the patterns and trends in 40 years of global trade in CITES-listed wildlife. Biological Conservation 223 47-57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2018.04.017

- 113. The Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES) is the key legal framework for regulating and protecting species from overharvesting for international trade and regulates the international trade of more than 38,700 species. ¹⁷⁶ An analysis of CITES trade data indicated that approximately 100 million whole-organism equivalents were legally traded each year between 2005–2014 (including wild and captive sources). ¹⁷⁷ For mammals, birds, reptiles, invertebrates and plants, there is evidence of a substantial and significant shift in trade from wild-sourced to captive specimens over time. ¹⁷⁸ However, comprehensive international trade data are not available for all species in trade, and many taxa are only used and traded domestically.
- 114. The harvesting, hunting, trade and consumption of wild species can also increases the risk of pathogens jumping from wildlife hosts to humans and livestock.¹⁷⁹ Sixty per cent of emerging infectious diseases are zoonoses, of which at least 70 per cent originate in wildlife, the global trade in live animals has high potential to act as a transmission pathway for zoonotic disease outbreaks, jeopardising global human health.¹⁸⁰ Further, infectious diseases from wildlife have emerged at an increased pace over the last century, with viruses originating in wild mammals of particular concern (e.g. HIV, Ebola and SARS). ¹⁸¹ As such the safety of harvesting, trade and use practices is crucial.

Considerations

- 115. Currently, many species on the IUCN Red List are threatened due to overexploitation and trade, including illegal trade. The introduction and enforcement of stronger regulation, through national measures as well as CITES could reduce the illegal and unregulated trade in threatened species and that posing particular risks for human health. ¹⁸² Further, a combination of measures is needed to ensure that the supply of wild meat is sustainably and legally managed at source, to reduce the demand for unsustainably managed and/or illegal wild meat in towns and cities, and to enable governance, while respecting customary sustainable use. ¹⁸³
- 116. About a third of the worlds' marine fish stocks are overfished and under business-as-usual scenarios this is projected to worsen. However scenarios also suggest that investing in fisheries management (inclusive of distant water fleets), combatting illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing and removing harmful subsidies, could, by 2030, end overfishing, rebuild many stocks, and reduce threats to species at risk while

https://www.unep.org/resources/report/preventing-future-zoonotic-disease-outbreaks-protecting-environment-animals-and

¹⁷⁶ Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora www.cites.org

¹⁷⁷ This represents an increase from 9 million whole-organism equivalents traded each year between 1975–1985.

Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora www.cites.org

¹⁷⁸Harfoot, et al (2018) Unveiling the patterns and trends in 40 years of global trade in CITES-listed wildlife. Biological Conservation 223 47-57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2018.04.017

¹⁷⁹ Karesh et al (2005). Wildlife Trade and Global Disease Emergence. Emerging Infectious Diseases, Volume 11, Number 7. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1107.050194

¹⁸⁰ Jones et al (2008). Global trends in emerging infectious diseases. Nature 451, 990–993. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06536.

WWF Global Science. (2020). Beyond Boundaries: Insights into emerging zoonotic diseases, nature, and human well-being. Internal science brief. https://unemg.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/WWF-Science-Brief_Beyond-Boundaries_5.6.20_lowres.pdf and Olival et al (2017). Host and viral traits predict zoonotic spillover from mammals. Nature 546, 646–650. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22975.

¹⁸² IPBES (2020). Workshop Report on Biodiversity and Pandemics of the Intergovernmental Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4147317; United Nations Environment Programme and International Livestock Research Institute (2020). Preventing the Next Pandemic: Zoonotic diseases and how to break the chain of transmission. Nairobi, Kenya.

¹⁸³ Coad L et al (2019) Towards a sustainable, participatory and inclusive wild meat sector. Bogor, Indonesia: CIFOR. https://doi.org/10.17528/cifor/007046

increasing the provision of food, reducing costs and prioritizing the nutritional and livelihood needs of those most dependent on fisheries. 184

- 117. Actions to address the legality, sustainability and safety of the use of wild species of fauna and flora need to take place at the point of harvest, during transportation and trade, and at point of final consumption the latter affecting overall demand. Thus, proposed targets 18 and 19 are synergistic with this one. Proposed target 17 provides direct support to all elements of this target through the focus on eliminating harmful subsidies and redirecting subsides to support legal, sustainable and safe harvest, trade and use of wild species. Actions should also respect the customary sustainable use of biodiversity by indigenous peoples and local communities (proposed Target 8, which is closely related to this target, is also relevant in this regard).
- 118. A range of additional actions may also be required to reach the proposed target. Examples of these include:
- (a) Identification and targeted efforts towards sustainable management of species most at risk of overexploitation
- (b) Scientific stock assessments of wild populations and development of monitoring systems. For example, currently scientific stock assessments are being undertaken on approximately half of global marine catches. 186
 - (c) Greater controls on harvest levels (e.g. quotas, catch limits, hunting restrictions)
 - (d) Increased capacity of local communities to pursue sustainable livelihoods
- (e) Enhanced enforcement of existing legislation and increased efforts to curb illegal harvest and trade
 - (f) Development of additional regulatory and non-regulatory measures, including certification
- (g) Greater engagement with a broad range of actors (e.g. state, non-state, civil society, consumers, experts); and greater inter-agency and international cooperation.
- 119. This proposed target also has links to a number of targets adopted through other processes. For example the actions taken to reach this proposed target could also be relevant to the attainment of several

¹⁸⁴ Costello et al (2016) Global fishery prospects under contrasting management regimes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 113 (18) 5125-5129 https://doig.org/10.1073/pnas.1520420113; Cabral et al (2019) Designing MPAs for food security in open-access fisheries. Scientific Reports. 9(1):8033. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-44406-w; Costello et al (2020) The future of food from the sea. Nature. 588, 95-100. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2616-y

¹⁸⁵ Coad et al (2019) Towards a sustainable, participatory and inclusive wild meat sector. Bogor, Indonesia: CIFOR. https://doi.org/10.17528/cifor/007046; Booker (2019) Engaging local communities in tackling illegal wildlife trade: A synthesis of approaches and lessons for best practice. Conservation Science and Practice, 1(5), e26. https://doi.org/10.1111/csp2.26; Lavorgna and Sajeva (2020) Studying Illegal Online Trades in Plants: Market Characteristics, Organisational and Behavioural Aspects, and Policing Challenges. European Journal of Criminal Policy and Research. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10610-020-09447-2

¹⁸⁶ Watson et al (2018) Protect the Last of the Wild. Nature 563, no. 7729: 27–30. https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-018-07183-6.

targets under the Sustainable Development Goals, including targets 14.4, ¹⁸⁷ 15.2, ¹⁸⁸ 15.5, ¹⁸⁹ 15.7, ¹⁹⁰ and 15.c. ¹⁹¹ Similarly this proposed target is relevant to CITES goals 1¹⁹² and 3¹⁹³ as well as to targets 5¹⁹⁴ and 6¹⁹⁵ adopted under the Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals.

120. The IPBES Thematic assessment of the sustainable use of wild species, due to be finalized in 2022, will provide further useful information relevant to this proposed target.

Monitoring

- 121. Comprehensive data for monitoring the sustainable and safe harvesting, trade and use of wild species is limited. However, a number of datasets contain relevant information on certain types of species. For example:
- (a) The CITES Trade Database¹⁹⁶ and the UNODC World WISE Database¹⁵⁵ provide data and trends on the volumes of legal and illegal wildlife trade in CITES-listed wild fauna and flora species and their products. The CITES Trade Database holds over 21 million records of legally traded wildlife data.¹⁹⁷ The UNODC World Wise Database (which contains information on about 180,000 seizures) aggregates illicit wildlife trade data from various sources, including the CITES Annual Illegal Trade Reports.¹⁹⁸ However this information is limited to species that are CITES-listed and therefore may lead to biases towards more charismatic species, both due to the listings and enforcement effort.
- (b) The Living Planet Index is based on time-series data of more than 16,500 populations trends of over 4,000 threatened and non-threatened vertebrate species from terrestrial, freshwater and marine

¹⁸⁷ By 2020, effectively regulate harvesting and end overfishing, illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing and destructive fishing practices and implement science-based management plans, in order to restore fish stocks in the shortest time feasible, at least to levels that can produce maximum sustainable yield as determined by their biological characteristics

¹⁸⁸ By 2020, promote the implementation of sustainable management of all types of forests, halt deforestation, restore degraded forests and substantially increase afforestation and reforestation globally

¹⁸⁹ Take urgent and significant action to reduce the degradation of natural habitats, halt the loss of biodiversity and, by 2020, protect and prevent the extinction of threatened species Target

¹⁹⁰ Take urgent action to end poaching and trafficking of protected species of flora and fauna and address both demand and supply of illegal wildlife products

¹⁹¹ Enhance global support for efforts to combat poaching and trafficking of protected species, including by increasing the capacity of local communities to pursue sustainable livelihood opportunities

¹⁹² Trade in CITES-listed species is conducted in full compliance with the Convention in order to achieve their conservation and sustainable use.

¹⁹³ Parties (individually and collectively) have the tools, resources and capacity to effectively implement and enforce the Convention, contributing to the conservation, sustainable use and the reduction of illegal trade in CITES-listed wildlife species.

¹⁹⁴ Governments, key sectors and stakeholders at all levels have taken steps to achieve or have implemented plans for sustainable production and consumption, keeping the impacts of natural resource use on migratory species well within safe ecological limits to promote the favourable conservation status of migratory species and maintain the quality, integrity, resilience, and connectivity of their habitats and migratory routes.

¹⁹⁵ Fisheries and hunting have no significant direct or indirect adverse impacts on migratory species, their habitats or their migration routes, and impacts of fisheries and hunting are within safe ecological limits.

¹⁹⁶ A guide to using the CITES Trade Database https://trade.cites.org/cites_trade_guidelines/en-cites Trade Database Guide.pdf

¹⁹⁷ CITES Trade Database Download. Version 2020.1. CITES Secretariat, Geneva, Switzerland. Compiled by UNEP-WCMC, Cambridge, UK. https://trade.cites.org./

¹⁹⁸ UNODC (2020) World wildlife crime report: trafficking in protected species. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. https://www.unodc.org/unodc/en/data-and-analysis/wildlife.html

habitats.¹⁹⁹ It is limited in scope in that it covers vertebrates only, but allows for investigations of trends between utilised and non-utilised species, and managed and unmanaged populations.²⁰⁰

- (c) The Red List Index tracks extinction risk as trends in survival probability over time, for five groups in which all species have been assessed at least twice (mammals, birds, amphibians, corals and cycads).²⁰¹ The index can be disaggregated to consider species which are affected by unsustainable use.
- (d) The MSC Certified Catch measures the green weight catch of fisheries certified by the Marine Stewardship Council and compares this to total wild capture production as reported by the FAO.²⁰² The dataset it is restricted to catch from MSC certified fisheries.
- 122. Additional data collection/collation particularly for non-CITES species, domestic trade and illegal trade would be beneficial to enhance monitoring and assessment of the sustainability of trade at various scales. Further, the information that is available on trade tends to focus on charismatic species and vertebrates. Identification of those species that are not yet covered by key datasets, as well as those that are not currently traded, but that could be traded in the future would also facilitate monitoring.

Links to other proposed goals and targets

123. The attainment of this target would directly contribute to the progress towards proposed Goal A on ecosystems species and genetic diversity as well as proposed Goal B on ensuring benefits to people. It would also contribute to the attainment of the targets 8 (meeting people's needs through sustainable use), 9 (sustainability of agriculture and other managed ecosystems and 14 (sustainable production and supply chains) and 15 (sustainable consumption).

Preventing and controlling invasive alien species²⁰³

Target 5. By 2030, manage, and where possible control, pathways for the introduction of invasive alien species, achieving [50%] reduction in the rate of new introductions, and control or eradicate invasive alien species to eliminate or reduce their impacts, including in at least [50%] of priority sites.

124. Invasive alien species are one of the main direct drivers of biodiversity loss at the global level, and in some ecosystems, such as many island ecosystems, they are the leading cause of biodiversity decline. They have contributed to more than half of the animal extinctions for which the cause is known and carry large economic costs.204 Invasive alien species primarily affect biodiversity by preying on native species or competing with them for resources and sapce. In addition to their environmental impacts, invasive alien species can pose a threat to food security, human health and economic development. Some invasive alien species are also agents of infectious disease. For example, *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, the causal agent of chytrid fungal disease and spread mainly through trade in amphibians, has contributed to the decline of over 500 amphibian species (6.5 per cent of all described amphibian species), 90 of which are presumed extinct, making it the most destructive invasive species on record.²⁰⁵ Invasive alien species also have the potential to act in synergistic manners with other drivers of biodiversity loss, including habitat loss, climate

¹⁹⁹ Living Planet Index. https://livingplanetindex.org/home/index

²⁰⁰McRae et al (2020) A global indicator of utilised wildlife populations: regional trends and the impact of management. bioRxiv preprint https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.02.365031;

²⁰¹ Red List Index https://www.iucnredlist.org/assessment/red-list-index

²⁰² MSC certified fish catch https://www.bipindicators.net/indicators/msc-certified-catch

²⁰³ The text in this subsection draws on GBO-5, and references therein, in particular the section on Aichi Biodiversity 9. Additional references are indicated in the text for specific points.

²⁰⁴ Clavero and García-Berthou (2005) Invasive species are a leading cause of animal extinctions. Trends in ecology & evolution 20:110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2005.01.003; and Pimentel et al (2005) Update on the environmental and economic costs associated 29 with alien-invasive species in the United States. Ecological Economics 52:273–288. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2004.10.002

²⁰⁵ Scheele et al (2019). Amphibian fungal panzootic causes catastrophic and ongoing loss of biodiversity. *Science* 363, 1459-1463, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aav0379; Fisher and Garner (2020) Chytrid fungi and global amphibian declines. Nature Reviews Microbiology 18, 332–343. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-020-0335-x

change, unsustainable use and pollution. Addressing invasive alien species is therefore essential to reaching proposed goal A of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework. Further this target, to the extent that the actions taken to address invasive alien species would improve the provision of ecosystem services, would also contribute to the attainment of proposed goal b.

Status and trends

- 125. Available evidence suggests that the occurrence of invasive alien species is increasing, with travel, trade, infrastructure, and tourism facilitating the movement of species beyond natural bio-geographical barriers and by creating new pathways for their introduction. ²⁰⁶ One-sixth of global land area and 16 per cent of global biodiversity hotspots are highly vulnerable to invasion. ²⁰⁷A recent assessment has projected that the number of established invasive alien species per continent is expected to increase by 36% between 2005 and 2050. ²⁰⁸ In addition the increasing impacts of climate change may lead to an increase in the range of some types of invasive alien species²⁰⁹ while the projected growth in shipping is likely to increase the risk of invasions by between 3 and 20 times by 2050 unless control measures are scaled up. 210
- The IUCN Global Register of Introduced and Invasive Species shows that the cumulative number 126. of invasive alien species increased by about 100 from 2000-2010 and a further 30 species since.²¹¹ This information could be used to help inform any numeric targets on the effectiveness of pathway management. However, the time lags that exist between when a species is introduced and when it is identified and reported can be significant.
- 127. Currently more species are moving closer to extinction due to increased pressure from invasive alien species than native species improving in risk status as a result of the eradication or control efforts. This is shown in the negative trend of the Red List Index (impacts of invasive alien species), indicating that assessed birds, mammals and amphibians are increasingly being driven towards extinction by the pressure of invasive alien species.
- More than 800 eradications of invasive mammals on islands (almost 200 since 2010) have been 128. successful, with positive benefits for an estimated 236 native terrestrial species on 181 islands. 212 Such eradications have benefited more than one hundred highly threatened species of birds, mammals and reptiles. There are far fewer examples of successful efforts to eradicate invasive alien species in continental ecosystems and overall it appears that efforts to combat species invasions have not been effective enough to keep up with increasing globalization, and in particular the impact of massively expanded trade (imports and exports have roughly tripled since 2000, for example), providing additional opportunities to carry species into alien environments.

Considerations

²⁰⁶ Seebens et al (2017). No saturation in the accumulation of alien species worldwide. *Nature Communications* 8: 14435. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14435 and Pyšek et al. (2020) Scientists' warning on invasive alien species. Biological Reviews. 95, pp:1511-1534. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12627

²⁰⁷ Early et al (2016) Global threats from invasive alien species in the twenty-first century and national response capacities. Nature Communications 7:12485. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12485.

²⁰⁸ Seebens et al (2021). Projecting the continental accumulation of alien species through to 2050. Global Change Biology 27: 970–982. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15333

²⁰⁹ Bellard et al (2018) Insights from modelling studies on how climate change affects invasive alien species

geography. Ecology and Evolution. 8(11), 5688-5700. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.4098
²¹⁰ Sardain et al (2019) Global forecasts of shipping traffic and biological invasions to 2050. Nature Sustainability 2: 274-282. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-019-0245-y

²¹¹ The apparently slower rate since 2010 is likely the result of time delays between the time a species is introduced and reported as having established populations in a country or island. Global Register of Introduced and Invasive Species (GRIIS). http://www.griis.org/about.php; and Pagad et al (2018). Introducing the Global Register of Introduced and Invasive Species. Scientific Data 5:170202. https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2017.202

²¹² Jones et al (2016) Invasive mammal eradication on islands results in substantial conservation gains. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 113:4033-4038. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1521179113

- 129. To achieve the 2050 Vision and the proposed goals of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework it will be necessary to limit the spread and impact of invasive alien species.²¹³ This requires limiting new introductions and eradicating or controlling established invasive alien species that pose a significant risk for threatened species or the provision of ecosystem services.
- 130. Preventing the introduction of invasive alien species in the first place is likely to be far more costeffective than attempting to eradicate them once they become established. There are numerous actions which can be taken to prevent the introduction of invasive alien species, but these all revolve around managing the pathways of introduction. Common introduction pathways include shipping, horticulture, trade, aquaculture, transportation, forestry and pet/aquarium species, live food and bait, and contaminants, among others. The variety and relative importance of each pathway varies with national circumstances. Given the number of pathways of introduction that exist, the prioritization of efforts to monitor, manage and control them may be needed. One criterion to inform this prioritization is the frequency of past invasion events. An analysis of data stored in the Global Invasive Species Database (GISD) and a regional database in Europe (DAISIE) to identify the most common pathways suggests the highest number of introductions occurred through escape, ²¹⁴ transport-contaminants²¹⁵ and intentional release ²¹⁶ to nature. ²¹⁷ This suggests, in the absence of more country or region specific information, prioritization efforts should focus on these three pathways. Further analysis suggests that the dominant causes of introductions also vary between high-income and lowincome countries. In the case of the later, imports, particularly of plants and pets, have been identified as being particularly important, while in the former it is passenger air travel.²¹⁸ Further while there has been progress in identifying the pathways through which both terrestrial and aquatic species enter new environments and become invasive, weak border controls in many countries prevent this knowledge from being acted upon²¹⁹. This suggests the need for increased efforts in this respect.
- 131. Most countries already have some types of measures in place to manage introduction pathways. For example, in the sixth national reports to the Convention on Biological Diversity, countries commonly note the development of rules and regulations related to import and export requirements, measures to control and manage ballast water, and the establishment of phytosanitary and zoosanitary checkpoints at national points of entry. Parties also commonly address the development and implementation of strategies related to biosecurity (including border control, inspection, quarantine, early warning systems and rapid response

²¹³ McGeoch and Jetz (2020). Measure and Reduce the Harm Caused by Biological Invasions One Earth, 1, 171-4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2019.10.003

²¹⁴ Escape refers to the movement of (potentially) invasive alien species from confinement (e.g., in zoos; aquaria; botanic gardens; agriculture; horticulture; aquaculture and mariculture facilities; scientific research or breeding programmes; or from keeping as pets) into the natural environment. Through this pathway the organisms were initially purposefully imported or otherwise transported to the confined conditions, but then escaped from such confinement, unintentionally. This may include accidental or irresponsible release of live organisms from confinement, including cases such as the disposal of live food into the environment or the use of live baits in an unconfined water system.

²¹⁵ Transport–Contaminant refers to the unintentional movement of live organisms as contaminants of a commodity that is intentionally transferred through international trade, development assistance, or emergency relief. This includes pests and diseases of food, seeds, timber and other products of agriculture, forestry, and fisheries as well as contaminants of other products.

²¹⁶ Release in nature refers to the intentional introduction of live alien organisms for the purpose of human use in the natural environment. Examples include for biological control, erosion control (and dune stabilization), for fishing or hunting in the wild; landscape "improvement" and introduction of threatened organisms for conservation purposes.

²¹⁷ UNEP/CBD/SBSTTA/18/9/Add.1

²¹⁸ Early et al (2016) Global threats from invasive alien species in the twenty-first century and national response capacities. *Nature Communications* 7:12485. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12485.

²¹⁹ Bacon, et al (2012). Gaps in border controls are related to quarantine alien insect invasions in Europe. PloS one 7:e47689. https://doi.org.10.1371/journal.pone.0047689; and Convention on Biological Diversity (2014) UNEP/CBD/ SBSTTA/18/9 - Review of work on invasive alien species and considerations for future work. Pathways of introduction of invasive alien species, their prioritization and management - http://www.cbd.int/doc/meetings/sbstta/sbstta18/official/sbstta-18-09-en.pdf

systems), and awareness raising. However, despite these efforts, as noted above, the number of invasive alien species being introduced appears to be increasing. Therefore, actions towards this proposed target should consider how existing efforts for pathway management could be further expanded and strengthened.

- 132. The prioritization of eradication and control efforts for established invasive alien species will likely also be required given the number of invasive alien species present in countries and regions. Focusing efforts on those invasive alien species which are particularly detrimental, such as those which are the main driver of decline of threatened species, may be warranted.²²⁰ For example, on the IUCN Red list there are currently more than 3,700 critically endangered or endangered species which are affected by invasive alien species. Priority could be given the controlling or eradicating invasive alien species which are having serious detrimental effects on areas of importance for biodiversity areas, such Key Biodiversity Areas or Alliance for Zero Extinction sites.
- 133. While invasive alien species have clear negative effects on biodiversity, some of them have nonetheless become important to livelihoods and wellbeing, including for some indigenous peoples and local communities. As such, considerations should be given to the potential impacts of control and eradication efforts to livelihoods and how these may be addressed.
- 134. There are a number of international agreements and processes addressing invasive alien species. These include the International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediments, developed under the International Maritime Organization, which entered into force in 2017 and the updated International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures developed under the International Plant Protection Convention. The guidance and activities of these other processes could be considered in the actions taken to reach this proposed target. Further the IPBES thematic assessment on invasive alien species and their control, due to be finalized in 2023, will provide further useful information relevant to this proposed target.

Monitoring

- 135. Monitoring progress towards this target will require information on the rate of new invasive alien species introductions. Some of the information noted above could be helpful in informing discussions on this issue. For example the IUCN Global Register of Introduced and Invasive Species documents the cumulative number of invasive alien species and a standard Environmental Impact Classification of Alien taxa has been developed.²²¹ However this data can be associated with significant time delays between the time a species is introduced and reported as having established populations in a country or island. Its usefulness in monitoring progress towards this proposed target, especially given its ten year time period, would need to be determined.
- 136. Information on the number of successful eradication and control activities could also help to inform progress towards this target. Some of this information is available through available databases. However, the comprehensiveness of this information would need to be determined. Similarly, information on the eradication of invasive alien species from priority sites would require the identification of these sites as well as information on the eradication and control efforts ongoing in them. While some information is available for some areas, such as island ecosystems, the comprehensiveness of this information would need to be determined.

Links to other proposed goals and targets

²²⁰ Essl et al (2020) The Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)'s Post-2020 target on invasive alien species – what should it include and how should it be monitored? In Frameworks used in Invasion Science. NeoBiota 62: 99–121. https://doi.org/10.3897/neobiota.62.53972

²²¹ Pagad et al (2018). Introducing the Global Register of Introduced and Invasive Species. Scientific Data, 5, 170202. https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2017.202; IUCN (2020) IUCN EICAT Categories and Criteria. The Environmental Impact Classification for Alien Taxa: First edition. Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, United Kingdom. https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.CH.2020.05.en

137. The attainment of this target would directly contribute to proposed Goal A on ecosystems species and genetic diversity by helping to address one of the main direct drivers of biodiversity loss. It could also contribute to the attainment targets related to land and sea use and restoration (proposed target 1), to the effective management of protected areas and other effective area-based conservation measures (proposed target 2) and to the active management of species (proposed target 3) to the extent that invasive alien species are causing the changes in land- and seascapes and posing a threat to species.

Reducing pollution²²²

Target 6. By 2030, reduce pollution from all sources, including reducing excess nutrients [by x%], biocides [by x%], plastic waste [by x%] to levels that are not harmful to biodiversity and ecosystem functions and human health.

138. Pollution is one of the key direct drivers of biodiversity loss.²²³ There are many types of pollution and their impacts on biodiversity vary. Excess nutrients (especially nitrogen and phosphorus) cause eutrophication and 'dead zones' in freshwater and coastal areas, and negatively impacts and affects species composition in terrestrial, freshwater and coastal ecosystems. Pesticides, a type of biocide, directly kill some organisms and indirectly harm others. Plastic waste endangers marine animals, among other impacts. Noise and light pollution also disrupt the behaviour of many species.²²⁴ Most pollutants also have negative impacts on human health and many disproportionately affect indigenous peoples and local communities.²²⁵ Most forms of pollution are increasing in most parts of the world. To achieve the 2050 Vision and the proposed Goals of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework it will be necessary to reduce substantially levels of pollution. Many pollutants are also harmful to human wellbeing and contribute to other societal challenges, including air pollution, climate change and stratospheric ozone depletion

Status

- 139. The dynamics of different types of pollutants vary. Some pollutants directly cause the mortality of species while others may have broader impacts on entire ecosystems. Further some types of pollution can accumulate through time and are relatively long lived while others can be more easily removed or sequestered. In addition, some types of pollution originate from specific or distinct locations and events while others originate from more diffuse sources. Quantitative assessments of pollution tend to focus on a small number of variables and data quality and quantity is inconsistent across assessments, with information on greenhouse gases and particulates being the most consistently reported. These different dynamics makes it challenging to present information for pollution as a whole. Given this, information on the status on the three types of pollution identified in the proposed target are presented separately below:
- (a) Nutrients Excessive levels of nutrients, in particular of reactive nitrogen and phosphorous, are considered one of the main drivers of global change, affecting species composition in terrestrial, freshwater and coastal ecosystems with cascading effects on biodiversity, ecosystem function and human

²²² The text in this subsection draws on GBO-5, and references therein, in particular the sections on Aichi Biodiversity Target 8. Additional references are indicated in the text for specific points.

²²³ IPBES (2019): Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. E. S. Brondizio, J. Settele, S. Díaz, and H. T. Ngo (editors). IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany.

²²⁴ Sanders et al (2020). A meta-analysis of biological impacts of artificial light at night. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*. 5, 74–8). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-01322-x; Duarte et al (2021). The soundscape of the Anthropocene ocean. *Science* 371(6529), eaba4658. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba4658; Slabbekoorn (2019). Noise pollution. Quick Guide. *Current Biology* 29(19). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.07.018.

²²⁵ Fernández-Llamazares Á, et al (2020) A State-of-the-Art Review of Indigenous Peoples and Environmental Pollution. Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management May;16(3):324-341. https://doi.org/10.1002/ieam.4239.

²²⁶ IPBES (2019): Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. E. S. Brondizio, J. Settele, S. Díaz, and H. T. Ngo (editors). IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany.

wellbeing. Agricultural fertilizers are a major source of both nitrogen and phosphorous pollution though significant nitrogen pollution also comes from factory farming. The average use nitrogen use per area of cropland has remained stable during this decade, ²²⁷ and under business-as-usual scenarios, rates of nitrogen pollution are projected increase in many regions but decrease in others. ²²⁸Further despite increasing good practice in the use and management of fertilizers, nutrient levels continue to be at levels detrimental to biodiversity. Organic and nutrient enrichment, sewage, industrial discharges and land run-off have led to increases in oxygen low "dead zones" and algal blooms in both marine and freshwater ecosystems in the last 50 years. ²²⁹

- (b) Plastic Rates of plastic pollution are projected to increase 2.6 times by 2040, almost tripling the cumulative plastic waste in the oceans.²³⁰ Further marine plastic pollution has increased tenfold since 1980, affecting at least 267 species, including 86 per cent of marine turtles, 44 per cent of seabirds and 43 per cent of marine mammals.²³¹ Plastic ingestion by marine animals (birds, turtles, whales) combined with entanglement with floating litter create substantial risks to marine wildlife.²³² Further a recent study estimated that the rate of plastic ingestion by fish has doubled, increasing by about 2.4 per cent per year. This increase is attributed to increased rates of plastic consumption as well as improved methodologies to detect plastic in fish.²³³ Actions taken in many countries to minimize plastic waste and manage it in an environmentally sound manner have not been sufficient to reduce this source of pollution.
- (c) *Biocides*²³⁴ Biocides are a broad category of substances which are used to control, deter, or eliminate harmful organisms. Various biocides, including insecticides and pesticides, are used globally. Average pesticide use, while stable, remains at a level that has a detrimental impact on biodiversity. Further the use of certain types of pesticides have been found to be particularly detrimental for certain types of biodiversity, such as pollinators²³⁵. More generally the global demand for chemical based products continues to rise. Worldwide sales in chemicals were worth approximately US\$3.5 trillion (excluding pharmaceuticals) in 2017 and chemicals production is expected to double in size between 2017 and 2030.²³⁶ However not all of these chemicals are be biocides.

²²⁷ The term biocide may have different interpretations depending on national legislation so it is very important to define this term and what it encompasses e.g. synthetic pesticides etc.

https://www.bipindicators.net/indicators/trends-in-nitrogen-deposition, based on information from the International Nitrogen Initiative https://initrogen.org/; and Lamarque et al (2013) The Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP): overview and description of models, simulations and climate diagnostics. Geoscientific Model Development. 6, 179–206. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-6-179-2013

²²⁹ Global Chemical Outlook II, 2019 https://www.unenvironment.org/explore-topics/chemicals-waste/what-we-do/policy-and-governance/global-chemicals-outlook

²³⁰ Lau et al (2020). Evaluating scenarios toward zero plastic pollution. *Science* 369(6510) https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba9475.

²³¹ IPBES (2019): Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. E. S. Brondizio, J. Settele, S. Díaz, and H. T. Ngo (editors). IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany.

²³² Joint Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Environmental Protection (2015). *Fate and Effects of Microplastics in The Marine Environment: A Global Assessment*. Kershaw, P.J. (ed.). London: International Maritime Organization. http://ec.europa.eu/environment/marine/goodenvironmental-status/descriptor0/pdf/GESAMP_microplastics%20full%20study.pdf.

²³³ Savoca et al (2021) Plastic ingestion by marine fish is widespread and increasing. Global Change Biology https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15533

²³⁴ The term biocide may have different interpretations depending on national legislation. In the consideration of this proposed target it may be necessary to develop a common understanding of what this term encompasses.

²³⁵ For example, neonicotinoids, a widely used class of insecticides have been found to have particularly negative

²³⁵ For example, neonicotinoids, a widely used class of insecticides have been found to have particularly negative impacts honeybees.

²³⁶ United Nations Environment Programe (2019). Global Chemical Outlook II. https://www.unenvironment.org/explore-topics/chemicals-waste/what-we-do/policy-and-governance/global-chemicals-outlook

²²⁸ Biodiversity Indicators Partnership (2020). Trends in Nitrogen Deposition. https://www.bipindicators.net/indicators/trends-in-nitrogen-deposition_based_on_inform

- 140. In addition to the types of substances noted above a range of other substances can also be pollutants, including mercury²³⁷ and persistent organic pollutants (POPs). Further the impacts of noise and light pollution on biodiversity are increasing recognizes, though there is no global level information on how widespread these impacts are.²³⁸ In addition a growing category of pollution is e-waste. Production of electronic waste, which often contains toxic and hazardous chemicals, is a growing source of pollution with a reported increase of 20 per cent from 2014 to 2019. At the same time recycling rates remain low 17 per cent. ²³⁹
- 141. The impacts of pollution on biodiversity are difficult to quantify globally given the different types of pollutants and their varied impacts. However, the Red List Index indicates that impacts of pollution continue to drive species towards extinction. ²⁴⁰ Further water quality has continued to decline over the last five decades; it is estimated that over 80 per cent of urban and industrial wastewater is released to freshwater systems without adequate treatment, a volume six times as large as that in all of the world's rivers, i.e., 300-400 million tons of contaminants. ²⁴¹ This has direct implications for biodiversity as well as human health as nearly 50% of global inland fish catch comes from water basins under high or moderate threat including from pollution in its many forms. ²⁴²

Considerations

- 142. The actions taken to reach this proposed target will need to vary according to the pollutant under consideration and different metrics will be needed for different types of pollution. With regard to nitrogen a target of at least halving nitrogen waste by 2030, has been proposed,²⁴³ and case experience suggests that such a target would be feasible.²⁴⁴
- 143. With regard to pesticides, a number of studies show that pesticide use could be significantly reduced while increasing yields and reducing costs, especially when combined with the redesign of agricultural production systems (on-farm biodiversity could be both a contributor and a beneficiary to such a shift, see also Target 9). For example, empirical evidence shows that, in many systems, pesticide use can be reduced by between 20 per cent and 65 per cent without reducing yields or farmer income when accompanied by

²³⁷ Informal or poorly regulated artisanal and small-scale gold mining (ASGM), often using mercury and operating within or in the vicinity of protected areas, has been reported to be the cause of land degradation and deforestation, the contamination of soil and water bodies, and overuse of forest resources.

²³⁸ Sanders et al (2020). A meta-analysis of biological impacts of artificial light at night. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*. 5, 74–8). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-01322-x; Duarte et al (2021). The soundscape of the Anthropocene ocean. *Science* 371(6529), eaba4658. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba4658; Slabbekoorn (2019). Noise pollution. Quick Guide. *Current Biology* 29(19). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.07.018.

²³⁹ Forti et al (2020) The Global E-waste Monitor 2020: Quantities, flows and the circular economy potential. United Nations University (UNU)/United Nations Institute for Training and Research (UNITAR) – co-hosted SCYCLE Programme, International Telecommunication Union (ITU) & International Solid Waste Association (ISWA), Bonn/Geneva/Rotterdam. https://globalewaste.org

²⁴⁰ Biodiversity Indicators Partnership (2020). Red List Index (impacts of pollution). https://www.bipindicators.net/indicators/red-list-index/red-list-index-impacts-of-pollution

²⁴¹ IPBES (2019) Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany. https://ipbes.net/global-assessment

²⁴² FAO (2020) The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2020. Sustainability in action. Rome. https://doi.org/10.4060/ca9229en

²⁴³ Sutton et al (2021) The Nitrogen Decade: mobilizing global action on nitrogen to 2030 and beyond. One Earth 4(1), 10-14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2020.12.016 and Colombo Declaration on Sustainable Nitrogen Management. https://papersmart.unon.org/resolution/uploads/colombo_declaration_final_24_oct_2019.pdf
²⁴⁴ For example, Cui et al (2018) Pursuing sustainable productivity with millions of smallholder farmers. Nature 555, 363–366. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25785.

appropriate agronomic practices. ²⁴⁵ In some cases, improved yields and/or incomes can accompany reductions in pesticide use.

- 144. With regard to plastic, a recent expert study on plastic waste estimates that pollution rates could be reduced by about 40 per cent (from 2016 to 2040) through a combination of replacing, recycling and waste management, suggesting that a reduction of about 20 per cent by 2030 would be feasible with current and foreseeable technologies. After More generally, reduction in waste and pollution would be enabled by shifts to a more circular economy. After the pollution would be enabled by shifts to a more circular economy.
- 145. The list of potential pollutants is large and additional research is required to further identify and prioritise pollutants. Substances possibly requiring further research include bisphenol A, cadmium, triclosan²⁴⁸, mercury²⁴⁹ and other heavy metals, persistent organic pollutants, microplastics²⁵⁰, and endocrine disrupters.
- 146. The actions taken to reach this proposed target could help to implement several other international processes and regional seas conventions. For example, the Strategic Approach to International Chemicals Management (SAICM)²⁵¹ is developing a 'Beyond 2020' instrument with targets and possible indicators. Further the Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and their Disposal, the Rotterdam Convention on the Prior Informed Consent Procedure for Certain Hazardous Chemicals and Pesticides in International Trade, the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants, and the Minamata Convention on Mercury also have relevant processes which are relevant to this proposed target. Further, in 2019, the Conference of the Parties to the Basel Convention unanimously adopted the Plastic Waste Amendments.²⁵² Further, in the marine realm, the International Maritime Organisation has a

²⁴⁵ Lechenet et al (2017) Reducing pesticide use while preserving crop productivity and profitability on arable farms. Nature Plants volume 3(17008). https://doi.org/10.1038/nplants.2017.8; Jacquet et al (2011) An economic analysis of the possibility of reducing pesticides in French field crops. Ecological Economics 70(9),1638-1648. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2011.04.003; Vasileiadis et al (2016) Farm-scale evaluation of herbicide band application integrated with inter-row mechanical weeding for maize production in four European regions. Weed Research 56(4), 313-322. https://doi.org/10.1111/wre.12210; National Research Council. 2003. Frontiers in Agricultural Research: Food, Health, Environment, and Communities. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/10585.

²⁴⁶ The Pew Charitable Trusts and SYSTEMIQ (2020). Breaking the Plastic Wave. A comprehensive assessment of pathways towards stopping ocean plastic pollution. https://www.pewtrusts.org/-/media/assets/2020/10/breakingtheplasticwave mainreport.pdf

²⁴⁷ United Nations Environment Programe (2019). Global Chemical Outlook II. https://www.unenvironment.org/explore-topics/chemicals-waste/what-we-do/policy-and-governance/global-chemicals-outlook

²⁴⁸ United Nations Environment Programe (2019). Global Chemical Outlook II. https://www.unenvironment.org/explore-topics/chemicals-waste/what-we-do/policy-and-governance/global-chemicals-outlook

 ²⁴⁹ UN Environment (2019) Global Mercury Assessment 2018. UN Environment Programme, Chemicals and Health Branch Geneva, Switzerland. https://www.unep.org/resources/publication/global-mercury-assessment-2018
 ²⁵⁰ IPBES (2019) Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany.

²⁵¹ SAICM is a voluntary policy framework to promote chemical safety around the world. Through its multistakeholder and multi-sectoral approach, SAICM is contributing to the sound management of chemicals and waste through the entire life cycle and complements the above mentioned chemical Conventions as well other emerging policy issue and issues of concern (e.g. lead in paint, endocrine disrupting chemicals, environmental persistent pharmaceutical pollutants and nanomaterials).

pharmaceutical pollutants and nanomaterials).

252 With the Plastic Waste Amendments, all plastics waste and mixtures of plastics waste generated by Parties to the Basel Convention, with a few exceptions, will be subject to the prior informed consent procedure, unless they are destined for recycling in an environmentally sound manner and are almost free from contamination and other types of wastes. It will also provide an incentive for the private sector, governments and other stakeholders to strengthen capacities for recycling, and thus mitigating adverse impacts of unsound management of plastic waste on the biodiversity and ecosystem services.

series of conventions related to pollution, the principal one being the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (MARPOL) covering prevention of pollution of the marine environment by ships from operational or accidental causes. Other conventions relating to prevention of marine pollution include: International Convention Relating to Intervention on the High Seas in Cases of Oil Pollution Casualties, Convention on the Prevention of Marine Pollution by Dumping of Wastes and Other Matter and the London Protocol, International Convention on Oil Pollution Preparedness, Response and Co-operation, Protocol on Preparedness, Response and Co-operation to pollution Incidents by Hazardous and Noxious Substances, International Convention on the Control of Harmful Anti-fouling Systems on Ships, 2001 and the International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediments. As such there is a potential for synergies across multiple international processes, particularly with regards to common actions, shared indicators and data collection.

147. This proposed target is also linked to several of the targets under the Sustainable Development Goals. This includes targets 6.3, 253 12.4, 254 and 14.1. 255

Monitoring

148. Monitoring progress towards this proposed target will require information on the use of different types of pollutants. While some information on nutrients (in particular nitrogen), biocides and plastics is available, this information is not always collected in a consistent way or updated in a timeframe suitable for tracking progress towards a target with a 2030 deadline. Further as noted above trends in pollution vary by substance as do their impacts on the environment. This creates challenges in identifying appropriate baselines and indicators. However ongoing monitoring efforts, including those linked with the processes noted above could help to address some of these challenges. ²⁵⁶

Links to other proposed goals and targets

149. The attainment of this target would directly contribute to the progress towards proposed Goal A on ecosystems species and genetic diversity. To the extent that the actions taken to reach this target addressed issues related to waste, it would also contribute to proposed target 14 (sustainable production and supply chains) and 15 (sustainable consumption).

²⁵³ By 2030, improve water quality by reducing pollution, eliminating dumping and minimizing release of hazardous chemicals and materials, halving the proportion of untreated wastewater and substantially increasing recycling and safe reuse global.

²⁵⁴ By 2020, achieve the environmentally sound management of chemicals and all wastes throughout their life cycle, in accordance with agreed international frameworks, and significantly reduce their release to air, water and soil in order to minimize their adverse impacts on human health and the environment

²⁵⁵ By 2025, prevent and significantly reduce marine pollution of all kinds, in particular from land-based activities, including marine debris and nutrient pollution.

²⁵⁶ For example there is ongoing indicator work under the intersessional process on SAICM. The Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm conventions have a joint clearing-house mechanism that facilitate the exchange of information and expertise relevant to these conventions. The Stockholm Convention also has a Global Monitoring Plan data warehouse with monitoring data related to persistent organic pollutants. As part of the Minamata Convention information on Artisanal and small scale gold mining with mercury use is collected as part of National Action Plans and its specific strategies. The Food and Agriculture Organization, through FAOSTAT, collects information on pesticide and fertilizer use.

Climate change mitigation and adaption²⁵⁷

Target 7. By 2030, increase contributions to climate change mitigation adaption and disaster risk reduction from nature-based solutions and ecosystem-based approaches, ensuring resilience and minimizing any negative impacts on biodiversity.

150. Research on the causes and impacts of climate change makes it increasingly clear that the climate and biodiversity crises are interlinked.²⁵⁸ Biodiversity is threatened by climate change both directly and indirectly. Climate change is one of the key drivers of change to biodiversity, impacting species survival and distribution, contributing to ecosystem degradation, and exacerbating the effects of unsustainable ecosystem management.²⁵⁹ Its observed effects are accelerating across marine, terrestrial and freshwater ecosystems, with some ecosystems, such as coral reefs, already severely affected. ²⁶⁰ Climate change is projected to have progressively greater impacts becoming the largest driver of biodiversity loss in the second half of this century. Moreover, climate change impacts undermine ecosystem resilience and thus weaken the contribution of ecosystems to both mitigate and adapt to climate change. Limiting global warming and considering possible impacts of mitigation and adaptation strategies on biodiversity is thus of crucial importance to the 2050 Vision of living in harmony with nature.

Status and trends

151. The potential of working with nature to support climate change mitigation and adaptation is increasingly recognized. ²⁶¹ The terms "nature-based solutions" or "ecosystem-based approaches" are increasingly used to describe such approaches and are umbrella terms that cover a wide range of strategies including ecosystem-based adaptation, ²⁶² ecosystem-based mitigation, ecosystem-based disaster risk reduction, ²⁶³ 'green' and 'blue' infrastructure or 'ecological engineering'. However, it is important to note that nature-based solutions are not limited to addressing climate change and can include nature-based strategies for addressing other societal challenges such as those linked to food security or human health.

152. Nature-based solutions or ecosystem-based approaches for adaptation to climate change have been shown to be effective for many purposes, including to regulate water flows, protect shorelines, retain

²⁵⁷ The text in this subsection draws on GBO-5, and references therein, in particular the section related to the sustainable climate action transition. Additional references are indicated in the text for specific points.
 ²⁵⁸ IPCC (2019). Climate Change and Land: an IPCC special report on climate change, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems [P.R. Shukla, J. Skea, E. Calvo Buendia, V. Masson-Delmotte, H.-O. Pörtner, D. C. Roberts, P. Zhai, R. Slade, S. Connors, R. van Diemen, M. Ferrat, E. Haughey, S. Luz, S. Neogi, M. Pathak, J. Petzold, J. Portugal Pereira, P. Vyas, E. Huntley, K. Kissick, M. Belkacemi, J. Malley, (eds.)]. In press.) / IPBES (2019). Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. E. S. Brondizio, J. Settele, S. Díaz, and H. T. Ngo (editors). IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany / Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity (2020). Global Biodiversity Outlook 5. Montreal.

²⁵⁹ IPBES (2019) Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany; and Kapos et al (2019) The Role of the Natural Environment in Adaptation, Background Paper for the Global Commission on Adaptation. Rotterdam and Washington, D.C.: Global Commission on Adaptation.

²⁶⁰ IPBES (2019) Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany

²⁶¹ See e.g. The Nature-Based Solutions for Climate Manifesto, developed for the UN Climate Action Summit 2019, available at: https://wedocs.unep.org/xmlui/handle/20.500.11822/29705.

²⁶² The use of biodiversity and ecosystem functions and services, as part of an overall adaptation strategy, contributing to the well-being of societies, including indigenous peoples and local communities, and helping people adapt to the adverse effects of climate change; CBD/COP/DEC/14/5

²⁶³ The holistic, sustainable management, conservation and restoration of ecosystems to reduce disaster risk, with the aim to achieve sustainable and resilient development; Estrella and Saalismaa (2013) Ecosystem-based DRR: An overview. In: Renaud, F., K. Sudmeier-Rieux & M. Estrella (eds.). The role of ecosystems in disaster risk reduction, United Nations University Press, 440 pp.

agricultural soils and reduce air temperature in cities (sometimes in hybrid solutions combined with built infrastructure). Nature-based solutions can also reduce the occurrence and severity of disasters caused by extreme weather events, which are expected to become more common with climate change. Frequently cited advantages of nature-based solutions are there relatively low cost and the potential to generate cobenefits in the form of food, marketable products, jobs, biodiversity conservation, improved health, and recreation potential. Recent research has also highlighted the benefits that actions primarily motivated by biodiversity conservation can bring for climate change mitigation and adaptation and disaster risk reduction, and have consequently raised awareness of the importance of conservation and sustainable use. 267

153. Through various international processes, countries have made various pledges and commitments to promote or use nature-based solutions or ecosystem based approaches related climate change and disaster risk reduction, though the specifically terminology used often varies. These include through Land Degradation Neutrality plans under the UNCCD; disaster management plans under the Sendai Framework; pledges under the Bonn Challenge; National REDD+ Strategies and Action Plans; and Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) or National Adaptation Plans²⁶⁸ under the UNFCCC. Specifically with regards to the UNFCCC, as of 2019, 130 countries (66% of signatories to the Paris Agreement) had included nature-focussed actions for climate change mitigation and/or adaptation in the commitments made in their NDCs. ²⁶⁹ Further all National Adaptation Plans included measures corresponding to ecosystem-based adaptation. ²⁷⁰ However many of these commitments do not include quantitative values. In addition, adaptation actions are rarely linked to specific climate hazards and potential synergies between mitigation and adaptation are often missed. ²⁷¹ Further despite recent increases in actions that could be called nature-based solutions or ecosystem based approaches to climate change, such actions only receive a small share of overall funding for climate change mitigation and adaptation. ²⁷² Opportunities to make greater use of such

²⁶⁴ Global Commission on Adaptation (2019) Adapt Now: A Global Call for Leadership on Climate Resilience. Washington, DC: World Resources Institute; and Chausson et al (2020) Mapping the effectiveness of nature-based solutions for climate change adaptation. Global Change Biology 26(11), 6134 - 6155. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15310

²⁶⁵ UNDRR (2020) Ecosystem-Based Disaster Risk Reduction: Implementing Nature-based Solutions for Resilience, United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction – Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, Bangkok, Thailand.

²⁶⁶ Kapos et al (2019) The Role of the Natural Environment in Adaptation, Background Paper for the Global Commission on Adaptation. Rotterdam and Washington, D.C; Global Commission on Adaptation; United Nations Environment Programme (2021) Adaptation Gap Report 2020. Nairobi; IPCC (2019) Climate Change and Land: an IPCC special report on climate change, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems. In press.

²⁶⁷ De Lamo et al (2020) Strengthening synergies: how action to achieve post-2020 global biodiversity conservation targets can contribute to mitigating climate change. UNEP-WCMC, Cambridge, UK / WWF (2019) Enhancing Nationally Determined Contributions through Protected Areas. WWF, Washington, US.

²⁶⁸ Lo (2016). Synthesis report on experiences with ecosystem-based approaches to climate change adaptation and disaster risk reduction. Technical Series No.85. Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity, Montreal, 106 pages; United Nations Environment Programme (2021) Adaptation Gap Report 2020. Nairobi; and IUCN and UNCCD (2019) Report on the IUCN-UNCCD Joint Work Plan 2015-2020.

²⁶⁹ Seddon et al (2020) Global recognition of the importance of nature-based solutions to the impacts of climate change. Global Sustainability, 3, E15. https://doig.org/10.1017/sus.2020.8

²⁷⁰ Terton and Greenwalt (2020) Building Resilience with Nature: Ecosystem-based Adaptation in National Adaptation Plan Processes. NAP Global Network, Winnipeg, Canada.

²⁷¹ Seddon et al (2019) Nature-based Solutions in Nationally Determined Contributions: Synthesis and recommendations for enhancing climate ambition and action by 2020. Gland, Switzerland and Oxford, UK: IUCN and University of Oxford; United Nations Environment Programme (2021). Adaptation Gap Report 2020. Nairobi.

²⁷² United Nations Environment Programme (2021) Adaptation Gap Report 2020. Nairobi; Griscom et al (2017) Natural climate solutions. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114(44), pp.11645-11650. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1710465114

approaches, particularly in measures to protect or restore coastal ecosystems and peatlands, are often missed.²⁷³

154. While many types of nature-based solutions or ecosystem based approaches are compatible with biodiversity conservation and sustainable use and the provision of biodiversity benefits, there are also cases of programmes that have had negative impacts on biodiversity and/or on the wellbeing of indigenous peoples and local communities.²⁷⁴ Risks of such negative impacts could grow in future if climate action does not take account of social and environmental standards or safeguards.²⁷⁵

Considerations

155. The impacts on biodiversity are much greater with an increase of global temperatures by 2 degrees Celsius than by an increase of 1.5 degrees Celsius above pre-industrial levels. It has been estimated that with a global temperature increase of 2°C, five per cent of species will be at risk of climate-related extinction, while a 4.3°C warming would put 16 per cent of species at risk.²⁷⁶ Species extinctions will affect ecosystem services for human well-being and economic activity and may cause a downward spiral of resource depletion and increasing vulnerability²⁷⁷ as well as feedback loops leading to further emissions of carbon from vegetation and soils.²⁷⁸ Therefore effective climate action is a prerequisite to slowing and reversing biodiversity loss.

156. While strong actions to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from fossil fuel use and other industrial and agricultural activities remain indispensable, all modelled pathways analysed by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change to limit warming to 1.5°C or well below 2°C require land-based mitigation and action on land-use change.²⁷⁹ Further a number of studies indicate nature- based solutions could provide about one-third of the total net emission reduction effort required to keep climate change close to 1.5 degrees C above pre-industrial levels.²⁸⁰ In addition different types of nature-based solutions, such as conservation, ecosystem restoration and improved management of agriculture, could contribute to both climate change mitigation and adaptation, while also contributing to biodiversity goals, the provision of ecosystem services and disaster-risk reduction.

²⁷³ Seddon et al (2019) Nature-based Solutions in Nationally Determined Contributions: Synthesis and recommendations for enhancing climate ambition and action by 2020. Gland, Switzerland and Oxford, UK: IUCN and University of Oxford.

²⁷⁴ IPBES (2019) Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany

²⁷⁵ IPCC (2019) Climate Change and Land: an IPCC special report on climate change, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems. In press.

²⁷⁶ IPBES (2019) Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany

²⁷⁷ Kapos et al (2019). The Role of the Natural Environment in Adaptation, Background Paper for the Global Commission on Adaptation. Rotterdam and Washington, D.C.: Global Commission on Adaptation.

²⁷⁸ IPCC (2019) Climate Change and Land: an IPCC special report on climate change, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems. In press.

²⁷⁹ IPCC (2019) Climate Change and Land: an IPCC special report on climate change, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems. In press.)

²⁸⁰ Griscom et al (2017) Natural climate solutions. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science 114 (44) 11645-11650; https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1710465114 and Roe et al (2019) Contribution of the land sector to a 1.5 °C world. Nature Climate Change. 9, 817–828. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0591-9.

157. While many nature-based solutions have co-benefits for biodiversity, this is not always the case, and careful assessment of synergies and trade-offs is required. ²⁸¹ In particular, large scale tree planting is not always appropriate, especially of non-native species in monoculture plantations. Further some strategies for coping with climate change, such as intensive cultivation of bioenergy crops or construction of dams, seawalls and large-scale infrastructure for irrigation, may further increase pressures on biodiversity. ²⁸² Concerns have also been raised that nature-based solutions may distract from action in other areas, such as the reduction of emissions from fossil fuels. It will be important therefore to avoid or minimize potential negative impacts. Further to ensure fairness, equity and effectiveness, indigenous peoples and local communities should be fully involved in the development and implementation of ecosystem-based approaches. Stakeholder participation and respect for the rights of indigenous peoples and local communities (proposed target 20) will be critical in this. Further the application of environmental and social safeguards or standards could be encouraged as a way to achieve this.

158. Barriers to a wider uptake of nature-based solutions for climate change and disaster risk reduction include a lack of awareness within relevant sectors, information gaps, and limited access to existing information on distribution and management options for ecosystem services relevant to climate change mitigation and adaptation and the buffering of natural hazards. 283 However there are a number of relevant initiatives and declarations which could help overcome these barriers and also offer opportunities for creating 'virtuous cycles', in which nature-based solutions reduce climate impacts and strengthen the resilience of biodiversity and its capacity to continue to provide services. Examples include the Nature-based Solutions for Climate Manifesto, ²⁸⁴ launched at the Secretary General's Climate Action Summit in 2019, the Nature-Based Solutions Action Track of the Global Commission on Adaptation,²⁸⁵ and the decisions made at the twenty-fifth meeting of the Conference of the Parties to UNFCCC underlining the essential contribution of nature to addressing climate change and its impacts and the need to address biodiversity loss and climate change in an integrated manner. 286 Nature-based solutions have also been identified by the Presidency of the twenty-sixth meeting of the Conference of the Parties to UNFCCC as a priority for that meeting. 287 Naturebased solutions have also been highlighted as a possible cornerstone for a green recovery from the economic crisis caused by the Covid-19 pandemic.²⁸⁸

²⁸¹ IPBES (2019) Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. E. S. Brondizio, J. Settele, S. Díaz, and H. T. Ngo (editors). IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany

²⁸² Berry and Paterson (2010) Climate change mitigation and adaptation measures and biodiversity. In, Settele, et al (eds.) Atlas of Biodiversity Risk. Pensoft. pp. 194-195. ISBN: 9789546424464; and IPBES (2019) Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany

²⁸³ Kapos, V., Wicander, S., Salvaterra, T., Dawkins, K., Hicks, C. 2019. The Role of the Natural Environment in Adaptation, Background Paper for the Global Commission on Adaptation. Rotterdam and Washington, D.C.: Global Commission on Adaptation. / Statistics Norway (2018). Barriers to progress in REDD preparations. Can high quality satellite data save time and costs? Statistisk sentralbyrå. Oslo-Kongsvinger, Norway. / Somarakis, G., Stagakis, S., and Chrysoulakis, N. (Eds.). (2019). ThinkNature Nature-Based Solutions Handbook. ThinkNature project funded by the EU Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 730338. doi:10.26225/jerv-w202.

²⁸⁴ Available at: https://wedocs.unep.org/bitstream/han-dle/20.500.11822/29705/190825NBSManifesto.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y

²⁸⁵ https://www.wri.org/our-work/project/global-commission-adaptation/action-tracks/nature-based-solutions

²⁸⁶ UNFCCC Dec. 1/CP.25, available at https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/cp2019 13a01E.pdf

²⁸⁷ https://www.gov.uk/government/speeches/increasing-ambition-towards-a-climate-resilient-zero-carbon-economy

²⁸⁸ Nature4Climate (2020). Nature-Positive Recovery: For People, Economy & Climate. Report. / WWF&ILO (2020). Nature Hires: How Nature-based Solutions can power a green jobs recovery. Gland and Geneva, Switzerland.

159. Actions towards this target could contribute to several of the targets under the Sustainable Development Goals. This includes targets 1.5,²⁸⁹ 11.b, ²⁹⁰ 13.1,²⁹¹ among others. Similarly they would also contribute to targets or objectives under other international processes including the UNFCCC and the Paris Agreement, the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction, resolutions on climate change and wetlands under the Ramsar Convention, the New York Declaration on Forests, the UN Decade on Ecosystem Restoration and the Bonn Challenge on Forest and Landscape Restoration among others.

Monitoring

- 160. Information on potential and achieved emission reductions/sequestration is available from IPCC reports, national climate change strategies and related documents (such as national REDD+ strategies) and national reporting to the UNFCCC. In the case of climate change adaptation and disaster risk reduction, less information is available. Further there are no global level estimates or maps showing the potential for ecosystem-based adaptation and ecosystem based disaster risk reduction and few national level assessments of ecosystem services that support adaptation or opportunities to reduce vulnerability through restoration. Further reporting on nature-based solutions actions and their results is not standardized and thus hard to synthesize meaningfully. Information on achieved reductions in vulnerability is particularly hard to obtain due to the difficulty in establishing counterfactuals (information on what would have happened without the measures).
- 161. In the near term, information on national commitments and plans through the processes noted above could be used to help monitor progress towards this target. In addition opportunities for the collection of further information may exist through synergies with other initiatives, including the Task Force on Monitoring of the UN Decade on Ecosystem Restoration, efforts to develop indicators for Land Degradation Neutrality under the Sustainable Development Goals and related efforts under the UNCCD, and national reporting on national determined contributions under the UNFCCC.

Links to other proposed goals and targets

162. The attainment of this target would directly contribute to the progress towards proposed Goal A on ecosystems species and genetic diversity. It would also contribute to the attainment of the targets addressing land use change (target 1), protected areas and other effective area based conservation measures (target 2), nature-based solutions ecosystem-based approaches to the regulation of air and water quality, hazards and extreme events (proposed target 10) and access to green and blue spaces (target 11).

²⁸⁹ By 2030, build the resilience of the poor and those in vulnerable situations and reduce their exposure and vulnerability to climate-related extreme events and other economic, social and environmental shocks and disasters.

²⁹⁰ By 2020, substantially increase the number of cities and human settlements adopting and implementing integrated policies and plans towards inclusion, resource efficiency, mitigation and adaptation to climate change, resilience to disasters, and develop and implement, in line with the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015–2030, holistic disaster risk management at all levels

²⁹¹ Strengthen resilience and adaptive capacity to climate related hazards and natural disasters in all countries

IV. SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION RELATED TO PROPOSED TARGETS 8 TO 12 ADDRESSING MEETING PEOPLE'S NEEDS THROUGH SUSTAINABLE USE AND BENEFIT-SHARING

Meeting people's needs through sustainable use and benefit-sharing²⁹²

Target 8. By 2030, ensure benefits, including nutrition, food security, livelihoods, health and well-being, for people, especially for the most vulnerable through sustainable management of wild species of fauna and flora.

163. Biodiversity is the source of many goods and services on which human well-being depends. These are particularly important for people living in vulnerable situations. However, while they are essential to well-being, the pressures placed on biodiversity to deliver them often impacts their continued provision. Conversely the maintenance, in quantity and quality, of these benefits provides an important incentive for the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity. Ultimately it will not be possible to reach the 2050 Vision if the benefits provided by biodiversity, particularly those related to nutrition, food security livelihoods, health and well-being are not ensured.

Status and trends

164. Wild species of flora and fauna contribute to human well-being in multiple ways.²⁹³ Contributions to food and nutrition are particularly important. For example, globally it is estimated that bushmeat can make up to 85 per cent of protein intake of people living in or near forests while more than 30 million people are estimated to be reliant on reef-based resources to meet their food, income and livelihood needs.²⁹⁴ Further more than 28,000 plant species, 723 of which are threatened with extinction, have medicinal uses,²⁹⁵ while thousands of wild species used for food have been recorded, including large numbers of plants, birds, insects, mammals and mushrooms.²⁹⁶ In addition, a recent review on the relationship between intraspecific (genetic and phenotypic) diversity and nature's contributions to people identified 22 species of plants, fishes, insects, and fungi whose morphological and physiological characteristics and life histories were important to the provision of different contributions to people.²⁹⁷

165. The unsustainable harvest and overexploitation of wild species are major threats to biodiversity and associated ecosystem services, causing recent increases in extinction rates and biodiversity decline as well

²⁹² The text in this subsection draws on GBO-5, and references therein, in particular the sections related to the sustainable food systems transition, the sustainable agriculture transition and the biodiversity-inclusive One Health Transition. Additional references are indicated in the text for specific points.

²⁹³ For additional information see the text and references associated with proposed target 4

²⁹⁴ Sumaila (2017) Investments to reverse biodiversity loss are economically beneficial. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability. 29, 82-88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2018.01.007

²⁹⁵ Antonelli *et al.*, (2020). *State of the World's Plants and Fungi 2020*. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew. DOI: https://doi.org/10.34885/172

²⁹⁶ FAO. 2019. The State of the World's Biodiversity for Food and Agriculture. FAO Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture Assessments. Rome. 572 pp.

²⁹⁷ Des Roches et al (2021) Conserving intraspecific variation for nature's contributions to people. Nature Ecology and Evolution. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-021-01403-5

as creating potential risks for human health and wellbeing. ²⁹⁸ Overexploitation can also impact the abundance of (common) species by reducing their density or distribution range, fragmenting populations, impacting the role in ecosystem function and reducing genetic diversity. ²⁹⁹ However, managed sustainably, the use of wild species has the potential to reduce extinction risk and meet human needs. ³⁰⁰

Considerations

166. Many of the pressures on wild species of flora and fauna arise from efforts to maximize the provision of one type of benefit. For example, maximizing the use of forest resources for the harvest of timber (livelihoods) may undermine the provision of benefits related to nutrition, food security and health. Similarly, pressure to maximize one type of benefit in the short term may also undermine the provision of benefits in the long term. For example, unsustainable fishing practices may benefit current livelihoods but will ultimately harm them in the long term. Given this there is a need for strategies which avoid unnecessarily trade-offs resulting from maximizing one benefit at the expense of another and which optimize the provision of multiple benefits in the long term.³⁰¹

167. Different actions and metrics to track progress towards this proposed target may be needed depending on the benefit and/or species being considered. Further many of the actions needed to reach this target will overlap with those required for proposed target 3 related to the active management of wild species of fauna and flora as well as with proposed target 4 on the legal, safe and sustainable harvest, trade and use of wild species of fauna and flora. The IPBES Assessment on sustainable use of wild species, due to be finalized in 2022, will provide further useful information relevant to this proposed target.

Monitoring

168. Monitoring progress towards this target could use information on trends in the different kinds and amounts of benefits people derive from wild species of flora and fauna. Similarly, information on the number of people benefiting from the use of wild species of flora and fauna could be used to monitor progress. However, while there are numerous examples of how wild species contribute to nutrition, food security, livelihoods, health and wellbeing, there is currently no global level aggregation of this type of information nor is there comprehensive information on the number of people benefiting from these types of species.

Links to other proposed goals and targets

²⁹⁸'t Sas-Rolfes et al (2019) Illegal Wildlife Trade: Scale, Processes, and Governance. Annual Review of Environment and Resources 44:201–28. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-101718-033253; Joppa et al (2016) Filling in biodiversity threats gaps. Science 80 (353), 416–418. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf3565; IPBES (2019) Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany; Can et al (2019) Dealing with deadly pathogens: Taking stock of the legal trade in live wildlife and potential risks to human health. Global Ecology and Conservation 17 (2019) e00515. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2018.e00515; WWF (2020) Living Planet Report 2020 - Bending the curve of biodiversity loss. WWF, Gland, Switzerland. https://livingplanet.panda.org/en-us/ and United Nations Environment Programme and International Livestock Research Institute (2020) Preventing the Next Pandemic: Zoonotic diseases and how to break the chain of transmission. Nairobi, Kenya. https://www.unep.org/resources/report/preventing-future-zoonotic-disease-outbreaks-protecting-environment-animals-and

²⁹⁹ Reynolds et al (2006) Overexploitation. Chapter 8 in Principles of Conservation Biology, 3rd Edition (pp.253-277). Sinauer; and Kenchington (2003) The effects of fishing on species and genetic diversity. In FAO, 2003. Responsible Fisheries in the Marine Ecosystem.

³⁰⁰ Cooney et al (2018) Wildlife, Wild Livelihoods: Involving Communities in Sustainable Wildlife Management and Combatting the Illegal Wildlife Trade. United Nations Environment Programme, Nairobi, Kenya. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.11770.85449 and Marsh et al (2020) Prevalence of sustainable and unsustainable use of wild species inferred from the IUCN Red List. bioRxiv preprint. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.04.367763
³⁰¹ Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity (2010) Global Biodiversity Outlook 3. Montréal, 94 pages

169. The attainment of this target would directly contribute to the progress towards proposed Goal B on nature's contributions to people. It would also contribute to the attainment of the proposed targets addressing species management (target 3) and reducing overexploitation (target 4).

Sustainability of agriculture and other managed ecosystems³⁰²

Target 9. By 2030, support the productivity, sustainability and resilience of biodiversity in agricultural and other managed ecosystems through conservation and sustainable use of such ecosystems, reducing productivity gaps by at least [50%].

170. The expansion of agricultural land to meet the growing human demands for food, fibre and energy is currently the most important cause of biodiversity loss globally. 303 Population growth and changing lifestyles are projected to further drive the demand for agricultural products. 304 Considering these trends agriculture is likely to remain a major driver of biodiversity loss into the future; therefore increasing the productivity (i.e. reduce productivity gaps) and sustainability of agriculture and other managed ecosystems is essential to put the world on track to reach the 2050 Vision for Biodiversity. 305 Achieving the 2050 Vision and the proposed Goals will also require reducing the use pesticide, fertilizers and water to sustainable levels and improving the management of soils and forests. This proposed target focuses on these objectives and specifically on how enhanced biodiversity in agricultural and other managed ecosystems can contribute to these objectives.

Status and trends

171. Crop and grazing lands cover around 40 per cent of the global land surface and this is expected to increase under business as usual.³⁰⁶ Traditional methods of intensification of production on existing land tend to leads to a simplification of production landscapes in terms of both ecosystems and species, and often have negative externalities, such as soil degradation, pollution from excess fertilisers and pesticides, the use of antibiotics, and water depletion among others, that all impact further on biodiversity beyond the simple loss of habitat. ³⁰⁷

³⁰² The text in this subsection draws on GBO-5, and references therein, in particular the sections related to the Aichi Biodiversity Target 7, the sustainable food systems transition, and the sustainable agriculture transition. Additional references are indicated in the text for specific points.

³⁰³ IPBES (2019): Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. E. S. Brondizio, J. Settele, S. Díaz, and H. T. Ngo (editors). IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany; Newbold et al. (2015) Global effects of land use on local terrestrial biodiversity. Nature 520, 45–50. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14324

³⁰⁴ Tilman et al (2011). Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture. *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences*, 108(50), 20260-20264. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1116437108; Kastner et al (2012). Global changes in diets and the consequences for land requirements for food. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(18), 6868-6872. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1117054109

³⁰⁵ Leclère, D., et al (2020). Bending the curve of terrestrial biodiversity needs an integrated strategy. *Nature*, 585(7826), 551-556. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2705-y; Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity (2020) Global Biodiversity Outlook 5. Montreal

³⁰⁶ Ramankutty et al (2015). Farming the planet: 1. Geographic distribution of global agricultural lands in the year 2000. Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles 22, GB1003. https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GB002952; Kastner et al (2012). Global changes in diets and the consequences for land requirements for food. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(18), 6868-6872. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1117054109

³⁰⁷ European Environment Agency (2019) The European environment – state and outlook 2020. https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/soer-2020; Kleijn et al (2009) On the relationship between farmland biodiversity and land-use intensity in Europe. Proceedings of the royal society B: biological sciences, 276(1658), pp.903-909. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2008.1509; Geiger et al (2010) Persistent negative effects of pesticides on biodiversity and biological control potential on European farmland. Basic and Applied Ecology, 11(2), pp.97-105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2009.12.001; FAO. 2019. The State of the World's Biodiversity for Food and Agriculture, FAO Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture Assessments. Rome. 572 pp.

- 172. A substantial amount of the world's biodiversity is found in ecosystems managed by people, and biodiversity in these ecosystems underpins their productivity and resilience. Biodiversity can be harnessed to sustainably increase productivity in managed ecosystems through practices that seek to improve ecological functions (e.g. integrated pest management), support system resilience and reduce the need for inputs that are harmful for biodiversity³⁰⁸. For example, diversified production systems, that integrate multiple crops, livestock, fish and trees on farms promote productivity and sustainability,³⁰⁹ including through synergistic interactions; biodiversity in production landscapes also helps reduce the incidence of pests and diseases; and, abundant and diverse pollinators support improved yields and nutritional quality of crops that depend on animal pollination.³¹⁰ Conversely, reductions in biodiversity can reduce the ability of managed ecosystems to provide these services. More diverse ecosystems are also more resilient to shocks, natural hazards, climate variability and change.
- 173. Productive agricultural and other managed ecosystems support global and local social, environmental and economic goals. The biodiversity within these systems produces the food, nutrition, feed, fibre and energy we need, support regulating and other services that maintain the provision of these benefits and services like clean water and a liveable climate. Biodiversity in managed ecosystems supports food security and nutrition in poor rural livelihoods.³¹¹ Agricultural and other managed ecosystems often also provide important non-material benefits as they foster social cohesion, a sense of belonging and often aesthetic enjoyment.
- 174. There has been a substantial expansion of efforts to promote sustainable agriculture, forestry and aquaculture over recent years. Despite this progress, biodiversity continues to decline in landscapes used to produce food and timber; and food and agricultural production remains among the main drivers of global biodiversity loss.³¹² However trends in agricultural, forestry and aquaculture systems vary:
- (a) Agriculture The negative impacts of agricultural expansion and intensification on biodiversity have been well documented and include species population declines, loss of range and increased extinction risks, loss of habitats, loss of genetic diversity including of crop and livestock wild relatives. 313 While the use of fertilizers and pesticides has stabilized globally, it has done so at high levels. Further, many key components of biodiversity in food and agriculture at genetic, species and ecosystem levels are in decline. 314 Changes in land use and agricultural production practices can also drive the emergence of new

³⁰⁸ FAO (2019) The State of the World's Biodiversity for Food and Agriculture. FAO Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture Assessments. Rome. 572 pp.

³⁰⁹ Renard and Tilman (2019) National food production stabilized by crop diversity. Nature 571, 257–260. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1316-y

³¹⁰ Pacheco et al (2013) Integration of crops, livestock, and forestry: a system of production for the Brazilian Cerrados. Embrapa Arroz e Feijão-Capítulo em livro científico (ALICE); Little and Edwards (2003) Integrated livestock-fish farming systems. Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United nations. Rome http://www.fao.org/3/y5098e/y5098e00.htm; Bianchi et al (2006) Sustainable pest regulation in agricultural landscapes: a review on landscape composition, biodiversity and natural pest control. Proceedings of the Royal Society B. 2731715–1727, http://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2006.3530; Zheng et al (2017) Traditional symbiotic farming technology in China promotes the sustainability of a flooded rice production system. Sustainability Science 12(1), 155-161.https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-016-0399-8; Snyder (2019) Give predators a complement: Conserving natural enemy biodiversity to improve biocontrol. Biological Control. 135. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-016-0399-8; Snyder (2019) Give predators a complement: Conserving natural enemy biodiversity to improve biocontrol. Biological Control. 135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2019.04.017; IPBES (2016). The assessment report of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services, Bonn, Germany. 552 pages.

³¹¹ FAO (2019) The State of the World's Biodiversity for Food and Agriculture. FAO Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture Assessments. Rome. 572 pp

³¹² Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity (2020) Global Biodiversity Outlook 5. Montreal

³¹³ FAO (2019) The State of the World's Biodiversity for Food and Agriculture. FAO Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture Assessments. Rome. 572 pp

³¹⁴ FAO (2019) The State of the World's Biodiversity for Food and Agriculture. FAO Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture Assessments. Rome. 572 pp

pests and diseases, for example in livestock and humans.³¹⁵ These impacts vary across regions and countries and across systems and scale. High-input monoculture-based cropping over large areas or intensive livestock production leads to different impacts from intensive but more diversified agricultural landscapes or from low-input shifting cultivation systems. However the use of practices and approaches regarded as favourable to the sustainable use and conservation of biodiversity in agricultural ecosystems is reportedly increasing.³¹⁶ These include integrated land management, land rehabilitation, support to organic agriculture, agroforestry development, promoting research on crop efficiency and resilience, encouraging agricultural diversification and lower fertilizer use.³¹⁷ With regards to yield gaps, these vary across crops, production systems and regions. While data exists for several crops under different biophysical (climate, soil, physiography) and socio-economic contexts, there is no global productivity gap value across all agricultural or other production systems. Further land degradation, an important cause of productivity gaps, has reduced productivity in 23 per cent of the global terrestrial area while between \$235billion and \$577 billion in annual global crop output is at risk as a result of pollinator loss.³¹⁸

- (b) Forestry Globally, about 1.15 billion hectares of forest is managed primarily to produce wood and non-wood forest products, and this area has remained relatively stable since 1990. The area of forest under long-term management plans has increased significantly to an estimated 2.05 billion hectares in 2020, equivalent to 54% of the forest area, an increase of around 10% since 2010. The area of forestry certified under the Forest Stewardship Council or the Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification schemes has increased significantly within the last decade (by 28.5% during 2010-2019). This indicates a growing proportion of timber production for which there is third party verification of responsible forest management related to biodiversity conservation, as well as social, economic, cultural and ethical dimensions. Despite these advances, overall, biodiversity in forests continue to decline.³¹⁹
- (c) Aquaculture Aquaculture includes production of a broad variety of aquatic plants, seaweeds, algae, molluscs, crustaceans and echinoderms, as well as finfish. It takes place in inland, coastal and marine environments. The contribution of aquaculture to global fish production is increasing, though aquaculture growth rates have slowed from the rapid expansion of the first decade of this century. Overall, much inland-water aquaculture, constituting approximately two-thirds of the total world production, is considered sustainable. However, expansion of aquaculture can have negative impacts on coastal and marine ecosystems and affect species diversity, through direct destruction of coastal wetlands (for example mangroves) and pollution (for example from nutrients, feces, antibiotics) of coastal habitats as well as the introduction of alien invasive species and pathogens. Some types of aquaculture also require large amounts of fish meal, which contributes to further depleting fisheries stocks, though these effects are species dependent. However the proportion of fish meal coming from capture fisheries is declining, with more

³¹⁵ Keesing et al (2010) Impacts of biodiversity on the emergence and transmission of infectious diseases. Nature, 468(7324), pp.647-652. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09575

³¹⁶ Pretty et al (2018) Global assessment of agricultural system redesign for sustainable intensification. Nature Sustainability, 1(8), pp.441-446 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-018-0114-0

³¹⁷ FAO (2019) The State of the World's Biodiversity for Food and Agriculture. FAO Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture Assessments. Rome. 572 pp; and Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity (2020) Global Biodiversity Outlook 5. Montreal

³¹⁸ IPBES (2019) Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany.

³¹⁹ IPBES (2019) Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany.

³²⁰ FAO (2020) The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2020. Sustainability in action. Rome. https://doi.org/10.4060/ca9229en

³²¹ Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity (2020) Global Biodiversity Outlook 5. Montreal ³²² Naylor et al (2000) Effect of aquaculture on world fish supplies. Nature, 405(6790), 1017–1024. https://doi.org/10.1038/35016500

coming from bycatch, and the farming of seaweed and microalgae. Technological improvements in aquaculture are reducing impacts on water quality.³²³

Considerations

- 175. To meet the objectives of the proposed target, actions are needed on two main fronts: i) restoring and increasing productivity of agricultural and other managed ecosystems where large productivity gaps exist in order to avoid further expansion into natural ecosystems, and ii) conserving and sustainably using biodiversity to support the productivity, sustainability and resilience of these ecosystems.
- 176. The fifth edition of the Global Biodiversity Outlook sets out a number of components to enhance productivity of managed ecosystems while minimising negative impacts on biodiversity. 324 A major component of this is 'sustainable intensification'. Sustainable intensification aims to improve the efficiency of use of land and inputs of water, fertilizers and pesticides, including through genetic improvements to crops and livestock and substituting external inputs, based on agroecological approaches.
- A and proposed targets 1 and 2). Many approaches for sustainable intensification are available that can help to minimise negative impacts of fertilisers and pesticides, increase the efficiency of land, nutrient and water use, and harness biodiversity to support increased productivity whilst reducing pollution (supporting proposed target 6). Examples of the types of actions needed include increasing the use of integrated pest management, reducing and more targeted use of pesticides, antibiotics, fertilizers and irrigation water, decreasing soil erosion, decreasing residues and runoff of pesticides and excess nutrients, increasing resource use efficiency and reducing pollinator-dependent yield deficits. The actions to reach this target would also have co-benefits for biodiversity and help to improve the diversity and abundance of organisms, in particular insects and birds, including the abundance of pollinators and natural enemies of pests. For example, a recent study looking at agri-envionmental schemes could be used to increase species richness. ³²⁵ Other actions could include the conservation or restoration of native habitats within working landscapes of agricultural and other managed ecosystems and a recent study recommended that 20 per cent of native habitat to support conservation and ecosystem services. ³²⁶
- 178. The biophysical potential to increase yields in a sustainable manner varies globally, depending on climate, soil quality, and access to water, but landscapes also vary in their inherent biodiversity, the production systems they can support, and socio-economic factors that may affect their adoption. 327 Therefore, approaches to sustainable agricultural intensification for reducing productivity gaps should be location specific.
- 179. Productivity gaps are generally considered at the level of a product (e.g. yield gaps in crops, feed conversion ratios for meat etc.). However, some production systems can be designed specifically for diverse functions, for example, in agroforestry systems where productivity of one crop (e.g. cocoa, oil palm) is not maximised but the system also produces other crops, timber, food, and services like carbon sequestration and water regulation. Such systems can be designed to use land more efficiently than monocultures, so that

³²³ FAO (2020) The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2020. Sustainability in action. Rome. https://doi.org/10.4060/ca9229en

³²⁴ Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity (2020) Global Biodiversity Outlook 5. Montreal ³²⁵ Fabian et al (2021) A multitaxa assessment of the effectiveness of agri-environmental schemes for biodiversity management. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 118 (10) e2016038118; https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2016038118

³²⁶ Garibaldi et al (2020) Working landscapes need at least 20% native habitat. Conservation Letters. e12773. https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12773

³²⁷ Cunningham et al (2013) To close the yield-gap while saving biodiversity will require multiple locally relevant strategies. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 173, pp.20-27; Tilman et al (2011) Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 108(50), 20260-20264. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1116437108; Baudron and Giller (2014) Agriculture and nature: Trouble and Strife? Biological Conservation. 170, 232–245. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2013.12.009

through their "land sharing", they may support "land sparing". In this case the consideration of "multifunctionality gaps" may be more appropriate than productivity. So-called Multifunctional Land Equivalent Ratios can be used to assess such gaps.³²⁸

- 180. Furthermore, land use planning and restoration (proposed target 1) can support context and scale appropriate action to meet productivity targets in agricultural and other managed ecosystems, whilst supporting their sustainability and resilience and avoid expansion into natural habitats; reducing pollution from excess nutrients and biocides will help reduce impacts of agriculture on biodiversity, whilst sustainable agricultural practices can help support this reduction (proposed target 6). Finally, sustainable supply chains that aim to reduce their impacts on biodiversity (proposed target 14) can help drive the changes needed towards achieving the proposed target.
- 181. Recent analysis illustrates the strong interlinkages between biodiversity and the world's ability to meet the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development and identifies biodiversity as one of the most potent levers to achieve sustainability. ³²⁹ In particular actions to reach this proposed target could also help to reach SDG targets 2.3, ³³⁰ 2.4, ³³¹ 2.5, ³³² 6.4, ³³³ 14.4, ³³⁴ 14.7, ³³⁵ 15.1, ³³⁶ and 15.2. ³³⁷ Other international agreements and organisations with relevant targets, processes or objectives include the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, the International Tropical Timber Agreement, the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, FAO's global plans of action and the New York Declaration on Forests.

Monitoring

³²⁸ Khasanah et al (2020) Oil Palm Agroforestry Can Achieve Economic and Environmental Gains as Indicated by Multifunctional Land Equivalent Ratios. Frontiers in Sustainable. Food Systems. 3: 122. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2019.00122

³²⁹ Blicharska et al (2019) Biodiversity's contributions to sustainable development. Nature Sustainability 2, 1083–1093 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-019-0417-9; Obrecht et al (2021) Achieving the SDGs with Biodiversity. Swiss Academies Factsheets, 16(1), pp.1-11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-019-0417-9; Obrecht et al (2021) Achieving the SDGs with Biodiversity. Swiss Academies Factsheets, 16(1), pp.1-11. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4457298 and Gil et al (2018) Sustainable development goal 2: Improved targets and indicators for agriculture and food security. Ambio, 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-018-1101-4

³³⁰ By 2030, double the agricultural productivity and incomes of small-scale food producers, in particular women, indigenous peoples, family farmers, pastoralists and fishers, including through secure and equal access to land, other productive resources and inputs, knowledge, financial services, markets and opportunities for value addition and non-farm employment

³³¹ By 2030, ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilient agricultural practices that increase productivity and production, that help maintain ecosystems, that strengthen capacity for adaptation to climate change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and that progressively improve land and soil quality ³³²By 2020, maintain the genetic diversity of seeds, cultivated plants and farmed and domesticated animals and their related wild species, including through soundly managed and diversified seed and plant banks at the national, regional and international levels, and promote access to and fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising from the utilization of genetic resources and associated traditional knowledge, as internationally agreed

³³³ By 2030, substantially increase water-use efficiency across all sectors and ensure sustainable withdrawals and supply of freshwater to address water scarcity and substantially reduce the number of people suffering from water scarcity

³³⁴ By 2020, effectively regulate harvesting and end overfishing, illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing and destructive fishing practices and implement science-based management plans, in order to restore fish stocks in the shortest time feasible, at least to levels that can produce maximum sustainable yield as determined by their biological characteristics

³³⁵ By 2030, increase the economic benefits to small island developing States and least developed countries from the sustainable use of marine resources, including through sustainable management of fisheries, aquaculture and tourism ³³⁶ By 2020, ensure the conservation, restoration and sustainable use of terrestrial and inland freshwater ecosystems and their services, in particular forests, wetlands, mountains and drylands, in line with obligations under international agreements

³³⁷ By 2020, promote the implementation of sustainable management of all types of forests, halt deforestation, restore degraded forests and substantially increase afforestation and reforestation globally

182. Assessing progress in reducing productivity gaps ³³⁸ will require an assessment of potential productivity. As productivity gaps are context specific it may be challenging to assess this issue globally with a singly measure. Alternatively, so-called multifunctional land equivalent ratios could also be considered to assess progress in reducing the productivity gap across multiple relevant objectives, such as crop production, carbon sequestration, water regulation and other ecosystem services. ³³⁹ However, using such approaches globally and for different crop types may pose challenges. Information on changes in practices that affect sustainability and resilience could also be used to track progress towards this target. However, this would require further analysis in order to directly link this information to productivity gaps.

Links to other proposed goals and targets

183. The attainment of this target would directly contribute to the progress towards proposed Goal B on nature's contributions to people. It would also contribute to the attainment of the proposed targets addressing land/sea use change (target 1), species management (target 3), reducing overexploitation (target 4), sustainable production and supply chains (target 14), and sustainable consumption (target 15). It may also contribute to target 16 on biosafety, to the extent that actions taken to reach this target address issues related to this topic.

Nature-based solutions and ecosystem services³⁴⁰

Target 10. By 2030, ensure that, nature-based solutions and ecosystem approach contribute to regulation of air quality, hazards and extreme events and quality and quantity of water for at least [XXX million] people.

184. The proposed target relates to the benefits provided to people by ecosystems (or nature's contributions to people) such as regulating water flow, preventing erosion, providing protection against extreme events through physical barriers, or filtering pollutants. Such key ecosystems may include forests and wetlands especially in upstream areas, coral reefs, mangroves and sea-grass beds. These ecosystem services underpin the health and well-being of people, therefore safeguarding these ecosystem services is a key element of the 2050 Vision for Biodiversity. The protection and restoration of such ecosystems to address societal needs are variously known as 'ecosystem-based approaches', 'nature-based solutions³⁴¹' or 'green infrastructure'. Such approaches often have co-benefits for biodiversity and as such can offer effective ways of reaching multiple objectives in coherent and integrated ways.

Status and trends

185. Ecosystems provide a range of services which are essential to human wellbeing. Those services related to the regulation of water and air and the prevention or mitigation of impacts of hazards and extreme events are particularly important.

³³⁸ Productivity can be measured in various ways. Generally, it means inputs (such as land, fertilizers, pesticides, energy, labour, and capital) in relation to the outputs generated. It can also simply be defined as land productivity, or yield (for example volume/ha land), which may be appropriate when seeking to assess the efficiency of land use.

³³⁹ Khasanah et al (2020) Oil Palm Agroforestry Can Achieve Economic and Environmental Gains as Indicated by Multifunctional Land Equivalent Ratios. Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems. 3: 122.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2019.00122

³⁴⁰ The text in this subsection draws on GBO-5, and references therein, in particular the section related to Aichi Biodiversity Target 14. Additional references are indicated in the text for specific points.

³⁴¹ The terms nature-based or ecosystem-ecosystem based approaches are used as a shorthand to refer to the various ways in which societies can work with biodiversity or nature to address socio-economic challenges. While generally discussed in the contexts of carbon sequestration, the terms have broader meanings and include a range of approaches, including the promotion of the use of natural pollinators, the use of biological enemies of agricultural pests in agricultural systems, and ensuring genetic diversity in managed systems to ensure resiliency to threats and pressures. It may also include the restoration of degraded areas, such as of wetlands and mangrove forests, the protection of forested watersheds, and the creation of green and blue spaces to address issues related to water and air quality and resiliency to extreme events and hazards.

- 186. Globally about half of the world's population (3.6. billion people) live in areas which are potentially water-scarce at least one month out of the year.³⁴² By 2050 this could reach 4.8 to 5.7 billion people. Further, globally the demand for water has been growing at a rate of about 1% per year as a result of population growth, economic development and changing consumption patterns.³⁴³ Nature-based solutions or ecosystem-based approaches offer potential solutions to these challenges. However, despite their potential benefits, nature-based solutions or ecosystem-based approaches to water management account less than 1% of total investments in water resource management.³⁴⁴
- 187. More than 80 per cent of urban dwellers were exposed to air pollution which exceeded limits set out by the World Health Organization.³⁴⁵ While this occurred in all regions, people in low income cities were the most impacted.³⁴⁶ Further 4.2 million premature deaths were attributable to poor ambient air quality in 2016. ³⁴⁷ While there are numerous examples of where and how natured-based or ecosystem-based approaches have been used to address air quality, no global level information on the use of these approaches is available.
- 188. Between 2000 and 2019 there were more than 7,000 recorded disaster events which affected more than 4 billion people and caused approximately 1.2 million deaths. The majority of these were related to floods (44 per cent of events) and storms (28 per cent of events) followed by droughts (5 per cent of events) and wildfires (3 per cent). Under various scenarios, by 2030 the economic costs of extreme events, floods, storms and drought events is estimated to be on the order of US\$200–400 billion per year. Further many of these hazards and extreme events are projected to become more severe and more frequent with the effects of climate change. For example, 300 million people are projected to be living in coastal areas that experience sever floods once a year by 2050. Further the number of people affected by flooding is projected to reach 1.6. billion by 2050 with economic impacts on the order of US 45 trillion. In many countries various forms of ecosystem or nature-based solutions are being used to build resiliency against such events. However, no global level estimates are available to indicate how widespread the use of these approaches is and how many people are currently benefiting from their use. Similarly, there is no global level information on the number of people which could potentially benefit from these approaches in the future.
- 189. Under various scenarios, the decline of regulating services originating from biodiversity is expected to increase. For example, a recent assessment concluded that by 2050, under future scenarios of land use and climate change, 4.5 billion people will be affected by poor water quality as a result of diminishing ecosystem services. This decline will be particularly detrimental in Africa and South Asia. Similarly, half a billion

³⁴² United Nations World Water Assessment Programme/UN-Water. (2018) The United Nations World Water Development Report 2018: Nature-Based Solutions for Water. Paris, UNESCO. https://www.unwater.org/publications/world-water-development-report-2018/

³⁴³United Nations World Water Assessment Programme/UN-Water. 2018. The United Nations World Water Development Report 2018: Nature-Based Solutions for Water. Paris, UNESCO. https://www.unwater.org/publications/world-water-development-report-2018/

³⁴⁴ United Nations World Water Assessment Programme/UN-Water. 2018. The United Nations World Water Development Report 2018: Nature-Based Solutions for Water. Paris, UNESCO. https://www.unwater.org/publications/world-water-development-report-2018/

³⁴⁵ World Health Organization (2016). WHO Global Urban Ambient Air Pollution Database. https://www.who.int/phe/health_topics/outdoorair/databases/cities/en/

³⁴⁶ World Health Organization (2016) Ambient air pollution: A global assessment of exposure and burden of diseasehttps://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/250141/9789241511353-eng.pdf?sequence=1

³⁴⁷ United Nations Statistics Division (2021) Make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable. https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/report/2020/goal-11/

³⁴⁸ United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction (2020) Human cost of disasters. An overview of the last 20 years 2000-2019. https://www.undrr.org/media/48008/download

³⁴⁹ Kulp and Strauss (2019) New elevation data triple estimates of global vulnerability to sea-level rise and coastal flooding. Nature Communications 10, 4844. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12808-z

³⁵⁰ United Nations World Water Assessment Programme/UN-Water. 2018. The United Nations World Water Development Report 2018: Nature-Based Solutions for Water. Paris, UNESCO

DRAFT FOR REVIEW Page 58

people by 2050 are projected to face coastal risks, such as shoreline erosion and flooding.³⁵¹ Other estimates suggest that on our current trajectory the degradation of, and unsustainable pressures on, the natural environment and global water resources will put at risk 52 per cent of the world's population, 45 per cent of global gross domestic product and 40 per cent of global grain production.³⁵² However, some of these threats could be significantly reduced under sustainable development scenarios.

Considerations

- 190. Nature-based solutions or ecosystem-based approaches are one tool to help ensure the continued provision of essential ecosystem services while also incentivising the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity. Actions to promote this target include reducing the direct pressures on the ecosystems that provide such services (see proposed targets 1, 3-6), and proactive measures to conserve and restore key ecosystems (see proposed targets 1 and 2), or to create or recreate green and blue spaces in urban areas (see proposed target 11). It has been estimated that more than 1.7 billion people could benefit from the application of nature-based solutions to watershed management.³⁵³
- 191. While nature-based solutions are increasingly used around the world, information on the extent of their use and the number of people currently benefiting from them is incomplete. While nature-based solutions or ecosystem-ecosystem based approaches often have co-benefits for biodiversity and help to create incentives for their use and their mainstreaming into decision making and planning processes, these co-benefits are not always guaranteed. Furthermore, in most cases nature-based solutions or ecosystem-based approaches will not be sufficient on their own to fully meet objectives for water and air quality or to completely prevent or mitigate extreme events and hazards. As such the use of nature-based solutions or ecosystem-based approaches should not detract from efforts to address the direct and indirect pressures on biodiversity and the other pressures which contribute to these hazards.
- 192. The actions taken towards a target on this issue could contribute to a number of the targets adopted under the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. This includes SDG targets 1.5, 354 3.9, 355 6.1, 356 6.3, 357

³⁵¹ Chaplin-Kramer et al (2019) Global modelling of nature's contributions to people. Science 366, 255–258. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw3372

³⁵² United Nations World Water Assessment Programme (2019) The United Nations World Water Development Report 2019: Leaving No One Behind. Paris, UNESCO. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000367306
353 Abell et al (2017) Beyond the Source: The Environmental, Economic and Community Benefits of Source Water Protection. Arlington, Virginia, United States of America, The Nature Conservancy. https://www.nature.org/en-us/what-we-do/our-insights/perspectives/a-natural-solution-to-water-security/?src=r.global.beyondthesource">https://www.nature.org/en-us/what-we-do/our-insights/perspectives/a-natural-solution-to-water-security/?src=r.global.beyondthesource
354 By 2030, build the resilience of the poor and those in vulnerable situations and reduce their exposure and vulnerability to climate-related extreme events and other economic, social and environmental shocks and disasters 355 By 2030, substantially reduce the number of deaths and illnesses from hazardous chemicals and air, water and soil pollution and contamination.

³⁵⁶ By 2030, achieve universal and equitable access to safe and affordable drinking water for all

³⁵⁷ By 2030, improve water quality by reducing pollution, eliminating dumping and minimizing release of hazardous chemicals and materials, halving the proportion of untreated wastewater and substantially increasing recycling and safe reuse globally

6.5, ³⁵⁸ 6.6, ³⁵⁹ 11.5, ³⁶⁰ 11.6, ³⁶¹, 11.7, ³⁶² 11.b, ³⁶³ and 13.1. ³⁶⁴ Further nature-based solutions or ecosystem based approaches are being discussed and considered under a number of additional processes. These include the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction, the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change and the United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification among others. As such actions towards this proposed target could also potentially contribute to these other processes.

Monitoring

- 193. Different types of information could be used to monitored progress towards this proposed target. Information on the number of people being affected by poor air and water quality, and hazards is available and is regularly updated through different processes. As such this information could provide useful baseline information, though it would not always directly relate to biodiversity. Similarly, information on the number of extreme events occurring in a year is also available and could be useful. However, this information would not necessarily provide information related to biodiversity or on the application of nature-based solutions, though it could potentially serve as a proxy and/or compliment other types of information.
- 194. Information on the application of nature-based solutions could also be used to track progress towards this proposed target. However, most of the information available on this subject relates to specific projects. As such the information that is currently available is not in a form that would allow it to be easily aggregated and analysed. Similarly, information on the number of people benefiting from such programmes on nature-based solutions could also be used to track progress. However, such information is not currently available for all countries nor is it in a form that could be easily aggregated or analysed.

Links to other proposed goals and targets

195. The attainment of this target would directly contribute to the progress towards proposed Goal B on nature's contributions to people. It would also contribute to the attainment of the proposed targets addressing land and sea use change (target 1), protected areas (target 2), species management (target 3) nature-based solutions and ecosystem-based approaches to climate change related to climate change mitigation adaption and disaster risk reduction (target 7) and access to green and blue spaces (target 11).

Access to green/blue spaces³⁶⁵

Target 11. By 2030, increase benefits from biodiversity and green/blue spaces for human health and well-being, including the proportion of people with access to such spaces by at least [100%], especially for urban dwellers.

³⁵⁸ By 2030, implement integrated water resources management at all levels, including through transboundary cooperation as appropriate

³⁵⁹ By 2020, protect and restore water-related ecosystems, including mountains, forests, wetlands, rivers, aquifers and lakes

³⁶⁰ By 2030, significantly reduce the number of deaths and the number of people affected and substantially decrease the direct economic losses relative to global gross domestic product caused by disasters, including water-related disasters, with a focus on protecting the poor and people in vulnerable situations

³⁶¹ By 2030, reduce the adverse per capita environmental impact of cities, including by paying special attention to air quality and municipal and other waste management

³⁶² By 2030, provide universal access to safe, inclusive and accessible, green and public spaces, in particular for women and children, older persons and persons with disabilities

³⁶³ By 2020, substantially increase the number of cities and human settlements adopting and implementing integrated policies and plans towards inclusion, resource efficiency, mitigation and adaptation to climate change, resilience to disasters, and develop and implement, in line with the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030, holistic disaster risk management at all levels

³⁶⁴ Strengthen resilience and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards and natural disasters in all countries ³⁶⁵ The text in this subsection draws on GBO-5, and references therein, in particular the section related to the sustainable cities and infrastructure transition. Additional references are indicated in the text for specific points.

196. Green and blue spaces (i.e. areas of vegetation, inland and coastal waters generally in or near to urban areas) tend to have positive effects on human physical and mental well-being. For example, the critical importance of urban nature in providing resilience in time of crisis has been demonstrated by the COVID-19 pandemic, during which access to green spaces in cities and the countryside has been an important factor in supporting health and well-being while people observe social distancing requirements. For example, a recent global assessment concluded that since February 16, 2020 the number of people visiting parks had increased in comparison to pre COVID-19 pandemic levels. This increase was positively corelated with restrictions on social gatherings, movement and the closure of workplaces and indoor recreation centres and illustrates the potential values of such areas in times of crisis. More generally, in many places green and blue spaces also provide important connections to nature for people. Such areas can also provide important habitat for species, provide ecosystem services and help mediate extreme events, if managed with such objectives in mind.

Status and trends

197. Information on access to biodiverse green and blue spaces is limited. In 2019 about 47 per cent of people lived within 400 metres of an open public space, but with significant regional variation (from about 27% in Eastern and South-Eastern Asia to more than 78% in Australia and New Zealand). Further smaller cities tended to have greater access to open public spaces then larger ones. ³⁶⁷ In 2019 information from 610 cities in 95 countries suggests that about 16% of land is allocated to streets and open public spaces, with streets accounting for about three times as much area then other open public spaces. Public open spaces include all places which are available for public use include squares, plazas and streets, as well as parks and recreational areas; many such areas may have little value for biodiversity. The information which is available specifically on access to green and blue areas is generally focused on specific cities and global level information is limited.

Considerations

198. World population is expected to grow to around 8.5 billion people by 2030 and 9.7 billion by 2050, with the proportion of people residing in urban areas increasing from 55% in 2018 to 68% by 2050. Further by 2030 there are expected to be 43 megacities (cities with more than 10 million inhabitants), with most of these being in developing regions. The increasing trend towards urbanization risks separating people further from nature, with potential negative effects on human health and reduced understanding of biodiversity, the ecosystem services it provides and their importance. While all people require access to green and blue spaces for their physical and psychological well-being, access to such spaces is generally more limited for urban dwellers. As such actions towards this target, particularly given the expected population increase in urban areas, should give specific attention to urban dwellers.

199. Access to green and blue spaces can be increased by creating such spaces and/or increasing access to them. In this sense, issues related to the interconnections between urban environments and other areas should be considered. Actions towards this target will likely require the direct involvement and participation of city and other sub-national authorities as these entities often have the mandate for the planning and development of urban environments. Actions towards this target may also contribute to the attainment of the proposed targets related to land and sea use change and restoration (target 1), and protected areas and other effective area-based conservation measures (target 2). To a lesser extent they may also contribute to proposed targets on nature-based solutions to climate change (target 7) and the regulation of air and water quality and protection from natural hazards and extreme events (target 10). The actions towards this target could also contribute to the attainment of SDG target 11.7.³⁶⁸

³⁶⁶ Geng et al (2021) Impacts of COVID-19 pandemic on urban park visitation: a global analysis. Journal of Forestry Research. 32, pages553–567. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11676-020-01249-w

³⁶⁷ United Nations (2020) Goal 11: Make cities inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable. https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/report/2020/goal-11/

³⁶⁸ By 2030, provide universal access to safe, inclusive and accessible, green and public spaces, in particular for women and children, older persons and persons with disabilities

Monitoring

200. Monitoring progress towards this proposed target would require information on the benefits provided by blue/green spaces. The information that is currently available on this issue is largely based on case studies in specific locations and is therefore difficult to aggregate or analyse in a consistent manner. Information on the number of people with access to green and blue spaces is more readily available and with advances in remote sensing additional information may become available in the future. However currently there is limited global level information available.

Links to other proposed goals and targets

201. The attainment of this target would directly contribute to the progress towards proposed Goal B on nature's contributions to people. It would also contribute to the attainment of the proposed targets addressing land and sea use change (target 1), protected areas (target 2), nature-based solutions and ecosystem-based approaches to climate change related to climate change mitigation adaption and disaster risk reduction (target 7) and nature-based solutions and ecosystem approach contribute to regulation of air quality, hazards and extreme events and quality and quantity of water (target 10).

Access and benefit-sharing³⁶⁹

Target 12. By 2030, increase by [X] benefits shared for the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity through ensuring access to and the fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising from utilization of genetic resources and associated traditional knowledge.

202. The fair and equitable sharing of the benefits arising out of the utilization of genetic resources is one of the three objectives of the Convention on Biological Diversity, ³⁷⁰ further supported by the Nagoya Protocol. Sharing the benefits from the use of genetic resources can create incentives for the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity and can contributes to the creation of a fairer and more equitable economy to support sustainable development. This proposed target links directly to proposed Goal C of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework.

Status and trends

- 203. The main action required to reach this target is for countries to put in place appropriate measures for the access and benefit-sharing of genetic resources and ensure that such measures are applied. Some countries have chosen to do this as part of their implementation of the Nagoya Protocol, which came into force in October 2014 and covers genetic resources and associated traditional knowledge and sets out core obligations for its Parties to take measures in relation to access, benefit-sharing (ABS) and compliance. As of March 2020, 130 Parties to the CBD have ratified the Protocol.
- 204. There is much information on measures put in place for ABS in the context of the Nagoya Protocol. For example, many countries have put in place ABS measures (96 Parties to the Nagoya Protocol and 24 non-Parties), have established one or more competent national authorities (80 Parties and 7 non-Parties) and have designated one or more checkpoints for collecting and receiving relevant information (80 Parties and 7 non-Parties). In addition, several countries (21 Parties and 29 non-Parties) are in the process of developing ABS measures or are planning to do so. So far, 22 Parties have published 1994 internationally recognized certificates of compliance and 6 countries have published 35 checkpoint communiqués.
- 205. Several additional international instruments and processes address the issue of access to, and the fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising from utilization of, genetic resources and associated traditional knowledge. For example the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA), adopted in 2001, with the objectives of the conservation and sustainable use of plant genetic

³⁶⁹ The text in this subsection draws on GBO-5, and references therein, in particular the section related to the Aichi Biodiversity Target 16. It also draws on information contained in the Access and Benefit-sharing Clearing-House – https://absch.cbd.int/countries.

³⁷⁰ Article 2 (Objectives) of the text of the Convention. A framework for the implementation of this objective is provided in Article 15 of the text of the Convention.

DRAFT FOR REVIEW Page 62

resources for food and agriculture and the fair and equitable sharing of the benefits arising out of their use, in harmony with the Convention on Biological Diversity, for sustainable agriculture and food security. As of November 2020, 147 are countries are Party to the ITPGRFA and 57 countries have provided reports about their ABS measures to facilitate the exchange of plant material to implement the ITPGRFA. Further over 5.6 million samples have been transferred globally with more than 77,500 contracts concluded - known as Standard Material Transfer Agreements (SMTAs). Other relevant instruments dealing with issues related to ABS are the FAO Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, the Pandemic Influenza Preparedness Framework for the Sharing of Influenza Viruses and Access to Vaccines and Other Benefits, and the process to develop an agreement on the Conservation and Sustainable Use of Marine Biodiversity of Areas Beyond National Jurisdiction.

Considerations

- 206. The first assessment and review of progress in the implementation of the Nagoya Protocol revealed that Parties and non-Parties to the Protocol are at various levels with regards to ABS, and that there are several areas requiring further work. These include the need to develop ABS measures, to enhance implementation of the provisions on compliance and monitoring the utilization of genetic resources, including the designation of checkpoints, as well as the provisions to support the full and effective participation of indigenous peoples and local communities in the implementation of the Protocol, and to raise awareness among relevant stakeholders and encourage their participation in its implementation.
- 207. Currently the Nagoya Protocol is fully operational in about 87 countries, meaning that they have put in place national access and benefit sharing measures and established competent national authorities. Further about 25 countries which are not currently Party to Nagoya Protocol have put in place some form of access and benefit sharing measures. This means that about 40% of countries currently either do not have any form of access and benefit sharing mechanisms in place or have not provided information to the Convention on them. Given this, this proposed target would imply that some countries would need to create or establish ABS mechanisms while for other countries in may entail modifying or further implementing existing mechanisms to ensure their effectiveness.
- 208. Proposed Goal C of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework focuses on the benefits shared (i.e. the outcomes). However, there is little systematic information on benefits shared (see proposed Goal C). This proposed target could complement the Goal by focusing on the measures to be taken to ensure and facilitate benefit-sharing. Actions towards this target could also help to reach SDG Target 15.6³⁷¹ which is also related to the fair and equitable sharing of the benefits arising from the utilization of genetic resources.

Monitoring

209. Monitoring progress towards this proposed target would require information on the amount of benefits shared through relevant ABS processes. The reporting processes established under the Nagoya Protocol are already gathering this type of information. However additional processes may be required to gather information from countries which are not currently Party. Further, given that the benefits derived from the access and use of genetic resources and associated traditional knowledge can take various forms, including monetary and non-monetary benefits, consideration also needs to be given to how information on the different types of benefits can be collected in consistent way and in a way which allows information to be aggregated. A further challenge is that some benefits associated with ABS agreements are not publicly accessible due to confidentially agreements.

Links to other proposed goals and targets

210. The attainment of this target would directly contribute to the progress towards the proposed goals on nature's contributions to people (Goal B), on the fair and equitable sharing of benefits from the utilization of genetic (Goal C) and on the means of implementation (Goal D). This target would also contribute to the

³⁷¹ Promote fair and equitable sharing of the benefits arising from the utilization of genetic resources and promote appropriate access to such resources, as internationally

attainment of proposed target 18 on financial resources to the extent that the actions taken to reach this target result in the generation of financial resources that are used to further implement the post-2020 global biodiversity framework and the Convention.

V. SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION RELATED TO PROPOSED TARGETS 13 TO 20 ADDRESSING TOOLS AND SOLUTIONS FOR IMPLEMENTATION AND MAINSTREAMING

Integration of biodiversity³⁷²

Target 13. By 2030, integrate biodiversity values into policies, regulations, planning, development processes, poverty reduction strategies and accounts at all levels, ensuring that biodiversity values are mainstreamed across all sectors and integrated into assessments of environmental impacts.

- 211. Transforming social and economic systems means improving our relationship with nature, understanding its value and putting that value at the heart of our planning and decision-making processes.³⁷³ The underlying and direct drivers of biodiversity loss often manifest because the value of biodiversity and nature is not adequately recognised in decision-making. This leads to processes that contribute to biodiversity loss, with subsequent negative impacts on economies, societies and individuals.³⁷⁴ Addressing the direct and underlying drivers of biodiversity loss will require changing existing patterns of consumption and behavioural change by individuals, organisations, governments, businesses, financial institutions to ways that recognise biodiversity.³⁷⁵ Understanding, awareness and appreciation of the multiple values of biodiversity and nature and our dependencies and impacts on it help to underpin the willingness of public and private actors and individuals to make such changes.³⁷⁶ Public awareness can also help create the political will to stimulate action.³⁷⁷
- 212. Integrating biodiversity values into policies, regulations, planning, development processes, poverty reduction strategies and accounts at all levels, will help to ensure that the diverse values of biodiversity (i.e. economic, biophysical, social and cultural and opportunities derived from its conservation and sustainable use as well as equitable sharing of benefits arising from its utilisation), are recognized and reflected in all relevant public and private planning and decision-making. Reforming sectoral and segmented decision-making to promote integration across sectors and jurisdictions has been identified as a key intervention in

³⁷² The text in this subsection draws on GBO-5, and references therein, in particular the section related to the Aichi Biodiversity Target 2. Additional references are indicated in the text for specific points.

assessment report summary for policymakers.pdf

³⁷³ United Nations Environment Programme (2021) Making Peace with Nature: A scientific blueprint to tackle the climate, biodiversity and pollution emergencies - Key messages. UNEP, Nairobi. https://wedocs.unep.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.11822/35114/MPNKM.pdf?sequence=18&isAllowed=y

³⁷⁴ IPBES (2019) Summary for policymakers of the global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany. 56 pages. https://ipbes.net/sites/default/files/inline/files/ipbes_global

³⁷⁵ Dasgupta (2021) The Economics of Biodiversity: The Dasgupta Review, H-M Treasury, London. Available at: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/957291/Dasgupta Review - Full Report.pdf

³⁷⁶ UN Environment Programme World Conservation Monitoring Centre (2020) Biodiversity Measures for Business: Corporate biodiversity measurement and disclosure within the current and future global policy context. Cambridge, UK, 60 pp. https://www.unep-wcmc.org/system/comfy/cms/files/files/000/001/845/original/aligning_measures corporate reporting disclosure dec2020.pdf

³⁷⁷ IPBES (2019) Summary for policymakers of the global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany. 56 pages. Available at: https://ipbes.net/sites/default/files/inline/files/ipbes_global_assessment_report_summary_for_policymakers.pdf

tackling the underlying indirect drivers of biodiversity loss.³⁷⁸ Without the full integration of biodiversity values across all sectors, measures for conservation and sustainable use will be undermined³⁷⁹ as many development and economic activities that threaten biodiversity will continue if they are not appropriately accounted for.

Status and trends

- 213. There has been a steady upward trend in the number of countries incorporating biodiversity values into national accounting and reporting systems³⁸⁰. However, there is limited evidence that demonstrates that biodiversity has been truly integrated into development and poverty reduction planning.
- 214. This proposed target addresses a number of issues previously covered in Aichi Biodiversity Target 2.381 Of the Parties that assessed progress towards their national targets related to Aichi Target 2, more than a third reported that they were on track to reach (35%) or exceed them (2%). More than half (55%) reported progress but not at a rate that would allow them to meet Aichi Target 2. Few Parties report that they are were making no progress (6%) or were moving away from reaching (2%) the target. However, few national targets match (7%) or exceed (1%) the scope and level of ambition set out in the Aichi Target 2. The national targets that have been established largely focus on the integration of biodiversity values into national development strategies and poverty reduction strategies. Many of the targets relate to the issue of policy coherence and/or the integration of biodiversity into decision-making generally. Relatively few NBSAPs addresses the integration of biodiversity values into national and local planning processes, national accounting or reporting processes.
- 215. Standards for integrating environmental and economic information have been available through the System of Environmental-Economic Accounting (SEEA) since 2012. The number of countries with programmes on environmental-economic accounting has increased steadily from 49 countries in 2006 to 91 countries in 2020.³⁸² Further by the end of 2019, 24 countries had published ecosystem accounts under the Experimental Ecosystem Accounting programme, part of the SEEA framework. The United Nations Statistical Commission adopted the SEEA Ecosystem Accounting in March 2021.³⁸³
- 216. Forty-seven Parties with National Biodiversity Strategies and Action Plans (NBSAPs) developed, updated or revised after the adoption of the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 include links to poverty eradication and/or integrate this objective into their principles, targets and/or actions. ³⁸⁴ Similarly, 40 Parties

³⁷⁸ IPBES (2019) Summary for policymakers of the global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany. https://ipbes.net/sites/default/files/inline/files/ipbes_global_ assessment report summary for policymakers.pdf

https://www.cbd.int/gbo4/; Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity (2020) Global Biodiversity Outlook 5. https://www.cbd.int/gbo5/; and CBD/SBI/3/2/ADD2

³⁷⁹ Karlsson-Vinkhuyzen et al (2014) Mainstreaming biodiversity where it matters most. Wageningen University: Public Administration and Policy Group, Wageningen University and PBL Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency, Wageningen. https://edepot.wur.nl/335490; Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity (2014) Global Biodiversity Outlook 4, Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity Montréal, 155 pages. https://www.cbd.int/gbo4/ and UNDP and UNEP (2015) Mainstreaming Environment and Climate for Poverty Reduction and Sustainable Development: A Handbook to Strengthen Planning and Budgeting Processes. UNDP-UN Environment Poverty-Environment Initiative, Nairobi. https://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/ <a href="

³⁸¹ By 2020, at the latest, biodiversity values have been integrated into national and local development and poverty reduction strategies and planning processes and are being incorporated into national accounting, as appropriate, and reporting systems.

³⁸² SEEA Around the World. https://seea.un.org/content/global-assessment-environmental-economic-accounting
383 System of Environmental Economic Accounting - https://seea.un.org/ecosystem-accounting

³⁸⁴ Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity (2020) Global Biodiversity Outlook 5. Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity, Montreal. https://www.cbd.int/gbo/gbo5

indicate in their NBSAPs that biodiversity has been integrated into their national development plan or equivalent instruments. An analysis of 144 NBSAPs suggests that developing countries, especially in Africa, reflect the importance of biodiversity in key productive sectors, including agriculture, forestry and fisheries, in national planning process more than in developed countries. This may partly be due to the involvement of a broader range of stakeholders in developing NSBAPs (also relevant to proposed target 20) in developing countries compared with the process in developed countries. As

217. As part of the review mechanisms for the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, member states are encouraged to undertake national reviews of progress. A sample of the Voluntary National Reviews for implementation of the Sustainable Development Goals shows that approximately half of the reporting countries have mainstreamed biodiversity throughout their reports.

Considerations

- 218. The integration of biodiversity values is as a key instrument for addressing the direct and indirect drivers of biodiversity loss and for achieving multiple environmental and development goals. Articles 6 (b), 10 (a) (c), 14, 11, 7 (c) and 8 (i) and (l) of the text of the Convention all call for the integration of biodiversity into various planning and development processes.³⁸⁷
- 219. In general, the integration of biodiversity values into relevant policies and processes³⁸⁸ will require the greater recognition of all values of biodiversity and ecosystem services. It also requires identifying and developing policy instruments, tools and/or instruments for addressing biodiversity and ecosystem services values in a comprehensive manner within and across different sectors and planning and decision-making processes.³⁸⁹ Support, including resources (proposed target 18) and monitoring (proposed target 19), will be needed to ensure that these processes are effective. The greater use of the System for Environmental-Economic Accounting (SEEA) standard could also help in this respect.³⁹⁰
- 220. Progress towards this target will require a range of specific actions, many of which will directly or indirectly contribute to the attainment of all of the other proposed targets in the post-2020 global biodiversity framework.³⁹¹ Specific actions to reach this target could include greater efforts to incorporate biodiversity values and considerations into sectoral policies, including policies related to development, forestry, agriculture, fisheries, energy, finance, and other economic sectors; to develop natural capital accounts; to undertake more effective strategic environmental assessments and environmental impact assessments and to further develop tools, guidelines and methodologies to support institutions in decision-making among other

³⁸⁵ Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity (2020) Update on progress in revising/updating and implementing national biodiversity strategies and action plans, including national targets. CBD/SBI/3/2/Add.1. https://www.cbd.int/doc/c/d2b9/ebf9/5e0c96b85bc233a413a433bd/sbi-03-02-add1-en.pdf

³⁸⁶ Whitehorn et al (2019) Mainstreaming biodiversity: A review of national strategies. Biological Conservation 235 157–163. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7083249/

³⁸⁷ Text of the Convention on Biological Diversity, www.cbd.int/convention/text/

³⁸⁸ These may include national development plans, poverty reduction strategies, green growth strategies, green economy strategies and sector plans (e.g. agriculture, fisheries, forestry, tourism, trade and development, and finance) among other things.

³⁸⁹ Stålhammar (2021) Assessing People's Values of Nature: Where Is the Link to Sustainability Transformations? Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution. 9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2021.624084

³⁹⁰ The SEEA Central Framework was adopted by the UN Statistical Commission in 2012 as the first international standard for environmental-economic accounting. In addition, the SEEA Experimental Ecosystem Accounting (SEEA EEA) was endorsed by the UN Statistical Commission in 2013 as the basis for further development of this new field of national accounting, and the SEEA EEA was formally published in 2014. Following the decision of the United Nations Statistical Commission (2017), a revision of the SEEA EEA is now taking place, with the intention to publish the framework as an agreed international statistical standard in 2021. For further information see https://seea.un.org/content/seea-central-framework

³⁹¹ The draft long-term approach to mainstreaming and the associated action plan, currently submitted to the Subsidiary body on Implementation at its third meeting, identifies are range of pertinent strategic action areas and provides an indicative list of possible actions. For more information see CBD/SBI/3/13 and CBD/SBI/3/13/Add.1.

things. Actions could also include carrying out national ecosystem assessments and mapping, undertaking studies on biodiversity values,³⁹² support for spatial and land use planning (see also proposed target 1), establishing science-policy platforms, adopting or updating relevant legislative acts, regulations and standards, the promotion of polycentric governance systems, and support for environmental education programmes among other things. However, each country will need to ultimately determine its own institutional mechanisms and sequencing of actions according to its own national circumstances.³⁹³ Further the successful integration of biodiversity values into decision making processes will likely require balancing trade-offs between some socio-economic and biodiversity objectives.³⁹⁴

221. Actions towards this target would also contribute targets related to other international processes. This includes targets 15.9, 11.b, 13.1, 1.5, 17.14 of the Sustainable Development Goals as well as processes related to United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change and the Paris Agreement, the United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification's 2018–2030 Strategic Framework, the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (2001)³⁹⁵ and several of the goals and targets of the fourth Ramsar Strategic Plan (2016 – 2024).³⁹⁶ The actions to reach this proposed target would also help to contribute to a number of other framework and/or declarations including the Global Forest Goals and Targets of the UN Strategic Plan for Forests 2030 (2017 -2030)³⁹⁷, the FAO Strategy on Mainstreaming Biodiversity across Agricultural Sectors, ³⁹⁸ the Land Degradation Neutrality targets and the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction (2015 – 2030).

Monitoring

222. A historic challenge in monitoring progress towards targets on this issue has been determining appropriate and consistent definitions and baselines. Measures related to the integration of biodiversity in

³⁹² The IPBES Methodological assessment regarding the diverse conceptualization of multiple values of nature and its benefits, including biodiversity and ecosystem functions and services, due to be finalized in 2022, will provide information on the multiple values of biodiversity.

³⁹³ Huntley and Redford (2014) Mainstreaming Biodiversity in Practice: a STAP Advisory Document. Global Environment Facility, Washington, D.C. http://www.thegef.org/sites/default/files/publications/Mainstreaming-Biodiversity-LowRes_1.pdf; Redford et al (2015) Mainstreaming Biodiversity: Conservation for the Twenty-First Century, Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution, Volume 3 5. https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2015.00137 and Manuel et al (2016) Key Ingredients, Challenges and Lessons from Biodiversity Mainstreaming in South Africa: People, Products, Process. OECD Environment Working Papers No. 107, OECD Publishing, Paris. http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/5jlzgj1s4h5h-en

³⁹⁴ Global Environment Facility Independent Evaluation Office (2019) Evaluation of GEF Support to Mainstreaming Biodiversity, Evaluation Report No. 134, GEF IEO, Washington, DC.

https://www.gefieo.org/sites/default/files/ieo/evaluations/files/biodiversity-mainstreaming-2018_1.pdf; Smith et al (2020) Biodiversity mainstreaming: A review of current theory and practice. IIED, London. https://pubs.iied.org/pdfs/17662IIED.pdf

³⁹⁵ FAO (2009) International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture. FAO. Rome. http://www.fao.org/3/i0510e/i0510e.pdf

³⁹⁶ In particular Goal 1, Target 1; Goal 3, Target 11 and Goal 4, Target 16. Ramsar Convention Secretariat, 2016. The Fourth Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016–2024. Ramsar handbooks for the wise use of wetlands, 5th edition, vol. 2. Ramsar Convention Secretariat, Gland, Switzerland.

https://www.ramsar.org/sites/default/files/hb2 5ed strategic plan 2016 24 e.pdf

³⁹⁷ United Nations Forum on Forests Secretariat, DESA (2019) Global forest goals and targets of the UN Strategic Plan for Forests 2030. United Nations, New York. https://www.un.org/esa/forests/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/Global-Forest-Goals-booklet-Apr-2019.pdf

³⁹⁸ FAO (2020) FAO Strategy on Mainstreaming Biodiversity across Agricultural Sectors. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome. https://doi.org/10.4060/ca7722en and http://www.fao.org/3/ca7722en/CA7722EN.pdf

decision making processes often rely on secondary data or are drawn from sources that apply different criteria and timelines creating challenges for data analysis and aggregation.³⁹⁹

223. Generally, monitoring progress towards the proposed target would require information on the processes countries and relevant organisations have put in place to integrate the values of biodiversity into decision making, and on the outcomes and impacts of these processes. While some information on the number of countries integrating biodiversity values into decision making is available, including through the processes noted above, there is limited information on relevant actions by non-governmental actors. Further there is limited information on the effects or impacts of these actions. Information generated in relation to the Long-term Strategic Approach to Mainstreaming Biodiversity and its Action Plan (LTAM), Including its proposed indicators, could also be used to monitor progress towards this proposed target.

Links to other proposed goals and targets

224. The attainment of this target would directly contribute to the progress towards all of the proposed goals and targets of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework as it relates to the integration of biodiversity into decision making and planning processes generally. Progress towards this target would be particularly helpful in reaching those targets related to the productive sectors, nature's benefits to people and mainstreaming. This includes proposed targets 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, 15, 17, 19 and 20.

Sustainable production and supply chains⁴⁰²

Target 14. By 2030, achieve reduction of at least [50%] in negative impacts on biodiversity by ensuring production practices and supply chains are sustainable.

225. Biodiversity loss is exacerbated by unsustainable production practices, which are in turn driven by global consumption patterns and facilitated by domestic and international supply chains. Over the past decades, trade in commodities has become increasingly globalised and production systems have become more efficient in servicing the growing consumption patterns of the global economy. This has created market opportunities for countries around the world and supported economic growth. However, it has also significantly contributed to the loss of biodiversity in many areas and regions. There is therefore a need to address the growing global demand for products and services while mitigating the negative environmental impacts of production and supply chain systems. Addressing these issues will be critical to achieving the 2050 Vision for Biodiversity.

Status and trends

226. Production practices in a range of sectors, including agriculture, forestry, fisheries, harvesting of wild species, energy and mining, 403 are all impacting biodiversity. By some estimates resource extraction and processing account for over 90 per cent of global biodiversity and water stress impacts and half of global greenhouse gas emissions. 404 Additionally, the conversion of natural ecosystems to farming and plantations, and the continued exploitation of remaining natural and semi-natural ecosystems to deliver raw materials such as timber and fish are increasingly exacerbating other drivers of change such as climate change,

³⁹⁹ Global Environment Facility Independent Evaluation Office (2019) Evaluation of GEF Support to Mainstreaming Biodiversity, Evaluation Report No. 134. GEF IEO, Washington, DC.

https://www.gefieo.org/sites/default/files/ieo/evaluations/files/biodiversity-mainstreaming-2018_1.pdf 400 Smith et al (2020) Biodiversity mainstreaming: A review of current theory and practice. IIED, London. https://pubs.iied.org/pdfs/17662IIED.pdf and IIED and UNEP-WCMC (2017) Mainstreaming biodiversity and development: guidance from African experience 2012-17. IIED, London. https://pubs.iied.org/pdfs/17608IIED.pdf 401 CBD/SBI/3/13 and CBD/SBI/3/13/Add.1.

⁴⁰² The text in this subsection draws on GBO-5, and references therein, in particular the sections related to the Aichi Biodiversity Targets 4 and 7. Additional references are indicated in the text for specific points.

⁴⁰³ Maxwell et al (2016) Biodiversity: the ravages of guns, nets and bulldozers. Nature 536, 143 – 145. https://doi.org.10.1038/536143a

⁴⁰⁴ IRP (2019) Global Resources Outlook 2019: Natural Resources for the Future We Want. A Report of the International Resource Panel. United Nations Environment Programme. Nairobi, Kenya. http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.11822/27517

pollution and the spread of invasive alien species, which are in turn likely to further exacerbate negative impacts on biodiversity. 405 More specifically:

- (a) The expansion of agriculture over recent decades has led to land-use conversion at a large scale, often at the expense of natural ecosystems such as forests, wetlands and grasslands (see also proposed targets 2 and 8). High-impact commodities inparticular, such as livestock, soy and oil palm, are contributing to 70 80 per cent of total global deforestation. 406
- (b) Globally the rate of forest loss has slowed since 2000. However, changes in forest cover vary, with declines mostly experienced in the highly biodiverse tropics (with accelerating declines being experienced in some regions) and increases of cover mostly in temperate and boreal forests 407 (also see proposed target 1). Further the choice of forest management regime influences biodiversity significantly. For example intensive non-timber plantations (mostly encompassing oil palm and rubber) has been associated with a 54% reduction in species richness while intensive systems for timber production (such as timber plantations and clear cutting) have been associated with a 13-44% decline in species richness while extensive forest management systems had no observable impact on species richness. 408
- (c) Biodiversity loss in marine ecosystems has been predominantly driven by the overexploitation of species through unsustainable fishing practices. One in three fish stocks are considered overfished and further biodiversity losses are experienced through by-catch of non-target species, seafloor destruction⁴⁰⁹ and impacts on mangroves and corals. As fishing industries expand into new locations and deeper waters, impacts are set to continue to increase under business-as-usual scenarios.
- (d) Pressures on wild meat resources are rising, driven by increases in demand, growing urbanisation and access to new lands through infrastructure and extractives industries. Unsustainable hunting is impacting 20 per cent of the species assessed through the IUCN Red List of Threatened Specis and is frequently reported as a threat to protected areas.⁴¹⁰
- (e) Compared to other land uses, the energy and mining sectors have a smaller spatial footprint. However, despite this, the extraction of primary materials (including oil, gas, metals, minerals and coal) has significant negative impacts on biodiversity and threaten approximately 18 per cent of species listed on the IUCN Red List. Further these types activities can also open up previously inaccessible or remote areas leading to an influx of people, infrastructure and other land or ocean use activities (for example, agriculture, fishing and hunting) which can further drive habitat conversion or exploitation of natural resources. In addition the energy and mining sectors are increasingly moving into new and often relatively undisturbed, environments such as the deep sea. 412

⁴⁰⁵ IPBES (2019) Summary for policymakers of the global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany.

⁴⁰⁶ IRP (2019) Global Resources Outlook 2019: Natural Resources for the Future We Want. http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.11822/27517

⁴⁰⁷ IPBES (2019): Summary for policymakers of the global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services.

⁴⁰⁸ Chaudhary et al (2016) Impact of Forest Management on Species Richness: Global MetaAnalysis and Economic Trade-Offs. Scientific Reports 6, 23954; https://doi.org/10.1038/srep23954.

⁴⁰⁹ WWF (2020) Living Planet Report 2020 - Bending the curve of biodiversity loss. Almond, R.E.A., Grooten M. and Petersen, T. (Eds). WWF, Gland, Switzerland. https://livingplanet.panda.org/

⁴¹⁰ Coad et al (2019) Towards a sustainable, participatory and inclusive wild meat sector. Bogor, Indonesia: CIFOR. https://www.cifor.org/knowledge/publication/7046/

⁴¹¹ World Economic Forum (2020) New Nature Economy Report II – The Future of Nature and Business. https://www.weforum.org/reports/new-nature-economy-report-ii-the-future-of-nature-and-business

⁴¹² Fauna & Flora International (2020) An Assessment of the Risks and Impacts of Seabed Mining on Marine Ecosystems. FFI: Cambridge U.K. https://cms.fauna-flora.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/FFI 2020 The-risks-impacts-deep-seabed-mining Executive-Summary.pdf

- 227. An important dimension of production practices and supply chains is trade patterns. While trade patterns have advanced economic and social development they have also created a situation where the spatial impacts of production are decoupled from consumption. The impacts of resource-intensive production processes age generally shifting from high-income importing countries to low income exporting countries. For example over 80 per cent of the impacts of food crop consumption in industrialised countries occures in other countries. Further there remains issues with traceability and transparency of supply chains, and how to appropriately measure the impacts of these on biodiversity.
- 228. The contribution of global trade systems to the loss and degradation of biodiversity and the risks this poses to future production is becoming more widely recognised by governments, the private sector, and finance institutions. This has led to various initiatives, such as voluntary certification schemes, zero deforestation commitments, finance standards, and due diligence legislation, to identify and manage the impacts and dependencies that supply chains have on biodiversity and ecosystem services. 417 Further the number of businesses taking biodiversity into account in their supply chains, reporting processes and activities appears to be increasing, though information is limited. For example, an analysis of corporate reports and websites of cosmetic and food companies found that references to biodiversity increased significantly over the current decade. Among those reviewed, the number of companies in the beauty sector that referred to biodiversity increased from 13% in 2009 to 49% in 2019. For food and beverage companies the corresponding figures were 53% in 2012 and 76% in 2019. While this trend is positive, the depth and quality of the information provided is limited and is mostly related to palm oil, deforestation and sustainable packaging. Despite the increasing number of these efforts across different resources and commodities, there remain significant challenges in scaling these due to issues with traceability to production sites, navigating the complexity of voluntary schemes and free trade agreements, and ensuring the scope of application is sufficient.418

Considerations

229. Reducing the negative impacts of production practices and supply chains will require actions that address both direct and indirect impacts across a range of socio-economic systems. While reversing negative trends caused by these systems is possible, it will require integrated interventions applied at scale and a mix of trade policy, national laws, due diligence requirements, voluntary commitments and finance standards. 419

230. More specific actions towards this target could include developing requirements for assessment and disclosure of the dependencies and impacts of production practices and supply chains on biodiversity. This would allow biodiversity relevant information to be more easily taken into account by businesses,

⁴¹⁴ UNEP and IRP (2020) Sustainable Trade in Resources: Global Material Flows, Circularity and Trade. United Nations Environment Programme. Nairobi, Kenya. https://www.unenvironment.org/resources/publication/sustainable-trade-resources-global-material-flows-circularity-and-trade

⁴¹³ IPBES (2019) Summary for policymakers of the global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services, IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany. 56 pages. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3553579.

⁴¹⁵ Chaudhary and Kastner (2016) Land use biodiversity impacts embodied in international food trade. Global Environmental Change 38, 195-204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2016.03.013 and Marques et al (2019) Increasing impacts of land use on biodiversity and carbon sequestration driven by population and economic growth. Nature Ecology and Evolution 3, 628–637 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-019-0824-3
⁴¹⁶ For example, Global Forest Watch Pro https://pro.globalforestwatch.org/ and Trase https://trase.earth/

⁴¹⁷ De Maria et al (2020) Global Soybean Trade. The Geopolitics of a Bean. UK Research and Innovation Global Challenges Research. https://doi.org/10.34892/7yn1-k494

⁴¹⁸ Green et al (2019) Linking global drivers of agricultural trade to on-the-ground impacts on biodiversity, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. National Academy of Sciences, 116(46), pp. 23202–23208. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1905618116

⁴¹⁹ Leclère et al (2020) Bending the curve of terrestrial biodiversity needs an integrated strategy. Nature 585, 551–556. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2705-y; World Economic Forum (2020) New Nature Economy Report II – The Future of Nature and Business. https://www.weforum.org/reports/new-nature-economy-report-ii-the-future-of-nature-and-business

policymakers and the general public. More sustainable production practices and supply chains can be further supported through the greater promotion of environmental impact assessment practices, labelling and certification schemes and/or moratoria as well as including environmental considerations in trade contracts, policies and agreements.⁴²⁰

- 231. To drive the action needed across multiple scales, there is a need to increase efforts to understand the production footprint of corporate and country supply chains. Understanding trade and consumption flows will allow for international, national and business policy to direct attention towards efforts that reduce high biodiversity impact. Adoption of Multi-Regional Input Output (MRIO) assessment approaches can support the measurement and reduction of impacts from different sectors and their international dependencies. At the company level, tools are emerging that support an understanding of the impacts and dependencies of supply chains on biodiversity to support sustainable sourcing efforts. These need to be increased and scaled over the coming years to support further ambition and action to address negative biodiversity impacts.
- 232. A diverse set of actions will also be required to reduce the impact footprint of production systems on biodiversity. These include efforts to: halt deforestation and the conversion of natural habitats; establish no-take fishing zones; restore habitats and degraded land; protect key and endemic species; enhance resource efficiency; introduce circular economy approaches; and reduce overall consumption. Progress can be further supported through spatial planning approaches that identify optimal production areas and sustainable sourcing standards across both domestic and international supply chains.
- 233. There are a growing number of initiatives aimed at supporting sustainable sourcing practices, including certification schemes, sourcing commitments, trade negotiations, standards setting and guidelines. However, a lack of harmonisation between these limits the uptake and effectiveness in addressing negative impacts. One of the key challenges in scaling these efforts is the lack of incentives (proposed target 17) in place to overcome the direct and opportunity costs associated with sustainable production systems. Greater awareness (proposed target 19) of the nature related risks of unsustainable production is needed to drive a market-based system that rewards sustainability. Future efforts to improve the standardisation, uptake and alignment of commitments across government and the private sector, will help to identify priority areas, leverage points, potential leakage to other production areas, and opportunities for coordinated solutions across supply chains. 422
- 234. There will be a need for greater recognition and accountability for cross border impacts of production and supply chains. Growth in population and the global economy has led to an increased demand for energy and materials that has been facilitated by a tenfold increase in global trade. These advances have fuelled economic and social development but have also led to a spatial decoupling of production from consumption, thereby shifting impacts on nature and ecosystems. ⁴²³ At present, conservation-based policies at the country level often govern domestic activities and do not account for the impacts associated with international trade. ⁴²⁴ The inclusion of environmental considerations into bilateral and multilateral trade agreements would help to support progress on the integration of impact considerations across the value chain and thereby

⁴²¹ World Economic Forum (2020) New Nature Economy Report II – The Future of Nature and Business. https://www.weforum.org/reports/new-nature-economy-report-ii-the-future-of-nature-and-business

⁴²⁰ The draft long-term approach to mainstreaming and the associated action plan, referenced above, contains a strategic action area directly relevant to this target and provides an indicative list of possible actions. For more information see CBD/SBI/3/13 and CBD/SBI/3/13/Add.1.

⁴²² Green et al (2019) Linking global drivers of agricultural trade to on-the-ground impacts on biodiversity, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. National Academy of Sciences, 116(46), pp. 23202–23208. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1905618116

⁴²³ IPBES (2019) Summary for policymakers of the global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services.

⁴²⁴ WWF (2020) Living Planet Report 2020 - Bending the curve of biodiversity loss. Almond, R.E.A., Grooten M. and Petersen, T. (Eds). WWF, Gland, Switzerland. https://livingplanet.panda.org/

help to reduce negative impacts on biodiversity. Further recognizing and anticipating future shifts in global consumer demand patterns and resource use will be imperative for establishing sustainable supply chains.⁴²⁵

- 235. The biggest trade-offs in actions to reach this target is likely to be balancing the need for habitat protection with the level of resource acquisition and material production needed to meet projected demands for products, services and resources associated with a growing global human population. ⁴²⁶ To achieve this, integration and coordinated action is required across the post-2020 targets (including proposed targets on the use of wild species (target 4); on sustainable and productive agriculture (proposed target 9); on consumption patterns (target 15); and on incentive and subsidy reform (target 17). Trade-offs are also likely to occur between biodiversity protection and the acceleration towards the energy transition. Action to combat climate change will lead to increases in renewable technologies which in turn may place increased demand on mined materials and minerals. ⁴²⁷ To help alleviate these pressures, it will be necessary to identify approaches that scale circular models, support nature-positive metals and minerals extraction practices and facilitate sustainable supply chains. ⁴²⁸
- 236. The actions taken to reach this target also have the potential to support the attainment of several targets under the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. These include These include targets 2.4, 429 8.4, 430 9.4, 431 12.1, 432 12.2, 433 and 12.6. 434 In addition there are several other relevant frameworks and agreements which have processes with implications for issues related to trade and biodiversity. These include:
- (a) The World Trade Organisation which has a number of agreements and understandings that apply to agricultural trade including the 1994 General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade and the 1995 Agreement on Agriculture. The Agreement on Agriculture aims to establish a fair and market orientated trading system while accounting for the need to protect the environment. WTO members are in continuing conversations on agricultural trade reform.
- (b) The UNCTAD BioTrade Initiative's Principles and Criteria cover goods and services derived from native biodiversity under the criteria of environmental, social and economic sustainability.
- (c) The FAO Global plans of action established by the Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture provide strategic frameworks and recommendations for the conservation and

⁴²⁵ IRP (2019). Global Resources Outlook 2019: Natural Resources for the Future We Want. http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.11822/27517

⁴²⁶ WWF (2020) Living Planet Report 2020 - Bending the curve of biodiversity loss. WWF, Gland, Switzerland. https://livingplanet.panda.org/

⁴²⁷ World Bank (2020) Minerals for Climate Action: The Mineral Intensity of the Clean Energy Transition. https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/extractiveindustries/brief/climate-smart-mining-minerals-for-climate-action

⁴²⁸ World Economic Forum (2020) New Nature Economy Report II – The Future of Nature and Business. https://www.weforum.org/reports/new-nature-economy-report-ii-the-future-of-nature-and-business

⁴²⁹ By 2030, ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilient agricultural practices that increase productivity and production, that help maintain ecosystems, that strengthen capacity for adaptation to climate change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and that progressively improve land and soil quality

⁴³⁰ Improve progressively, through 2030, global resource efficiency in consumption and production and endeavour to decouple economic growth from environmental degradation, in accordance with the 10-Year Framework of Programmes on Sustainable Consumption and Production, with developed countries taking the lead

⁴³¹ By 2030, upgrade infrastructure and retrofit industries to make them sustainable, with increased resource-use efficiency and greater adoption of clean and environmentally sound technologies and industrial processes, with all countries taking action in accordance with their respective capabilities

⁴³² Implement the 10-Year Framework of Programmes on Sustainable Consumption and Production Patterns, all countries taking action, with developed countries taking the lead, taking into account the development and capabilities of developing countries

⁴³³ By 2030, achieve the sustainable management and efficient use of natural resources.

⁴³⁴ Encourage companies, especially large and transnational companies, to adopt sustainable practices and to integrate sustainability information into their reporting cycle

sustainable use of genetic resources for food and agriculture. These plans include targets, indicators and verifiers to monitor implementation of the plan and associated activities.

- (d) The Codex Alimentarius is a collection of international food standards, guidelines and codes of practice that contribute to the safety, quality and fairness of international food trade.
- (e) The International Tropical Timber Organization (ITTO) supports members with efforts to conserve biodiversity, implement sustainable forest management, restore degraded forest landscapes and encourage the sustainable use of forest resources. Further, the 2006 International Tropical Timber Agreement outlines a number of measures intended to promote the 'expansion and diversification of international trade in tropical timber from sustainably managed and legally harvested forest and the sustainable management of tropical timber producing forests. 435
- (f) The International Seabed Authority has a mandate to ensure the effective protection of the marine environment from harmful effects that may arise from deep-seabed related activities. This includes a responsibility to take necessary measures to ensure the protection of the marine environment from harmful effects arising from human activities.

Monitoring

237. Monitoring progress towards this target will require information on the impacts of production practices and supply chains on biodiversity. As noted above the types of the impacts and economic sectors involved are wide reaching and are dependent on several factors. For monitoring impacts of production and supply chains information related to the overall status and trends of biodiversity will be required. Some of the information used to monitor the implementation of the other proposed goals and targets in the post-2020 global biodiversity framework could be used in this respect. However, this information would need to be linked or otherwise considered in relation to production patterns and supply chains. Further, information on the management interventions put in place to address production patterns and supply chains could also be used to help monitor progress towards this target. Information from both the public and private sectors is likely to be required to do this effectively. Currently information related to the private sector is relatively limited so consideration may be needed of how this information could be effectively collected. 436

Links to other proposed goals and targets

238. The attainment of this target would directly contribute to the progress towards the proposed goals on ecosystems, species and genetic diversity (Goal A) and on nature's contributions to people (Goal B). Progress towards this target would also contribute to the attainment of many of the proposed targets in the post-2020 global biodiversity framework including the proposed targets on land use change (target 1), the management actions to enable wild species of fauna and flora (target 3), the harvesting, trade and use of wild species of fauna and flora (target 4), invasive alien species (target 5), reducing pollution (target 6) and the productivity, sustainability and resilience of biodiversity (target 9). Similarly balancing the protection of biodiversity with issues related to sustainable use, (proposed targets 8 and 12) will also be important to addressing production patterns and supply chains. There are also connection between other proposed targets looking to identify tools and solutions for implementation and mainstreaming (proposed targets 13, 15, and 17).

⁴³⁵ International Tropical Timber Agreement (2006), Article 1

⁴³⁶ UN Environment Programme World Conservation Monitoring Centre (2020) Biodiversity Measures for Business: Corporate biodiversity measurement and disclosure within the current and future global policy context. Cambridge, UK. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.27417.65121

Sustainable consumption⁴³⁷

- **Target 15**. By 2030, eliminate unsustainable consumption patterns, ensuring people everywhere understand and appreciate the value of biodiversity, and thus make responsible choices commensurate with 2050 biodiversity vision, taking into account individual and national cultural and socioeconomic conditions.
- 239. Unsustainable consumption is a not only a direct driver of biodiversity loss but also underlies each of the five main direct drivers of biodiversity loss. Reaching the 2050 Vision will require that the use of resources and the generation of waste does not exceed what can be sustainably provided and absorbed by the Earth. This target is closely related to proposed target 14 addressing to supply chains.

Status and trends

- 240. Patterns of consumption globally are currently unsustainable and are having negative impacts on both species and ecosystems. The growth in the global economy over the last 50 years, and the associated increase in production and consumption, has been accompanied by a threefold increase in natural resource extraction and energy use, which in turn has had a range of effects on biodiversity.⁴³⁸
- 241. An increasing number of governments and businesses are developing plans for more sustainable consumption. However, these are not being implemented on a scale that eliminates the negative impact of unsustainable human activities on biodiversity. Further while natural resources are being used more efficiently, the aggregated demand for resources continues to increase, and therefore the impacts of their use remain well above safe ecological limits. Between 2011 and 2016, the ecological footprint has remained at approximately 1.7 times the level of biocapacity in other words, requiring '1.7 Earths' to regenerate the biological resources used by our societies. While the ecological footprint has appeared to stabilize in recent years it is still well above what can be considered sustainable. Further, a recent analysis showed that global stocks of natural capital had declined per person by nearly 40 per cent between 1992 and 2014, compared with a doubling of produced capital and a 13 per cent increase in human capital over the same period.
- 242. With regards to the impacts of unsustainable consumption on species, the Red List Index for internationally traded species shows a continued increase in extinction risk for those bird species associated with international trade, typically meeting the demand for pet birds kept in cages. Similarly, the Red List Index for the impacts of utilization shows that, on average, the use by people is increasing the degree to which species of birds, mammals and amphibians are threatened with extinction.

Considerations

- 243. Unsustainable consumption is the point where the amount of resources being extracted or used exceeds the amount of resources that can be provided on a sustainable basis while maintaining ecosystem functionality. Specific limits will vary with different ecosystems and species depending on ecosystem compositions and conditions, the species being considered, and the type and magnitude of pressures being applied.
- 244. The effects of unsustainable consumption on biodiversity can be direct, for example through the overharvesting of a specific species, or indirect, for example by being mediated through habitat loss resulting from the need for more production purposes. While improvements in efficiencies and reducing waste and other losses are important in ensuring more sustainable consumption, ultimately the demand for resources

⁴³⁷ The text in this subsection draws on GBO-5, and references therein, in particular the sections related to the Aichi Biodiversity Targets 4 and 7. Additional references are indicated in the text for specific points.

⁴³⁸ United Nations Environment Programme (2021) Making Peace with Nature: A scientific blueprint to tackle the climate, biodiversity and pollution emergencies. Nairobi. https://www.unep.org/resources/making-peace-nature
⁴³⁹ Global Footprint Network (2020) Ecological Footprint. https://www.footprintnetwork.org/our-work/ecological-footprint. The ecological footprint was estimated to be about 1.6 planets in 2020 – the decrease, probably temporary, driven by the global economic slowdown resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic.

⁴⁴⁰ Managi and Kumar (2018). Inclusive Wealth Report 2018. United Nations Environment Programme: https://www.unenvironment.org/resources/report/inclusive-wealth-report-2018

will need to be reduced globally if this target is to be achieved. For example the interim report of an independent review on the economics of biodiversity found that efficiencies alone cannot lead to sustainable use of natural capital assets, and that long-term sustainability involves confronting difficult questions involving what and how we consume, how we manage our waste and the role of family planning and reproductive health.⁴⁴¹

- 245. Generally, actions towards this target will need to focus on those which will reduce the overall demand for resources and limit waste. This will include changes in personal values, norms, economic and social operating rules, technologies, and regulations. ⁴⁴² Action across society will be require, with governments having a particularly important role to play in creating an enabling environment for actions by the private sector and individuals, including though achieving elements of proposed target 17 on incentives. Further a greater recognition of people's dependency on biodiversity (proposed targets 13 and 19) and of the negative impacts upon biodiversity from current models of economic and human behaviour will be needed.
- 246. More specifically, sustainable consumptions patterns can be achieved in two main ways. The first is by improving efficiencies and reducing waste from current consumption patterns. Significant efforts are already ongoing in this respect; however, the aggregated demand for resources continues to increase, and therefore the impacts of their use remain well above safe ecological limits. Further, the generation of waste continues to be a significant issue. Just looking a food waste, a recent assessment estimated that in 2019 approximately 931 million tonnes of food waste, representing 17% of total global food production, was generated. Of this, 61% came from households, 26% from food services and 13% from retail. The assessment also found that household food waste generation was broadly similar across country income groups. Therefore, the second important action will be putting in place measures and tools to reduce the overall demand for resources. This could include promoting changes in consumer preferences for the amount and type of resources which are consumed, promoting the use of goods from sustainable sources, support for biodiversity friendly business, developing national procurement policies that are in line with the objectives of the Convention, and the development of methods to promote science-based information on biodiversity in consumer and producer decisions.
- 247. It is important to note that while the global demand for resources needs to be reduced there will be regional variation, and in some countries and regions consumption patterns may need to increase to meet societal objectives related to development and poverty alleviation. Finding ways to address this need in a sustainable way will be important.⁴⁴⁴
- 248. The actions taken the reach this target could directly or indirectly contribute to many of the proposed targets in the post-2020 global biodiversity framework, including the proposed targets on land use change (target 1), the management actions to enable wild species of fauna and flora (target 3), the harvesting, trade and use of wild species of fauna and flora (target 4), reducing pollution (target 6) and the productivity, sustainability and resilience of biodiversity (target 9). This proposed target also has links to a number of the Sustainable Development goals including targets 8.4⁴⁴⁵ and 12.2.⁴⁴⁶

⁴⁴¹ Human capital includes knowledge, education and skills. Managi and Kumar (2018). Inclusive Wealth Report 2018. United Nations Environment Programme: https://www.unenvironment.org/resources/report/inclusive-wealth-report-2018

⁴⁴² United Nations Environment Programme (2021). Making Peace with Nature: A scientific blueprint to tackle the climate, biodiversity and pollution emergencies. Nairobi. https://www.unep.org/resources/making-peace-nature
https://www.unep.org/resources/making-peace-nature
https://www.unep.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.11822/35280/FoodWaste.pdf

⁴⁴⁴ The draft long-term approach to mainstreaming and the associated action plan, currently submitted to the Subsidiary body on Implementation at its third meeting, identifies are range of pertinent strategic action areas and provides an indicative list of possible actions. For more information see CBD/SBI/3/13 and CBD/SBI/3/13/Add.1. 445Improve progressively, through 2030, global resource efficiency in consumption and production and endeavour to decouple economic growth from environmental degradation, in accordance with the 10-Year Framework of Programmes on Sustainable Consumption and Production, with developed countries taking the lead 446 By 2030, achieve the sustainable management and efficient use of natural resources

Monitoring

249. Sustainable consumption is a multifaceted issued addressing environmental, economic and social issues. It also relates to the overall amount of resources being consumed but also the processes in place to mediate this consumption. As such monitoring progress towards this proposed target could require information on the overall use of resources, the types of resources being consumed, the impacts of consumption on biodiversity and information on the amount of waste being generated. Information may also be required on the amount of resources the Earth can sustainably provide and the amount of waste it can absorb in order to put levels of consumption in context.

Links to other proposed goals and targets

250. The attainment of this target would directly contribute to the progress towards the proposed goals on ecosystems, species and genetic diversity (Goal A) and on nature's contributions to people (Goal B). Progress towards this target would also contribute to the attainment of proposed targets on land and sea use change (target 1), active species management (target 3), reducing threats from overexploitation (target 4), reducing pollution (target 6), sustainable use (target 8), the sustainability of agriculture and other managed ecosystems (target 9) and sustainable production and supply chains (target 15).

Biosafety⁴⁴⁷

Target 16. By 2030, establish and implement measures to prevent, manage or control potential adverse impacts of biotechnology on biodiversity and human health reducing these impacts by [X].

- 251. Under the Convention on Biological Diversity, biotechnology means any technological application that uses biological systems, living organisms, or derivatives thereof, to make or modify products or processes for specific use. 448 The Convention on Biological Diversity requires that Parties should, as far as possible and as appropriate, establish or maintain means to regulate, manage or control the risks associated with the use and release of living modified organisms resulting from biotechnology which are likely to have adverse environmental impacts that could affect the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, taking also into account the risks to human health. 449 The Convention also includes provisions to facilitate access to environmentally safe biotechnologies for the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity. 450 A target on biotechnology could therefore help to advance biosafety considerations under the Convention.
- 252. In response to Article 19, paragraph 3, of the Convention, the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety was adopted in January 2000 as a supplementary agreement to the Convention on Biological Diversity. ⁴⁵¹ The Protocol entered into force on 11 September 2003. The overall objective of the Protocol is to contribute to ensuring an adequate level of protection in the field of the safe transfer, handling and use of living modified organisms resulting from modern biotechnology ⁴⁵² that may have adverse effects on the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, taking also into account risks to human health, and specifically focusing on transboundary movements. ⁴⁵³ Given this, a target on biotechnology also has the potential to indirectly advance biosafety considerations under the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.

Status and trends

⁴⁴⁷ The text in this subsection draws on document CBD/SBI/3/3 and the information contained in the Biosafety Clearing House – http://bch.cbd.int/. Additional references are indicated in the text for specific points.

⁴⁴⁸ Article 2, Convention on Biological Diversity.

⁴⁴⁹ Article 8(g), Convention on Biological Diversity,

⁴⁵⁰ Article 16, Convention on Biological Diversity

⁴⁵¹ The Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety. https://bch.cbd.int/

⁴⁵²Under the Cartagena Protocol "modern biotechnology" means the application of in vitro nucleic acid techniques, including recombinant deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) and direct injection of nucleic acid into cells or organelles, or fusion of cells beyond the taxonomic family, that overcome natural physiological reproductive or recombination barriers and that are not techniques used in traditional breeding and selection.

⁴⁵³ Article 1, Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety

- 253. Under the Convention on Biological Diversity the consideration of biotechnology takes into account its potential benefits as well as how to ensure that potential adverse environmental impacts derived from it are avoided or minimized. Further both the Convention and the Cartagena Protocol place obligations on Parties to regulate, manage and control the risks associated with the use and release of living modified organisms resulting from biotechnology.
- 254. Globally many countries have measures in place to control risks associated with the use and release of living modified organisms which are likely to have adverse environmental impacts and under Article 8 (g) of the Convention there is an obligation to develop and maintain national biosafety systems. Currently no baseline information is available on the number of countries which have such measures in place, in part because to date there has been no explicit reporting requirements on this issue under the Convention. However, for those countries that are Party to the Cartagena Protocol more comprehensive information is available. For example 55 per cent of Parties to the Cartagena Protocol reported having fully introduced the necessary legal, administrative and other measures for the implementation of the Protocol; an additional 39% of Parties report that they have measures partially place, and these cover most of the Parties that report taking decisions on living modified organism for intentional introduction into the environment. Further the publication and use of information in the Biosafety Clearing House is progressively improving and by January 2020, 2,055 risk assessment reports and 2,134 decisions on introduction into the environment had been notified to the Biosafety Clearing House.

Considerations

- 255. Biotechnology encompasses a range of specific technologies and products and is an evolving area with rapid technological developments. Biotechnology has potential positive, neutral or negative impacts on biodiversity depending on the products that are developed and/or how they are used. The proposed target focuses on preventing, managing or controlling, potential adverse impacts. There is no systematic quantitative information currently available on actual and potential adverse impacts of biotechnology on biodiversity or on the reductions of such impacts through biosafety measures.
- 256. One of the main actions related to the safe use of biotechnology is ensuring appropriate processes and mechanisms are in place to prevent possible risks to biodiversity resulting from the use and/or release of biotechnology. Depending on national circumstances these regulatory frameworks and mechanisms could take various forms. Further many Parties to the Convention and the Cartagena Protocol have already put in place measures to address issues related to biotechnology and therefore future targets on this issue would need to take into account how such a target would complement and not duplicate or detract from these efforts.
- 257. The formulation of a target on this issue should also take into account how a target could remain valid in light of the rapid advances in the development of biotechnology. In addition, consideration may also be needed on how future discussions on issues related to biotechnology under the Convention, for example on synthetic biology, may inform the development of a target on biotechnology.
- 258. The Liaison Group on the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety that was tasked with considering a proposed target on biosafety provided guidance on four key elements that could be incorporated into a target on biosafety. The core elements identified by the liaison group relate to measures to prevent potential adverse impacts of biotechnology on biodiversity, risk assessment and risks management, information exchange for informed biosafety decision-making and restoration and compensation for damage to conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity caused by living modified organisms. 457

Monitoring

⁴⁵⁴ CBD/SBI/3/3/Add.1

⁴⁵⁵ The Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety. https://bch.cbd.int/

⁴⁵⁶ CBD/CP/LG/2019/1/6

⁴⁵⁷ For further information see CBD/CP/LG/2019/1/6

- 259. Currently there is no global level information on the number of adverse impacts of biotechnology on biodiversity and human health. This lack of information may create a challenge in monitoring progress towards this target unless processes are put in place to address it, including putting in place appropriate reporting processes and methodologies to collect this information in a comprehensive manner.
- 260. Progress towards this proposed target could be monitored by tracking information on the number of countries which have put in place measures to prevent potential adverse impacts of biotechnology on biodiversity, to undertaken risk assessment and risks management, to exchanged information related to biosafety decision-making and to provide restoration and compensation for damage to conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity caused by living modified organisms. For those countries which are already Parties to the Cartagena Protocol this information is already being collected and is accessible. For those countries which are not Party to protocol appropriate reporting processes may need to be put in place.

Links to other proposed goals and targets

261. The attainment of this target would directly contribute to the progress towards the proposed goal on means of implementation (Goal D). To the extent that biotechnology is also used in the production of food, this target may also contribute to the attainment of proposed target 8.

Incentives⁴⁵⁸

Target 17. By 2030, redirect, repurpose, reform or eliminate incentives harmful for biodiversity, including [X] reduction in the most harmful subsidies, ensuring that incentives, including public and private economic and regulatory incentives, are either positive or neutral for biodiversity.

262. Harmful incentives, including subsidies, are a major indirect driver of biodiversity loss, particularly as they can affect decisions around land-use, consumption and production patterns, overexploitation, pollution, and climate change. Harmful incentives generally emanate from policies or programmes that induce unsustainable behaviour harmful to biodiversity, often as unanticipated and unintended side effects of policies or programmes designed to achieve other objectives. Types of possibly harmful incentives include production subsidies and consumer subsidies while policies and laws governing resource use, such as land tenure systems and environmental resource management, can also have harmful effects. Substantial and widespread changes to harmful incentives, including subsidies, will be a necessary and critical step to ensure the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity.

Status and trends

263. The value of subsidies that are harmful or potentially harmful to biodiversity is estimated at about \$500 billion per year. 459 The most harmful elements include government support to agriculture (about \$230 billion, including \$116 billion from OECD countries), and capacity-enhancing subsidies for fishing fleets (over \$ 20 billion). 460 Further despite increased subsidies for clean energy, fossil-fuel support remains high, at \$478 billion in 2019. Taking into account environmental costs, other externalities and lost tax revenue the

⁴⁵⁸ The text in this subsection draws on GBO-5, and references therein, in particular the section related to the Aichi Biodiversity Targets 3. Additional references are indicated in the text for specific points.

⁴⁵⁹ OECD (2020) A Comprehensive Overview of Global Biodiversity Finance.

 $[\]underline{https://www.oecd.org/environment/resources/biodiversity/report-a-comprehensive-overview-of-global-biodiversity-finance.pdf}$

⁴⁶⁰ OECD (2019) Producer and Consumer Support Estimates. OECD Agriculture statistics (database), http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/agr-pcse-data-en; Sumaila et al (2019) Updated estimates and analysis of global fisheries subsidies. Marine Policy, 109, 103695. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2019.103695; Deutz et al (2020) Financing Nature: closing the global biodiversity financing gap. https://www.paulsoninstitute.org/key-initiatives/financing-nature-report/

total cost of subsidies that damage nature is estimated to be on the order of \$4-6 trillion per year. 461 Currently harmful subsidies greatly exceed the finance that is allocated to promote conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity (see proposed target 18).

There are numerous national and regional examples of incentives which are beneficial for biodiversity. Examples include agri-environment schemes in which farmers receive payments to implement agricultural techniques that support biodiversity in farmed landscapes (proposed target 9), taxation policies which favour the use of renewable energy, the promotion of payment for ecosystem services and offset schemes, and establishing certification and compensation schemes to incentivize activities such as sustainable ecotourism, landscape conservation, and the adoption of more efficient technologies. Other examples include efforts to encourage local land management, the provision of compensation for the reduction of harmful activities, and actions to recognize indigenous and local land use rights (proposed target 20). While the use of these types of incentives is increasing there is currently no global level quantitative aggregation of their use available. One exception to this however is information on biodiversity-relevant taxes, fees and charges, and tradeable permits which are tracked through the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD)'s database on Policy Instruments for the Environment (PINE), to which more than 110 countries currently provide data. As of 2020, 206 biodiversity-relevant taxes are currently in force in 59 countries; 179 biodiversity-relevant fees and charges are currently in force in 48 countries; and 38 biodiversity-relevant tradeable permit schemes are currently in force in 26 countries. Examples of biodiversity-relevant taxes include those that are applied on pesticides, fertilizers (proposed target 6), forest products and timber harvests (proposed targets 5 and 9) to reflect the negative environmental externalities generated by the use of the natural resource or by pollutants (proposed target 6). According to the OECD, the revenue generated from biodiversity-relevant taxes is approximately \$ 7.4 billion per year, a little over one per cent of total revenue generated from all environmentally-relevant taxes in OECD countries. 462

Considerations

265. Article 11 of the Convention requires that each contracting Party should, as far as possible and as appropriate, adopt economically and socially sound measures that act as incentives for the conservation and sustainable use of components of biological diversity. Similarly, Article 20 of the Convention requires that each Contracting Party undertakes to provide, in accordance with its capabilities, financial support and incentives in respect of those national activities which are intended to achieve the objectives of the Convention, in accordance with its national plans, priorities and programmes. As such a target on incentives could be viewed as a means of further operationalising these articles of the Convention.

266. A necessary first step in reaching a target on this issue is the identification of those incentives which are harmful or potentially harmful to biodiversity. In most countries and regions, there are likely to be a number of incentives which are having negative effects on biodiversity. In the redirection, repurpose, reform or elimination of harmful incentives priority may be given to those particularly harmful to biodiversity and those which also impede other societal objectives or are not effective from a socio-economic perspective. A total phase out of such incentives could be envisaged. As noted above, particular attention to the agriculture, fisheries and energy sectors may be warranted in this respect. Earlier guidance developed under the Convention, in the form of modalities and milestones for Aichi Biodiversity Target 3 adopted by the Conference of the Parties in decision XII/3, could be used to help inform such prioritization exercises. Similarly, such a prioritization exercise could help to ensure that the efforts taken to address this target would

⁴⁶¹ Coady et al (2019) Global Fossil Fuel Subsidies Remain Large: An Update Based on Country-Level Estimates IMF Working Paper 19/89. International Monetary Fund.

https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WP/Issues/2019/05/02/Global-Fossil-Fuel-Subsidies-Remain-LargeAn-Update-Based-onCountry-Level-Estimates-46509 and Dasgupta (2021) The Economics of Biodiversity: The Dasgupta Review HM Treasury. United Kingdom. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/final-report-the-economics-of-biodiversity-the-dasgupta-review

⁴⁶² OECD (2020) Tracking Economic Instruments and Finance for Biodiversity. https://www.oecd.org/environment/resources/tracking-economic-instruments-and-finance-for-biodiversity-2020.pdf

be consistent and in harmony with the Convention and other relevant international obligations as well as take into account national socio-economic conditions.

- 267. Actions towards this target will support progress towards most of the other proposed targets in the post-2020 global biodiversity framework and in particular those addressing the direct and indirect drivers of biodiversity loss and those related to meeting people's needs through sustainable use and benefit-sharing. Further financial savings from the redirection, repurposing, reform and/or elimination of harmful subsidies has the potential to make resources available for the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity (proposed target 18) as well as for other societal objectives. 463 The UNDP BIOFIN methodology already includes taking action on harmful incentives and subsidies as one of its proposed financial solutions to close the biodiversity financing gap. 464
- 268. A number of international processes and initiatives have also established targets or processes related harmful incentives, including subsidies. For example, Sustainable Development Goal 14.6 465 calls for prohibiting certain forms of fisheries subsidies which contribute to overcapacity and overfishing and to eliminate subsidies that contribute to illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing. Negotiations are ongoing under the World Trade Organisation to develop an agreement on fisheries subsidies to deliver on this SDG target.

Monitoring

269. Monitoring progress towards this target will require information on the total amount of harmful incentives, in place. In the case of harmful subsidies, information is available for different economic sectors through organisations such as the OECD. For non-financial incentives, such as laws, regulations and policies, there is relatively little globally comparable information available.

Links to other proposed goals and targets

270. The attainment of this target would contribute to the progress towards the proposed goal on ecosystems, species and genetic diversity (Goal A) as incentives, including subsidies, harmful to biodiversity underline most of the direct drivers of biodiversity loss. This proposed target also has the potential to contribute to the attainment of proposed targets on land and sea use change (target 1), overexploitation (target 4), pollution (target 6), sustainable use (target 8), the sustainability of agriculture and other managed ecosystems (target 9), sustainable production and supply chains (target 14) and sustainable consumption. To the extent that the financial resources made available through the elimination, reform or redirection of harmful incentives and subsidies are applied to biodiversity conservation, the progress towards this proposed target would also contribute to target 18 on financial resources.

Financial resources⁴⁶⁶

Target 18. By 2030, increase by [X%] financial resources from all international and domestic sources, through new, additional and effective financial resources commensurate with the ambition of the goals and targets of the framework and implement the strategy for capacity-building and technology transfer and scientific cooperation to meet the needs for implementing the post-2020 global biodiversity framework.

⁴⁶³ The draft long-term approach to mainstreaming and the associated action plan, currently submitted to the Subsidiary Body on Implementation at its third meeting, identifies are range of pertinent strategic action areas and provides an indicative list of possible actions. See CBD/SBI/3/13 and Add.1.

⁴⁶⁴BIOFIN. https://www.biodiversityfinance.net/

⁴⁶⁵ By 2020, prohibit certain forms of fisheries subsidies which contribute to overcapacity and overfishing, eliminate subsidies that contribute to illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing and refrain from introducing new such subsidies, recognizing that appropriate and effective special and differential treatment for developing and least developed countries should be an integral part of the World Trade Organization fisheries subsidies negotiation ⁴⁶⁶ The text in this subsection draws on GBO-5, and references therein, in particular the section related to the Aichi Biodiversity Targets 20. It also draws on document CBD/SBI/3/5 and its related addenda. Additional references are indicated in the text for specific points.

- 271. The post-2020 global biodiversity framework will need to be implemented through activities at the national or subnational levels, with supporting action needed at the regional and global levels. The financial resources for implementing biodiversity activities is limited in many countries, especially in developing countries, and in particular the least developed countries and small island developing states, as well as countries with economies in transition. The lack of financial resources has frequently been noted as a limitation to the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity and the implementation of the Convention.
- 272. In decision 14/22, on resource mobilization, the Conference of the Parties affirmed that resource mobilization would be an integral part of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework. The progress towards a target on resource mobilization will have implications on the feasibility of achieving all of the other proposed goals and targets in the post-2020 global biodiversity framework. Further, while higher levels of resources do not necessarily guarantee higher levels of conservation, research has shown that, on average, a higher allocation of resources into biodiversity programmes and projects is associated with reduced biodiversity loss. 467 Similarly a recent study, based on information from 30 countries found that public biodiversity investments were associated with about a 1% reductions in the number of threatened species and that each US\$ 1 billion investment in biodiversity was associated with an annual reduction of 0.57% in the proportion of species threatened with extinction. 468

Status and trends

273. OECD data suggest that global biodiversity finance is on the order of \$78 – 91 billion per year (2015-2017 average). Data reported to the Convention on Biological Diversity is consistent with these estimates. This funding comes from a variety of sources, including domestic sources (about \$67.8 billion per year), international public biodiversity finance (\$3.9 billion per year between 2015 and 2017 for finance that has biodiversity as a principal focus, and \$9.3 billion per year if other finance with significant elements related to biodiversity) and the private sector (conservatively \$6.6-13.6 billion per year). In 2018-2022, funding directly relevant to biodiversity provided through the GEF was about \$1.3 billion. More recent and comprehensive estimates which, among other things, account for expenditure on natural infrastructure, biodiversity offsets and additional contributions from the business and finance sectors, suggest that biodiversity finance is between about \$120 billion and \$140 billion per year. However, given the risk of double counting, the lower of these figures may be closer to the true value.

274. Trends in biodiversity finance over the last ten years have generally been positive. Over the last decade there have been increases in domestic resources for biodiversity in some countries, with resources remaining broadly constant for others. Information provided through the financial reporting framework related to Aichi Biodiversity Target 20 indicates that 28 Parties had increasing trends in their domestic biodiversity resources while 24 had no change and 13 had decreasing trends. For 13 Parties, trends could not be detected or were inconclusive. With regards to international public biodiversity finance, which includes official development assistance (ODA) and non-concessional flows (both bilateral and multilateral), has roughly doubled over the decade. This is consistent with information provided by Parties through the financial reporting framework related to Aichi Biodiversity Target 20 which shows that ten Parties had at least doubled their international aid flows for biodiversity by 2015. Further Parties that are members of the OECD Development Assistance Committee (DAC) collectively increased their support to international public biodiversity finance by 130% between 2006-2010 and 2015. The financing made available as official

⁴⁶⁷ Waldron et al (2017) Reductions in global biodiversity loss predicted from conservation spending. Nature, 551(7680), 364-367. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24295

⁴⁶⁸ Seidl et al (2021) The effectiveness of national biodiversity investments to protect the wealth of nature. Nature Ecology & Evolution. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-01372-1

⁴⁶⁹ OECD (2020) A Comprehensive Overview of Global Biodiversity Finance.

 $[\]frac{https://www.oecd.org/environment/resources/biodiversity/report-a-comprehensive-overview-of-global-biodiversity-finance.pdf}{}$

⁴⁷⁰ Deutz et al (2020). Financing Nature: Closing the global biodiversity financing gap. The Paulson Institute, The Nature Conservancy, and the Cornell Atkinson Center for Sustainability. https://www.paulsoninstitute.org/key-initiatives/financing-nature-report/

development assistance through DAC member countries has in turn generated an estimated \$ 200 million to \$510 million private biodiversity finance in 2018. Similarly, between 2006-2010 and 2018-2022, funding directly relevant to biodiversity provided through the Global Environment Facility increased by more than 30%. Therefore, overall funding through international flows, including official development assistance has roughly doubled during the last decade while total funding is estimated to have increased more modestly.

Considerations

- 275. Not meaningfully addressing the ongoing decline of biodiversity, including by ensuring required resources are available, will have significant economic impacts. For example, one report estimates, that under a business as usual scenario, more than US\$ 470 billion could be lost annually by 2050 in terms of reduced economic growth (0.67 per cent of global GDP annually) as a result of biodiversity loss. Under the same business as usual scenario the estimated cumulative loss between 2011 and 2050 would be more than US\$ 9 trillion. In contrast there could be a cumulative benefit of about US\$ 11 billion annually (0.02 per cent of global GDP by 2050) under a scenario where the world adopts a more sustainable development agenda and enhances management of land and sea areas that are important for biodiversity and ecosystem services.⁴⁷¹ Therefore, even with the limited data available, an ambitious approach to biodiversity resource mobilization is likely to not only be a requirement to bend the curve on biodiversity loss but would also generate net economic benefits for both present and future generations.
- 276. Determining funding needs for the implementation of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework is challenging given that the framework is still under development and different methodological approaches exist for estimating financial needs. Recent estimates of funding needs, per year, focusing primarily on expanding and improving protected areas suggests funding needs in the range of \$103-178 billion or \$149-192 billion. Protecting urban and coastal ecosystems and controlling invasive alien species is estimated to cost an additional \$200 billion, while the estimated costs for transforming agricultural, forestry and fishery sectors are estimated at \$442-580 billion. This gives a total estimate of \$722-967 billion per year. This, combined with the estimates above suggest a funding gap of the order of \$700 billion per year for biodiversity. The actions taken to address this funding gap should bear in mind the provisions of Article 20 of the Convention. Resources to fill this gap could come from a combination of:
- (a) Reducing subsidies causing harm to biodiversity and thereby reducing the total funding need and making use of funds redirected from subsidy reform (proposed target 17)
- (b) Generating additional resources from all sources, including domestic and international sources as well as public and private sources.
- (c) Making use of funds which also serve other objectives, such as addressing climate change, where objectives coincide or overlap. For example, the Green Climate Fund (GCF), established under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate, had, as of June 2020, a portfolio whose total value was \$19 billion, comprised of 128 projects and programmes worldwide, including co-financing from project partners. About \$2.9 billion of this total has been invested to support 41 projects related to ecosystem-based mitigation and adaptation activities (32% of the GCF portfolio by the number of projects, or 15.2% by value). Within these projects, investment directly channelled to supporting and restoring ecosystems and ecosystem services amounts to \$700 million. Similarly the Land Degradation Neutrality (LDN) Fund, spearheaded by the Global Mechanism of the United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD) is an impact

⁴⁷¹ Johnson, et al (2020). Global Futures: modelling the global economic impacts of environmental change to support policy-making. Technical Report, January 2020. https://www.wwf.org.uk/globalfutures

⁴⁷²Deutz et al (2020). Financing Nature: Closing the global biodiversity financing gap. The Paulson Institute, The Nature Conservancy, and the Cornell Atkinson Center for Sustainability. https://www.paulsoninstitute.org/key-initiatives/financing-nature-report/; and Waldron et al (2020) Protecting 30% of the planet for nature: costs, benefits and economic implications. Working paper analysing the economic implications of the proposed 30% target for areal protection in the draft post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework.

https://www.conservation.cam.ac.uk/files/waldron_report_30_by_30_publish.pdf. For a further discussion of this issue, see CBD/SBI/3/5/Add.2.

investment fund blending resources from the public, private and philanthropic sectors to support achieving land-degradation neutrality through sustainable land management and land restoration projects implemented by the private sector.

- (d) Enhancing the effectiveness and efficiency of resource use. This could include, for example, ensuring that appropriate plans and policies are in place, establishing necessary partnerships and platforms, ensuring policy coherence across government ministries and sectors, and enhancing capacity-building, technical assistance and technological cooperation. It would also include improved, monitoring and reporting on resource needs as well as the identification of funding priorities. Such issues are addressed under proposed target 13 in the updated zero draft of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework which addresses, among other things, the integration of biodiversity values into policies, regulations, planning, development processes. Proposed target 20 on ensuring equitable participation in decision making and rights over relevant resources is also relevant. Enhancing effectiveness and efficiency of resource use may also entail ensuring that biodiversity financing is appropriately targeted. For example, with regards to international public biodiversity finance, historically most has tended to focus on terrestrial and freshwater biodiversity, with only about 4% of bilateral biodiversity-related ODA addressing marine biodiversity.
- 277. In addition to the actions noted above, processes related to access and benefit sharing (proposed target 12) have the potential to generate some of the funding necessary to address the funding needs associated with the implementation of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework. Similarly, some of the possible actions to reach targets on sustainable production (proposed target 14) and sustainable consumption (proposed target 15) could also help to generate resources for the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity. In addition, proposals have also been made for a new financial institution guided by the principle of fiscal equivalence (i.e. those who benefit from the good in question should also pay for the costs of provision). Such a mechanism would incentivize nations to supply global benefits of conserving biodiversity, for example through protected areas.⁴⁷⁴ This proposed target is also related to Targets 10.b⁴⁷⁵ and17.3⁴⁷⁶ under the Sustainable Development Goals.

Monitoring

278. Monitoring the implementation of a target on resource mobilization would need to consider the amount of resources made available for biodiversity through domestic channels and international public biodiversity finance as well as resources from both public and private sources.

279. Existing international statistical frameworks and reporting frameworks could help to provide some of the information necessary to monitor the implementation of this proposed target. On domestic biodiversity-related expenditures, this includes (a) the government finance statistics (expenditures by functions of government) maintained by the International Monetary Fund, (b) the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development and (c) the framework for environmental expenditure accounts of the United Nations System of Environmental Economic Accounting (SEEA), operated by Eurostat and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. On international biodiversity-related resource flows, this includes the Creditors Reporting System of the OECD. Monitoring the provision of financial resources through other channels, such as the private sector or philanthropic organisations, would be more challenging

⁴⁷³OECD (2020) A Comprehensive Overview of Global Biodiversity Finance. https://www.oecd.org/environment/resources/biodiversity/report-a-comprehensive-overview-of-global-biodiversity-finance.pdf

⁴⁷⁴ Dröste et al (2019) Designing a global mechanism for intergovernmental biodiversity financing, Conservation Letters. 2019; volume 12, issue 6: e12670. https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12670; and Dasgupta (2021) The Economics of Biodiversity: The Dasgupta Review. HM Treasury, United Kingdom. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/final-report-the-economics-of-biodiversity-the-dasgupta-review

⁴⁷⁵ Encourage official development assistance and financial flows, including foreign direct investment, to States where the need is greatest, in particular least developed countries, African countries, small island developing States and landlocked developing countries, in accordance with their national plans and programmes

⁴⁷⁶ Mobilize additional financial resources for developing countries from multiple sources

as no organisation is currently tracking this information in a consistent manner. Similarly, no global level information on the effectiveness of biodiversity finance is currently available.

Links to other proposed goals and targets

280. The attainment of this target would contribute to the attainment of all the proposed goals and targets in the post-2020 global biodiversity. It would be particularly relevant for reaching proposed goal D on the means of implementation.

Knowledge⁴⁷⁷

Target 19: By 2030, ensure that quality information, including traditional knowledge, is available to decision makers and public for the effective management of biodiversity through promoting awareness, education and research.

281. Quality and timely data, information and knowledge on biodiversity, it's effective management and use and the drivers of its loss is required to identify the threats to biodiversity, to determine priority actions for conservation and sustainable use and to determine if such actions are effective. Biodiversity information, including traditional knowledge, will underpin progress towards all of the proposed goals and targets of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework. It will also be essential in tracking the progress in the attainment of these. Despite important advances in recent years, biodiversity related information remains limited or absent for many issues and areas and the lack of biodiversity information and/or the difficulty of accessing it has been identified as an obstacle to the effective implementation of the Convention.

Status and trends

282. Biodiversity information is growing at a rapid rate. The number of indicators available to monitor changes relating to biodiversity, at varying spatial and temporal scales has increased⁴⁷⁸ and, on average, countries are using more indicators in their national reports then they have previously. Further, remote sensing information on the extent and quality of ecosystems is increasingly available and various global and regional observation networks, such as GEO-BON and its regional and thematic BONs, have been established to better monitor biodiversity. Artificial intelligence is increasingly being used to support species recognition through citizen science platforms and is being applied to support near real-time monitoring of wildlife through images captured by camera traps. Bioacoustic monitoring and satellite-based animal tracking are among a range of other technological applications enabling rapid expansion of the data available to support biodiversity knowledge.

283. The growth in the availability of data and information on biodiversity is demonstrated by a number of metrics. For example, the number of species assessed for extinction risk in the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species has doubled in the past decade, passing 120,000 species during 2020. The number of species occurrence records freely accessible through the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF) passed one billion during 2018, and stood at more than 1.4 billion by May 2020, a seven-fold increase over the decade. The Ocean Biodiversity Information System (OBIS), which specializes in mobilizing data to support research and policy on marine biodiversity, provided access to nearly 60 million occurrence records relating to more than 131,000 species in 2020, compared with 22 million records in 2010. Further the Barcode of Life Data System (BOLD) has established a library of more than half a million public 'Barcode Index Numbers', clustering genetic sequences into units corresponding with known species, thus helping with identification to support a range of research and policy applications. These types of data are widely used in research relating to conservation, impacts of climate change, invasive alien species, food security and human health, among other policy-relevant areas. In addition there are also an increasing number of data platforms under development to support decision making, such as UN Biodiversity Labs, the EU Knowledge

⁴⁷⁷ The text in this subsection draws on GBO-5, and references therein, in particular the section related to the Aichi Biodiversity Targets 20. Additional references are indicated in the text for specific points.

⁴⁷⁸ CBD/SBSTTA/INF/24/16 and https://www.bipindicators.net/

Centre, and the Integrated Biodiversity Assessment Tool among others and some indicator providers are making indicator information more accessible.

284. In recent years there has also been growing recognition and documentation of the potential value of traditional knowledge to the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity, including through communitybased monitoring programmes. However, traditional and indigenous knowledge remains poorly recognized, and is still often marginalized. ⁴⁷⁹ Further there is often a lack of communication between indigenous peoples and local communities and the scientific community and assessments of biodiversity often do not take local and traditional knowledge into account. 480 This is despite the fact that numerous examples have demonstrated the ways in which bringing traditional knowledge together with science can lead to constructive solutions to various challenges and lead to the development of policies which are more tailored to on-the-ground realities. One indication of progress in this regard is the conceptual framework of the Intergovernmental Science Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPBES) which gives explicit consideration of diverse scientific disciplines, stakeholders, and knowledge systems, including indigenous and local knowledge. Indigenous knowledge holders also contributed significantly to the IPBES Global Assessment on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. Further recognizing the knowledge, innovations, practices, institutions and values of indigenous peoples and local communities, and ensuring their inclusion and participation in environmental governance (proposed target 20) often enhances their quality of life as well as the conservation, restoration and sustainable use of biodiversity.

Considerations

285. Ensuring the availability and accessibility of high-quality biodiversity related information is essential for effective decision making. As such progress towards this target will support the attainment of all of the other proposed goals and targets in the post-2020 global biodiversity framework.

286. Progress towards this target will require greater support for data acquisition, management and sharing. While the amount of biodiversity information continues to increase, major imbalances exist in the location and taxonomic focus of this information for example, species data is still strongly biased towards animal species, especially birds, and higher plants, and many of the most diverse ecosystems, especially in the tropics, are still greatly under-represented. Similarly, information on intraspecific (genetic and phenotypic) diversity is often limited. For example, the IUCN Red List assessments covers 6 per cent of described species, and, as of late 2020, 1.1% of species evaluated by IUCN were evaluated at the intraspecific level. Actions towards this target will therefore require efforts to address major imbalances in the location and taxonomic focus of biodiversity studies and monitoring.

287. Knowledge gaps related to the consequences of biodiversity loss for people, including indigenous peoples and local communities, women, youth, and people living in vulnerable situations also need to be addressed through broadened monitoring efforts. The greater recognition and support for the role of indigenous peoples and local communities (proposed target 20) in monitoring the status, trends and threats to biodiversity will be important in this respect. In addition, there is little information on the ways that different drivers of biodiversity loss interact. 482

⁴⁷⁹ For example see Cámara-Leret, R., and Dennehy, Z (2019) Information gaps in indigenous and local knowledge for science-policy assessments. Nat Sustain 2, 736–741. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-019-0324-0

⁴⁸⁰ IPBES (2019), Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany; Forest Peoples Programme et al (2020), *Local Biodiversity Outlooks* 2: The contributions of indigenous peoples and local communities to the implementation of the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011–2020 and to renewing nature and cultures. A complement to the fifth edition of the *Global Biodiversity Outlook*. Moreton-in-Marsh, England, www.localbiodiversityoutlooks.net.

⁴⁸¹ Des Roches et al (2021) Conserving intraspecific variation for nature's contributions to people. Nature Ecology and Evolution. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-021-01403-5

⁴⁸² Mazor et al (2018) Global mismatch of policy and research on drivers of biodiversity loss. Nat Ecol Evol 2, 1071–1074 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0563-x

- 288. Making biodiversity information available to decision makers and the public will require actions to ensure that information can be easily accessed. In some cases, this will require addressing issues related to the costs of accessing information and promoting the use of open-access standards. Similarly, much biodiversity information is only available in English. This makes it challenging for some people to access and make use of the information while the focus on English language resources also means that important biodiversity information in other languages are often overlooked.⁴⁸³ Efforts may therefore be needed to broaden the consideration of data sources in multiple languages as well as to explore means of making English language research more accessible to people for whom English is not a mother tongue.
- 289. Scaling up the use and support of recent technological advances in cataloguing and sharing biodiversity information will also be important to improve the accessibility of biodiversity information. Various initiatives are already in place to help address this issue and could be further expanded and/or built on. For example, the clearing-house mechanism (CHM) of the Convention on Biological Diversity facilitates the exchange of information, expertise, tools and technologies. It comprises a global network of national CHMs and of partners and a central platform hosted by the CBD Secretariat. The number of national CHM websites has grown from 89 in 2010 to 101 in 2020 and more countries are in the process of developing sites and/or linking them to the central CHM. Parties are also making use of the Bioland Tool, a turnkey solution developed by the Secretariat, to help Parties establish or improve their national CHMs. Other initiatives include the Data Reporting Tool (DART) for multilateral environmental agreements which supports synergies in the field of knowledge management and InforMEA which provides centralized access to information related to different multilateral environmental agreements.
- 290. Progress and actions towards this proposed target also have the potential to support the attainment of several related SDG Targets. These include SDG Targets 4.7, 484 9.5, 485 12.8, 486 13.3, 487 and 17.8. 488

Monitoring

291. Tracking progress towards this proposed target will require information on the amount of biodiversity information which is available and accessible to policy makers and the general public. The amount of biodiversity information available over time would be expected to continue to increase, however determining the rate of this increase is challenging as trends in biodiversity information availability cannot currently be measured globally owing to the different types of biodiversity information which exists. Similarly assessing the representativeness of biodiversity information globally is also problematic for the

⁴⁸⁴ By 2030, ensure that all learners acquire the knowledge and skills needed to promote sustainable development, including, among others, through education for sustainable development and sustainable lifestyles, human rights, gender equality, promotion of a culture of peace and non-violence, global citizenship and appreciation of cultural diversity and of culture's contribution to sustainable development

⁴⁸⁵ Enhance scientific research, upgrade the technological capabilities of industrial sectors in all countries, in particular developing countries, including, by 2030, encouraging innovation and substantially increasing thenumber of research and development workers per 1 million people and public and private research and development spending ⁴⁸⁶By 2030, ensure that people everywhere have the relevant information and awareness for sustainable development and lifestyles in harmony with nature

⁴⁸⁷ Improve education, awareness- raising and human and institutional capacity on climate change mitigation, adaptation, impact reduction and early warning

⁴⁸⁸ By 2020, enhance capacity- building support to developing countries, including for least developed countries and small island developing States, to increase significantly the availability of high-quality, timely and reliable data disaggregated by income, gender, age, race, ethnicity, migratory status, disability, geographic location and other characteristics relevant in national contexts

⁴⁸³ For example see Nuñez et al (2020) Ignoring non-English-language studies may bias ecological meta-analyses. Ecology and Evolution. 10(13). 6373-6384. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.6368; Nuñez et al (2019) Assessing the uneven global distribution of readership, submissions and publications in applied ecology: Obvious problems without obvious solutions. Journal of Applied Ecology. 56(1) 4-9. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13319; and Amano et al (2013). Four barriers to the global understanding of biodiversity conservation: wealth, language, geographical location and security. Proceedings of the Royal Society B. 28020122649 https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2012.2649;

DRAFT FOR REVIEW Page 86

same reasons. However, in the case of a few specific indicators or metrics, including those noted above, it would be possible to determine trends. How representative these trends would be of the biodiversity information situation generally would need to be determined.

Links to other proposed goals and targets

The attainment of this target would contribute to the progress towards the proposed goal on means of implementation (Goal D). Progress towards this target would also help to support the progress made towards all of the proposed targets in the post-2020 global biodiversity framework as ensuring the availability of quality information will support the effective decisions making, planning and actions required to implement all aspects of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework.

Participation⁴⁸⁹

Target 20: By 2030, ensure equitable participation in decision-making related to biodiversity and ensure rights over relevant resources of indigenous peoples and local communities, women and girls as well as youth, in accordance with national circumstances.

292. Reaching the 2050 Vision for Biodiversity will require a whole of society approach. Given this, it is important that the views, perspectives, and experiences of all groups are considered in decision making processes. This will require equitable participation in decision making, with particular attention being needed to ensure that the views and rights of indigenous peoples and local communities, women and girls, and youth are effectively taken into account. Equal rights to relevant resources, particularly land, may be considered an important component of an enabling environment for biodiversity action by all actors. It would also contribute to other social objectives, including poverty alleviation, health and human well-being.

Status and trends

293. While most countries have multiple biodiversity related decision-making processes, national biodiversity strategies and action plans (NBSAPs) are the principal instruments for implementing the Convention at the national level. As such most of the information which is readily available on biodiversity related decision-making focuses on NBSAPs. Analysis of NBSAPs has shown that opportunities for effective action in support of biodiversity have been missed due to insufficient involvement of indigenous peoples and local communities, women, youth and a broad set of stakeholders. For example, only 40 Parties reported that indigenous peoples and local communities were involved in the revision processes of their national biodiversity strategies and action plans. Similarly, less than half of recent NBSAPs have included some reference to gender or women's issues, often on a limited basis. ⁴⁹⁰ There is no information available on the participation of youth in NBSAP processes and only a few NBSAPs refer to this group.

294. The important role of indigenous peoples and local communities in biodiversity management has been long recognized under the Convention on Biological Diversity and in other international processes. However, the views, perspectives and rights of indigenous peoples and local communities are often not considered in decision making. Given that indigenous peoples and local communities are the custodians or managers of more than 38 million square kilometres of land across 87 countries and that these areas intersect 40% of all terrestrial protected areas and ecologically intact landscapes and that these lands cover more than

⁴⁸⁹ The text in this subsection draws on GBO-5, and references therein, in particular the sections related to the Aichi Biodiversity Targets 14 and 17. Additional references are indicated in the text for specific points. It also draws on the second edition of the Local Biodiversity Outlooks. https://localbiodiversityoutlooks.net/ and the review of 2015-2020 Gender Plan of Action (CBD/SBI/3/2/Add.3)

⁴⁹⁰ CBD/SBI/2/2/Add.3

10% of the range of an estimated 2,175 species, the effectiveness of biodiversity related decision-making is greatly hindered by not taking their views and rights into account. 491

295. Women have long been excluded from or under-represented in biodiversity-related decision-making processes, which contributes to an often marginalized role in implementation efforts and limits the effectiveness of measures to conserve and sustainably use biodiversity. This is despite the important role women play in resource management in countries and communities around the world, and the evidence that women's inclusion in resource management groups can lead to better conservation and resource governance outcomes. It also raises concerns more generally on progress towards achieving gender equality and the empowerment of all women and girls. Women are under-represented in all levels of decision-making, including national parliaments, where they make up about a quarter of parliamentarians globally. In respect to land rights, despite important advances in legislation to strengthen women's land rights, significant gaps between countries and regions remain. 164 countries explicitly recognize women's rights to own, use, make decisions and use land as collateral on equal terms with men. However, of these only 52 countries guarantee these rights both in law and practice. Further globally women make up less than 15 per cent of agricultural land holders.

296. Globally there is limited information on the involvement of youth in decision making processes related to biodiversity. This is despite the fact that they will be directly impacted by the progress made towards the 2050 Vision for Biodiversity. While numerous youth initiatives around the world are having positive impacts on biodiversity, these are rarely accounted for in decision making processes.

Considerations

297. Equitable participation in biodiversity related decision-making and relevant resource rights of indigenous peoples and local communities, women and girls and youth are cross-cutting issues, and actions taken to fulfil them would contribute to the achievement of all of the proposed goals and targets in the post-2020 global biodiversity framework. Numerous examples have demonstrated the ways in which bringing indigenous peoples and local communities, women and youth, into decision making can lead to constructive solutions to various issues, while rights over relevant resources provides an important means for these groups to both participate in decision-making and to support the implementation of effective solutions.

298. The preamble of the text of the Convention on Biological Diversity recognizes the vital role that women play in the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity and affirms the need for the full participation of women at all levels of policy-making and implementation for biodiversity conservation. Similarly the text of the Convention recognizes the close and traditional dependence of many indigenous and local communities embodying traditional lifestyles on biological resources and that Parties should, as far as possible and as appropriate, subject to national legislation, respect, preserve and maintain knowledge, innovations and practices of indigenous and local communities relevant for the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity.

⁴⁹¹Garnett et al (2018) A spatial overview of the global importance of Indigenous lands for conservation. Nature Sustainability volume 1, 369–374. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-018-0100-6; O'Bryan, et al (2021), The importance of Indigenous Peoples' lands for the conservation of terrestrial mammals. Conservation Biology. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13620

⁴⁹² Leisher et al (2016) Does the gender composition of forest and fishery management groups affect resource governance and conservation outcomes? A systematic map. Environmental Evidence 5 (6). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13750-016-0057-8

⁴⁹³ Inter-Parliamentary Union (2020) Women in parliaments are the percentage of parliamentary seats in a single or lower chamber held by women. https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SG.GEN.PARL.ZS; Inter-Parliamentary Union (2021) Women in parliament in 2020. The year in review. https://www.ipu.org/women-in-parliament-2020 (2019) SIGI 2019 Global Report: Transforming challenges into opportunities. <a href="https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/sites/bc56d212-en/index.html?itemId=/content/publication/bc56d212-en/index.html?itemId=/content/publica

⁴⁹⁵ FAO. The gender gap in land rights. http://www.fao.org/3/I8796EN/i8796en.pdf

- 299. Aichi Biodiversity Target 18 addressed traditional knowledge while Aichi Biodiversity Target 14, to a limited extent, addressed issues related to gender, as well as to indigenous peoples and local communities and youth, in respect to the restoration and safeguarding of ecosystem services. As such this proposed target can be viewed as a continuation of these established commitments. Further in setting out the process for developing the post-2020 global biodiversity framework the Conference of the Parties, in decision 14/34, decided that the process should be, among other things, participatory, inclusive and gender responsive, including by systematically integrating a gender perspective and ensuring appropriate representation, particularly of women and girls, in the process. Given this, a target related to participation, particularly as it relates to the participation of women and indigenous peoples and local communities can be seen as building on and from this decision.
- 300. Indigenous peoples and local communities, women and youth are leaders and key actors in biodiversity conservation and sustainable use. Failure to adequately recognize these contributions, including in the preparation and implementation of national biodiversity strategies and action plans, is a missed opportunity. Similarly ensuring their rights are respected would contribute to the establishment of effective implementation environment for the post-2020 global biodiversity framework and broader societal objectives, including issues addressed in the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.
- 301. Biodiversity related decision making occurs across levels of government and across different ministries and at different scales. It also occurs across sectors. Consideration should therefore be given to how this complexity can be reflected in actions to reach this target. Further equitable participation in decision making related to biodiversity can take multiple forms and will vary by country and the type of decision being made. However generally participation could range from promoting and encouraging the participation and representation of indigenous peoples and local communities and women in electoral processes, to ensuring equal and effective participation on advisory boards, resource governance bodies, and in formal consultation processes, and to ensuring that mechanisms exist for the views and perspectives of these groups to be meaningfully considered prior to decisions being made.
- 302. Under the Convention on Biological Diversity the programme of work on Article 8(j) guides much of the work related to indigenous peoples and local communities. With regards to gender, the 2015 2020 Gender Plan of Action includes possible actions for Parties to undertake in implementing the Convention. 496 Both of these could help to inform the types of actions needed to reach this proposed target.
- 303. Progress towards this proposed goal would contribute to the attainment of broader societal objectives, including SDG Targets 1.4⁴⁹⁷, 5.5⁴⁹⁸, 5.a⁴⁹⁹ and 16.7.⁵⁰⁰ In addition there are numerous other agreements and processes which reflect the importance of promoting the participation of indigenous peoples and local communities, women and girls and youth in decisions making processes and in ensuring their rights. Examples of these include the Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action promoting gender equality and women's empowerment, the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples and the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child.

Monitoring

⁴⁹⁶ A process is underway to update the gender plan of action in relation to the post-2020 global biodiversity framework

⁴⁹⁷ Ensure that all men and women, particularly the poor and the vulnerable, have equal rights to economic resources, as well as access to basic services, ownership, and control over land and other forms of property, inheritance, natural resources, appropriate new technology, and financial services including microfinance

⁴⁹⁸ Ensure women's full and effective participation and equal opportunities for leadership at all levels of decision-making in political, economic and public life

⁴⁹⁹ Undertake reforms to give women equal rights to economic resources, as well as access to ownership and control over land and other forms of property, financial services, inheritance and natural resources, in accordance with national law

⁵⁰⁰ Ensure responsive, inclusive, participatory and representative decision-making at all levels

304. Monitoring progress towards this target will require information on equitable participation. This could include information on the number of indigenous peoples and local communities, women, girls and youth that participate in decision making processes. Information on the effectiveness of this participation may also be required. Aside from information on the number of women represented in national parliaments, there is currently no global level information available on these two issues. In addition, information will also be required on the number of indigenous peoples and local communities, women, girls and youth with equal rights to relevant resources. While some information on this issue is available, it mostly relates to rights to own, use, make decisions and use land as collateral.

Links to other proposed goals and targets

305. The attainment of this target would contribute to the progress towards the proposed goal on means of implementation (Goal D). Progress towards this target would also help to support the progress made towards all of the proposed targets in the post-2020 global biodiversity framework as effective equitable participation in decision-making will enhance the effectiveness of decisions making, planning and actions required to implement all aspects of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework.