


Governance and equity

Progress to date
towards 2020



- tools available: Governance assessment tool- IUCN and GIZ manual coming out in a few weeks
- Social impacts, understanding the roles of BD in comm well being..
- not in-depth assessment- sensitivity issues..
- train people - have clusters and platforms to assess skills- find out if they intimidated etc...
- eg selection of representatives to be in the committee... ask diff SH to find different perspectives which u will use for scoring,,...
- Framework similar to METT, that can be used in a short period...
 - could take a 1day workshop and will be cheaper.
 - easy to use and easy to aggregate the data
 - 30 to 40 questions, each with 4-5 responses..
 - Risks- can give false impression,



Operationalising the annex 2 voluntary guidance for equity element

- what are the issues that keep coming up....

- looking at symptoms of situation and what can be done about it.

- Map exists, but gives a raw score....

- also variimproved...how critical people would be and what they say they think should be improved

- Tool will be available in July for testing at national level... first pilots in September for Latin America and Africa congress... General indicators that re not broad like as participation... need indicators that are direct-

- Malta- tool can be effective where there are no problems like SA... communities resistant to who is facilitate programmes...demands from communities.

- mistrust with communities... -why they have disputes may be a challenge or light up more problems...

- Facilitators will be outsiders, trained by iMETT. Facilitator just facilitates and others do the assessment.

First trial will have 12 countries...

- could be thought about in the BIOPAMA project
- process very important..
- Malta- CBNRM- initiated and 100% governed by LCs.... co-mgt not clear either...mostly on sharing benefits of what is accrued...
- Indigenous community conserved areas questionable...
- tool should pick up if devolution of power will be effective at all...
- Youth and communities involved and invited to CoPs...
 - approach- small steps, starting with those who are interested... confidence in communities of interest...
 - really ideal if tool shows if decentralisation etc will be effective at all.

Ecological representation and connectivity



-Marine being worked on, for the Pacific... a few countries looking at establishing KBAs and corridors... Knowledge and information on that..

-no dedicated people for mapping connectivity etc...

-Ecological representation....

Malta- livelihoods issues...setting up Marine PAs... tempering with fisheries...

may end up being close to each other, limiting opportunities for subsistence use...

-bigger gap also exposes to over-exploitation of migratory spp...

-

Challenge with ABNJ.

- need to be addressed with caution...

- 20 approved connected marine parks... placements, structures being discussed and still in the process for implementation, catering for all SH.

- Look at Australia...but had to compromise and avoided main fishing areas.

- Zoning could work...

- Pakistan programme...


- OECMs, etc...

- work has been done but not reflected in the elements...

Management effectiveness

- Pacific region lagging behind, a need , lacking resources to do it...
- BIOPAMA role
 - primary assessment
 -
- West Africa adopted IMETT...
 - not a one size fits all...
 - Southern Africa Sue Simon from IUCN running workshops, identifying sites requiring
 - Qxn on using IBAs for assessment from Vaii... apparently not.. though AZE may provide info...
- India- using METT, but new tools coming up and difficult to find the difference between these tools.... any mgt effectiveness does not set standards or baseline standards to measure against...

-



Are the standards enough.... Green list does not determine which tool to use.
Pre-requisites for green list is to show that assessment has been done....

- green list just sets standards...

- trial in using green list together with other tools...

- There are synergies, but Green list goes into detail on that....

- What are we looking forward by 2020 and post 2020

- assessment then implementation....

- a few have reached the 67% METT score...

- At least 10% should meet the 67% score

- being done but not reported....

- April 2016 workshop was done... status matrix was provided...

- Getting countries to submit this information

- METT score only required... what then can be done to ensure reaching the METT score...

- BIOPAMA- millions, assessment costs 18 000... but no progress...

Ecosystem services

- what can be done post2020?
- what are the requirements: vehicle for identifying OECMs...
- identifying systems and presenting them to Gvts.
- Malta: declaring PAs...is it worth identifying ESz?
- Asia - very interested and pushing for OECMs due to the limited time
- Pacific- need for guidance and experience on qualifying OECMs. Community conservation areas in existence...
 - OECMs with intention for permanence a challenge
 - who should determine OECMs.... Nigel feels Gvts would be an easy or quickest way
 - restrictions.. terming came from CoP and 'effectiveness' part already restricting...perhaps post2020 effective component can be worked on post 2020.

NIGEL-Effective fits fine, because the aim is not BDC..

- buffer areas between countries, eg Asia..
- Birdlife in SA has put up a screening tool...- was mentioned earlier for marine areas by Noelle
- Botanical gardens in SA , set aside for BDC. Effectively managed and some have zoos. Meets components of OECMs... however, is there need for gazzeting OECMs...

Kathy to respond

- Ramsar sites with formal mgt systems. It has ES, etc... cabinet disagreement if ramsar are PAs or not... those in PAs are protected...but some are not
- China in CoP volunteered to designate their ramsar sites as PAs.
- Asia to establish ramsar sites... question on support from CBD or partnership on this
- how to coordinate the support (group discussion, but facilitator had moved to next group).

KBAs and corridors... Knowledge and information on that..

-no dedicated people for mapping connectivity etc...

-Ecological representation....

Malta- livelihoods issues...setting up Marine PAs... tempering with fisheries... may end up being close to each other, limiting opportunities for subsistence use...

-bigger gap also exposes to over-exploitation of migratory spp...

-Challenge with ABNJ.

-need to be addressed with caution...

-20 approved connected marine parks... placements, structures being discussed and still in the process for implementation, catering for all SH.

-Look at Australia...but had to compromise and avoided main fishing areas.

-Zoning could work...

-Pakistan programme...

-OECMs, etc...

-work has been done but not reflected in the elements...

Areas of Importance for BD

Malta: area not under PA

- Noelle- should protect biodiversity, and extra ecosystem services....

Malta- is it supposed to be PA- Noelle- No... sites should meet KBA criterion.

- AZE sites adhere to criteria more clearly, that's why they are used as examples..

- they should go through a national process then to global level, though ground truthing is then done for the

KBAs

- objective is to see them better protected...

- India- criterion standards

keybiodiversityareas.org has all information, national coordination groups...

Malta: overlap- SA has a specific spp focused on, fain boss community..

Noelle- not natural... Malta- fain boss is natural, though some spp would have been introduced.

- Noelle- size criterion also of importance...

- stewardship projects again

- breeding areas or seed banks - re-introductions - how are they accounted for-

Noelle- not sure, but on KBAs its tricky... bred spp such as giraffe do not count in IUCN, and the listing of giraffe was a challenge at some point...

- Noelle to check the threshold of sizes..

-Priorities towards 2020- what can be done...

-Pacific has a regional working group and will be having a meeting in July, and will make an effort in stepping up, working with partners... most KBAs are terrestrial... there are plans by governments.

CBD notifications reminders, etc

OECMS



- Malta- concerns with overlapping- fanboss on table mountain... Kathy- already protected...
- OECMs to benefit from equity...
- other titles before designating not necessary.
- ramsar site with potential OECM, should it be declared by cabinet..
- is there need for approval for designation....some ramsar sites that are degraded, may not be declared as OECM...
- decide in country who will report on the OECMs...
- declaration- should be up to the country..
- helping with equity, land tenure... no need for declaration?? Should be site specific...
- Indonesia restoration area - special edition for the Parks...
- Pacific community managed areas would qualify..
- military areas also being evaluated



Thank you!