1 2 FORUM/REVIEW ARTICLE 3 4 A research strategy for biodiversity conservation on New Zealand's 5 offshore islands 6 David R. Towns<sup>1\*</sup>, Peter J. Bellingham<sup>2</sup>, Christa P.H. Mulder<sup>3</sup>, Phil O'B. Lyver<sup>2</sup> 7 8 <sup>1</sup>Research and Development Group, Department of Conservation, Private Bag 68 908, 9 Newton, Auckland 1145, New Zealand. 10 <sup>2</sup>Landcare Research, PO Box 40, Lincoln 7640, New Zealand <sup>3</sup> Department of Biology and Wildlife & Institute of Arctic Biology, University of 11 12 Alaska Fairbanks, AK 99775, USA 13 \*Author for correspondence (Email: dtowns@doc.govt.nz) 14 15 Abstract: New Zealand's (NZ) offshore islands are refuges for many threatened 16 species, a high proportion of vertebrate diversity, and the world's most diverse fauna 17 of seabirds. We present key issues and questions that can be used to guide research on 18 the conservation of biodiversity on these islands. Four global reviews formed a basis 19 from which we identified research questions of potential relevance to the management 20 of NZ islands. The research questions were assigned in the context of nine objectives 21 proposed as a means of achieving ecological integrity. For each of the nine 22 objectives, we then asked what has been achieved in terms of island research and 23 management, and what needs to be achieved in order to meet long term goals. We 24 used local examples to identify issues and questions specific to islands in the NZ

region. Our analyses revealed two research areas in which current understanding is

26 poor. One is the need to understand ecosystem processes and their resilience to long-27 term environmental change. The second is the need to define and better understand 28 the consequences of direct involvement by the public in the management of islands, 29 including partnerships between government agencies, tangata whenua (original 30 people of the land - Māori) and non-government organisations such as community 31 groups. 32 33 **Keywords:** ecological integrity; needs assessment; ecosystem processes; invasive 34 species; pollutants; extinctions and declines; ecosystem composition; environmental 35 representation; climate change; sustainable use; community involvement 36

## Introduction

1	O
. 🤊	ð.

37

39 Island ecosystems worldwide are particularly vulnerable to extinctions (Carlquist 40 1965; Quammen 1996; Wilson 2002). In New Zealand (NZ), 41% of all bird species 41 have become extinct since settlement by people began about 730 years ago (Tennyson 42 & Martinson 2006). The NZ Government's Biodiversity Strategy (NZBS) co-43 ordinated by the Department of Conservation (DOC) and the Ministry for the 44 Environment (MfE) was a response to continuing population declines of many 45 remaining species of flora and fauna, concerns about habitat deterioration, and the 46 effects of biological invasions (DOC & MfE 2000). One goal of the NZBS is to 47 "maintain and restore a full range of natural habitats and ecosystems to a healthy 48 functioning state; enhance critically rare habitats....and maintain and restore viable 49 populations of indigenous species and subspecies across their natural range and 50 maintain their genetic diversity." The NZBS also advises agencies to develop research 51 strategies that identify gaps in the knowledge and understanding of key threats to 52 biodiversity. 53 54 Like islands globally (Caujapé-Castells et al 2010), offshore islands are a crucial 55 component of NZ's natural habitats. The origins, distribution and history of 56 conservation on these islands are described in detail by Towns & Ballantine (1993), 57 Towns et al. (1997) and Bellingham et al. (2010a). In brief, NZ's offshore islands 58 span a latitudinal range nearly twice that of the main North and South Islands, 59 maintain populations of species endemic to specific archipelagos, and contain relict 60 populations extinct elsewhere. Notably, the islands support a disproportionally large 61 amount of the national biodiversity of vertebrates (Daugherty et al. 1990), including

85 species of seabirds, 42% of which are regionally or nationally endemic (Taylor 2000). At least 95 (17%) of the 577 invaded islands > 1 ha have now been cleared of all introduced mammalian predators and herbivores (Parkes & Murphy 2003; Towns in press), with benefits for the conservation of numerous species of plants and animals (Towns et al. 2009b; Bellingham et al. 2010a). These island restoration efforts include initiatives that involve tangata whenua (original people of the land or iwi Māori) and community groups (e.g. Mansfield & Towns 1997; Hunt and Williams 2000), as part of an increasing interest and involvement of iwi and the public in hands-on conservation management (Hardie-Boys 2010). About 250 (34%) of the 735 islands >1 ha are managed by DOC (Parkes & Murphy 2003), including all subtropical and sub-Antarctic islands under NZ administration. How DOC will contribute to objectives of the NZBS is defined in an annual Statement of Intent to Parliament. Since the NZBS does not provide methods for measuring progress towards its goals, changes in the condition of NZ biodiversity are measured by DOC through changes in ecological integrity (e.g., DOC 2009). A similar approach is used to support measurements of the status of natural resources by agencies such as Parks Canada (reviewed by Lee et al. 2005). In NZ, ecological integrity is defined as the full potential of indigenous biotic and abiotic features, and natural processes, functioning in sustainable communities, habitats and landscapes (Lee et al. 2005). Achieving improved ecological integrity relies on the support and participation of communities of people, a point regularly emphasised in DOC's Statements of Intent (e.g. DOC 2009, 2010a), and accommodated in two of the indicators (objectives) listed by Lee et al. (2005). Combined views of biodiversity and social goals through multi-scaled systems analysis is increasingly advocated as

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

the most effective long term approach to measuring and resolving problems related to environmental change (e.g. White et al. 2008; Anderson et al. 2009; Lindemayer & Hunter 2010; Sterling et al. 2010).

Biodiversity management and community participation on NZ offshore islands are likely to advance most rapidly if long-term goals are defined for all islands (Atkinson 1990; DOC & MfE 2000; DOC in press). This possibility was recognised by DOC and Landcare Research, who requested that we identify information needs and promote them to funding agencies as national priorities. Here we demonstrate how national priorities for NZ offshore islands could be informed by listing key issues and

questions relating to the management of biodiversity. To achieve this, we identified global research questions that may be applicable to NZ and locally relevant

biodiversity issues. We then sorted these according to indicators of change in ecological integrity. Finally, we defined specific research questions that, if

investigated, should be of particular assistance to the conservation of biodiversity on

islands.

For the purposes of this review, we have used the definition of biodiversity in the NZBS, which in brief is the "variety of all biological life – plants, animals, fungi and microorganisms – the genes they contain and the ecosystems...where they live" (DOC & MfE 2000). An offshore island is any landmass permanently surrounded by water off the three main islands (mainland) of NZ.

The national context for our investigation was provided by a review of the state of island conservation in NZ (Bellingham et al. 2010a), guidelines for the management

of islands administered by DOC (DOC 2010b), an outline of potential measures of the ecological integrity of island ecosystems (Towns et al. 2009b), and a forum on crosscultural views of environmental research and management (Stephenson & Moller 2009). These sources identified the following four key issues and challenges of relevance to NZ islands.

First, invasive species removals from islands since the beginning of the 20<sup>th</sup> Century have increased the habitat available to those indigenous species sensitive to introduced mammals from around 2,000 ha to at least 35,000 ha. These eradications have potential benefits to more than 70 species of native vertebrates and numerous species of invertebrates and plants (Bellingham et al. 2010a). Most eradications have been on islands that are uninhabited. The challenge will be to record the way these systems recover and protect them from reinvasion or invasions by new species.

Second, the long-term security of threatened species that require larger areas of habitat, and the protection of some sub-Antarctic ecosystems, can only be achieved on a small number of very large islands. By area, some of these may individually exceed the total area so far recovered by all previous invasive animal eradications. In these cases, the challenges include large scale, high financial cost, and, on some islands, the presence of resident communities.

Third, the NZBS advocates community understanding and involvement with conservation of biodiversity (DOC & MfE 2000), and there are now numerous examples of community-led island restoration projects (e.g. Towns et al. 2011). For this goal to be met, relationships will need to be strengthened through improved

mutual understanding and defined social goals for the relationships. Participation in conservation activities as well as measuring their outcomes (e.g. Jackson 2001) should also help with the wider goal of involving residents in the eradication of problem organisms from the larger, inhabited islands.

Finally, the NZ government has a relationship with Māori through the Treaty of Waitangi that is enshrined in legislation (e.g. Section 4 of the Conservation Act, 1987). This relationship carries with it opportunities and obligations that are reflected in other goals of the NZBS (DOC & MfE 2000): protecting the interests iwi have in indigenous biodiversity, building and strengthening their partnerships with Crown agencies, and conserving and sustainably using indigenous biodiversity. The challenge will be to understand cross-cultural views of environmental management (Taiepa et al. 1997).

## Methods

We obtained references to published research strategies elsewhere from three sources:

(i) Google Scholar using the keywords island, biodiversity, research, strategy; (ii)

BIOSIS advanced search TS=(island AND (research OR strategy) AND

(biodiversity)); and (iii) the contents pages since 2006 (the last five years) for the highly cited conservation journals *Conservation Biology*, *Biological Conservation*, and *Biodiversity and Conservation* (e.g. Liu et al. 2011). These sources revealed two reviews of particular relevance: an account of 100 questions of importance to the conservation of global biodiversity (Sutherland et al. 2009) and key research questions identified to manage non-indigenous species (Byers et al. 2002). Hitherto

unpublished information was gleaned from 140 presentations at an international conference on island invasive species (Veitch et al. in press); and a global review of the ecology, effects of invasions and restoration of seabird islands (Mulder et al. 2011). Only a fifth of the global questions posed by Sutherland et al. (2009) were directly relevant to islands, so we have included this subset in our review.

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

162

163

164

165

166

To ensure comprehensive coverage of issues and questions specific to NZ islands, we sorted all questions from the global reviews according to the nine objectives of ecological integrity listed by Lee et al. (2005). Using this framework, we then used case studies based on our collective experiences with NZ island ecosystems to illustrate specific issues raised by the objectives of ecological integrity. For each objective we asked: what has been achieved in terms of NZ island management, and what needs to be achieved in order to meet long term goals? Such exercises are commonly referred to in business as a needs assessment or gap analysis. Finally, we used the needs assessment to identify key research questions that will require resolution so that management goals can be met. Specialists or specialist groups from DOC (as a government agency) and the Auckland Council (as a local authority responsible for inhabited and uninhabited islands) and ecological specialists on two Conservation Boards were then asked to test how the needs assessment related to their island management activities and to identify more specific questions that could be developed into research topics. The results of these exercises were then organised into the final research questions.

184

185

183

## Global questions and local issues

The research questions derived from global reviews (Table 1), and the local issues identified through case studies, are outlined below within the following objectives for ecological integrity.

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

186

187

188

Objective 1: Maintaining ecosystem processes

Ecosystem processes refer to the transfer of energy and matter as a result of interactions between organisms and their environment. If critical processes are disrupted, ecosystems can be transformed, degraded or lost (Lee et al. 2005). In NZ, islands that escaped invasion by introduced mammals represent ecosystems with highest ecological integrity. However, many of these island ecosystems were modified after long periods of occupation by Māori; nearly all close to the mainland were burned and have been invaded by non-native plants, invertebrates and birds. Despite these effects, the less modified islands provide models of how ecosystems functioned elsewhere in NZ before mammalian introductions, and can also guide the ecological restoration of islands more extensively modified by invasive mammals. Because islands with the highest ecological integrity span >23 degrees of latitude, a range of benchmark sites could also be established for regular measurement of ecosystem attributes and processes. Long term environmental monitoring (LTEM) sites are proposed for Australia (Likens & Lindenmayer 2011) and 15 years data from an environmental change network has demonstrated long term trends in the UK (Morecroft et al. 2009). In NZ, a systematic approach to LTEM on land administered by DOC will be implemented from 2011 and builds upon a regular sampling approach (E.F. Wright, DOC, pers. comm.), but because sample points are on a  $8-\text{km} \times 8-\text{km}$ grid, very few of these points are located on offshore islands (Allen et al. 2003). Thus developing LTEMs for NZ's offshore islands necessitates a stratification to

obtain sufficient sampling to document temporal changes in their biota and to inform plans for the management of small populations confined to islands. Longer-term perspectives could also be gained from palaeoecological studies at such sites, especially if they indicate the likely influence of climate change on island ecosystems (Wilmshurst et al. 2004).

At present, there are few comprehensive comparisons of biotic communities on islands unaffected by introduced mammals. Nonetheless, sampling over 40 years on Middle Island in northern New Zealand (Box 1), indicates little change in the composition of plant or vertebrate communities. However, these data were not obtained systematically and we lack studies of most invertebrates so subtle changes could have been overlooked. This problem could be overcome using standardised methods which, however, need to be comparable with those used to collect data from the New Zealand mainland (Allen et al. 2003) and internationally. Physical and biotic attributes have already been used in global comparisons across archipelagos (Mulder et al. 2011), and these could be applied in NZ. Mātauranga (Māori traditional ecological knowledge) of islands also has an important role in identifying changes in physical and biotic attributes and formulating future research questions (Lyver 2002; Newman & Moller 2005).

Marine-to-land transfer of nutrients by seabirds is a crucial ecosystem process on many islands (Fukami et al. 2006; Hawke & Clark 2010). Changes to the marine environment, such as shifting sea-surface temperatures, loss of seabirds as bycatch from fishing, and historic harvesting of marine mammals, could alter these nutrient subsidies. For example, populations of New Zealand fur seals (*Arctocephalus* 

forsteri), including some with >100,000 individuals, were nearly extirpated for pelts from southern islands in the 19<sup>th</sup> century (e.g. Taylor 1992). The seals likely modified coastal nutrient transport and disturbance regimes, but the extent of these effects is unknown. Conversely, the potential benefits of marine reserves adjacent to relatively unmodified island ecosystem are also unknown, as is the potential for feedback effects of nesting seabirds on islands into the adjacent marine environments.

Objective 2: Reducing exotic spread

Corrected for land area, NZ has more introduced species than any other archipelago (Vitousek et al. 1997). Many of these have become invasive, leading to extinctions and modified vegetation composition through predatory and herbivorous mammals, disturbance regimes and ecosystem processes modified by invasive plants, and competition for food plus predation through the effects of social insects (Lee et al. 2005). Aside from Sutherland et al (2009), this problem has been emphasised by many global reviews, with numerous questions about the effects and management of invasive species in islands (e.g. Caujapé-Castells et al. 2010; Table 1).

In NZ, reducing the spread of invasive species into island ecosystems has long been the focus of work on Nature Reserves, where it is required under legislation (Reserves Act, 1977). On some larger islands this has involved the control or eradication of non-native species over many decades. For example, on subtropical Raoul Island 1000 km north-east of NZ, the removal of some invasive plants and animals and the control of others has taken over 40 years (Box 2a). The successes on Raoul Island demonstrate how the spread of introduced mammals can be reversed. However, there are numerous species of invasive plants that once established proved exceedingly

difficult to remove and there have been few attempts to eradicate invasive insects or birds. Invasive plants can have interactive effects with introduced herbivores, facilitate invasions by other species ("invasional meltdown" *sensu* Simberloff & Von Holle 1999), proliferate when herbivores are removed, and persist for decades in the seed bank. Non-native birds have colonized nearly all offshore and outlying islands (>50 km offshore) from the main islands of NZ including Raoul Island. Some non-native birds, such as starlings (*Sturnus vulgaris*), create additional problems because they roost preferentially on islands that are free of predatory mammals, preferentially dispersing non-native plants within island groups and from the mainland (Ferguson & Drake 1999; Chimera & Drake 2010). However, non-native birds can also disperse the seeds of native plants (Kawakami et al. 2009; Bellingham et al. 2010b). Other species, such as the common (Indian) myna (*Acridotheres tristis*), also compete with or prey on native birds (Tindall et al. 2007).

The effects of mammalian herbivores and predators on NZ islands are relatively well studied (but see Towns in press). By comparison, the effects of introduced birds have not been studied systematically and those of invertebrates remain poorly understood. There has been little effort to identify those non-native components that are tolerable in our least modified systems. It is also unclear whether control of one invasive plant species may release another, and whether there are detrimental effects of removing invasive animals. For example, the effects of multiple invasive animals can be exacerbated when one species is removed altering the dynamics of other previously suppressed non-native species through trophic interactions (Courchamp et al. 1999; Rayner et al. 2007). Furthermore, even if problem animals and plants are removed, disrupted communities may follow novel successional trajectories (Mulder et al. 2009;

Bellingham et al. 2010b). However, whether these produce disturbance legacies that are irreversible remains unclear (Jones 2010).

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

286

287

Investment in the eradication or control of problem species can be wasted if there are no effective biosecurity procedures to prevent or deal with reinvasions or new arrivals. Islands close to the mainland are particularly prone to incursions of nonnative species, so detection methods for them need constant refinement. A recent incursion (arrival but non-establishment) of two ship rats (Rattus rattus) in the Marotere Islands in northern NZ (Box 2b) adds to numerous examples of rats transported by boats to islands around NZ (Russell et al. 2008) and cost \$115, 900 in biosecurity responses on the islands. Protection of the investment in eradications requires comprehensive risk analyses (including likelihood of reinvasion), quarantine checks before departing the mainland on the food and equipment being transported to sensitive islands, and methods for detecting invasions on the islands. There has been considerable investment in methods for detecting rats (e.g. Russell et al. 2008), but mice (Mus musculus) can be particularly difficult to detect at low density and there has been a relatively high failure rate for eradication attempts (Howald et al. 2007). Some effects of the eradications themselves have been poorly studied. For example, despite numerous successful eradications of invasive animals, including at least 60 involving the aerial spread of baits (Bellingham et al. 2010a), some direct and indirect effects of the eradication campaigns are poorly understood. A question often asked by the public and agencies that regulate the use of chemicals is how toxins affect island food webs after eradications (DRT pers. obs.), but it is a question that remains largely unanswered.

Objective 3: Mitigating effects of environmental pollutants Uninhabited islands can be threatened by material released at sea or from waste produced on land elsewhere that has entered the marine environment. On inhabited islands, pollution sources are largely the same as those on the mainland, including surface runoff from agriculture and urban sources such as roads and human waste. In NZ, such issues are confined to a few large islands. On the more numerous smaller islands, the least studied threats are likely those identified in the global literature for seabird islands (Table 1). One of these is the potential effects of oil spills, which were illustrated after a relatively small release of oil during fine weather off the Poor Knights Islands in north-eastern NZ (Box 3). Although it is a poor test of the effects of a serious spill, this example does emphasise the vulnerability of seabird islands to marine pollution if large vessels are damaged or founder near islands in poor weather. Risks from spilled petrochemicals are increasing for two reasons. First, as predators are removed from islands, the area inhabitable by dense populations of seabirds has increased >10-fold and now includes islands off north-eastern and central NZ that are close to major ports. Second, exploration for petrochemicals in deep water off NZ is now being undertaken near islands such as the Snares, where an estimated 1,100,000 (± 66,000) pairs of tītī or sooty shearwaters (*Puffinus griseus*) breed (Newman et al. 2009). The risks to seabirds from petrochemical spills are particularly high in NZ, with its extraordinary seabird diversity (Taylor 2000) and enormous densities of birds nesting in burrows. For example, it is unclear whether the current methods for dispersing spilled oil are appropriate given their potential effects on pelagic seabirds (e.g. Butler et al. 1988). Little is known of the effects on terrestrial ecosystems when they are saturated by petrochemicals during storm conditions, whether marine pollutants bioaccumulate in island food webs, whether there would be large-scale

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

defoliation of vegetation as a result of wind-dispersed petrochemical products, whether soils are affected by oil imported into burrows by birds, or what long-term effects on island ecosystems would result from the combined effects of an oil spill and high seabird mortality. Furthermore, given the wide foraging and migratory ranges of many species of seabirds, those nesting in NZ may be affected by pollution such as plastics ingested far offshore. These effects are also unknown.

Objective 4: Preventing extinctions and declines

Prevention of extinctions and the loss of populations are fundamental to the maintenance of native biodiversity (Lee et al. 2005). Losses and declines of native biodiversity are particularly widespread on islands (Quammen 1996; Wilson 2002), but only two research questions relating to them were raised from the four sources of global literature (Table 1).

Islands around NZ have long been havens for species threatened with extinction on the mainland, either because of natural relict distributions after extinctions elsewhere, or as a result of translocations. Since 1985, at least 55 taxa have been translocated to or between islands, including 9 taxa of invertebrates, 2 species of frogs, tuatara, 18 species of lizards, 19 species of land birds and 4 species of seabirds (Bellingham et al. 2010a). Species such as saddlebacks (*Philesturnus* spp.) have been translocated numerous times from a single source island population. North Island saddlebacks (*P. rufusater*) are now established on at least 13 islands, and collectively number around 6 000 birds (Parker 2008). Following the removal of introduced predators, other species of invertebrates and vertebrates have recolonised or are now recovering on islands after long periods of predation (Bellingham et al. 2010a).

Tuatara exemplify the complexity of recovery activities, including captive breeding, predator release and translocations (Box 4). By 2008, historic declines of tuatara appeared to have been reversed following the removal of rats such as kiore (*Rattus exulans*) from seven of the nine islands inhabited by tuatara. Tuatara have also been released onto six islands within their historic range (Sherley et al. 2010). However, although an apparently successful recovery programme, there is continuing uncertainty for two reasons: the long term genetic effects on populations that have been substantially reduced by predation are unknown (Miller et al. 2009), and the species is so cryptic and reproductive output so low, whether translocations have been successful remains unclear (Nelson et al. 2008).

The genetic effects of population suppression and fragmentation have been quite well studied for NZ vertebrates (Jamieson et al. 2008). For example, although non-selected microsatellite markers in tuatara indicate relatively high levels of genetic variation in most island populations, there is very low microsatellite genetic variation in the North Brother (Cook Strait) population (MacAvoy et al. 2007). Low genetic variation has implications for fitness. One measure of fitness is through major histocompatibility complex (MHC) genes, which are linked to disease resistance. On North Brother Island, tuatara have only 20% of the MHC sequences and 14% of the genotypes found in tuatara on nearby Stephens Island, which may compromise the ability of the Brothers tuatara to respond to novel diseases (Miller et al. 2008). Similarly low variation in MHC genes is found in black robins (*Petroica traversi*) (Miller & Lambert 2004), which recovered from a population of just five birds (Ballance 2007). Despite this solid background of genetic evidence, there have only

been a few systematic attempts to overcome potential loss of fitness by raising the heterozygosity of founder populations (Miller et al. 2009). However, it is a problem that has become the focus for management of birds such as kakapo (*Strigops habroptilus*; Robertson 2006).

Aside from the genetic issues of small populations, recovery may be impeded through the effects of interference competition and predation from native species. The effects of interactions between translocated species and resident species on islands may be difficult to predict from mainland locations where such species combinations no longer exist. For example, although the native rail, weka (*Gallirallus australis*), is an endangered or vulnerable species on the three main islands, the species' introduction to offshore islands has been so damaging to resident populations of seabirds (Harper 2007), reptiles and invertebrates, they have since been eradicated from at least three islands (Bellingham et al. 2010a). Other species may have more subtle effects when introduced to islands as a conservation measure, but these are at present unknown. For example, the effects of introduced species of kiwi (*Apteryx* spp.) on island invertebrate communities have not been assessed, even though they have been introduced to some islands where other restoration goals may be jeopardised.

For less mobile species, such as invertebrates and reptiles, many questions remain about how criteria for success should be applied to translocations. For all species, there is still much to be learned about the appropriate genetic criteria for success. For example, little is known about the long-term genetic and fitness implications of translocations relative to propagule size and composition. Furthermore, there have

been few studies of the short- and long-term success of captive-reared *versus* wild-caught populations.

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

410

411

Objective 5: Improving ecosystem composition Ecosystem structure is influenced by the composition of species, functional groups, life-history stages, trophic diversity, and the effects of key elements such as ecosystem engineers that modify habitat structure and keystone species that influence community composition (Lee et al. 2005). The global reviews identified several research questions around the effects of removing invasive species and subsequent implications for ecosystem restoration. Key issues were whether ecosystems can be restored and how to measure the extent to which restoration is successful (Table 1). Experiences in NZ indicate that when introduced mammals are removed from islands, biotic communities can recover through four pathways: recolonisation from outside the island; reappearance of species reduced to such low densities they were previously undetected; recovery of species known to be present, but reduced in abundance; and reintroduction of extirpated species, which are unlikely to recolonise unaided. Aorangi and Korapuki Islands (see also Towns et al. 2009b) provide case studies that illustrate where different approaches to these processes may apply (Box 5). Both examples involved the removal of introduced mammals to restore seabird-driven island ecosystems typical of specified island groups, but with restoration achieved in different ways. Despite the previous presence of pigs, no native species have been reported as lost from Aorangi Island, so a hands-off process of natural recolonisation and recovery should enable the redevelopment of assemblages and ecosystem processes indistinguishable from other islands without introduced mammals (e.g. Fukami et al. 2006). In contrast, comparisons with its neighbouring islands indicated

that Korapuki apparently has probably lost many species (Towns 2002b), including half the lizard fauna (Towns 1991). Restoration of biotic communities that include these species has required direct reintroductions, with the attendant problems with translocations of small populations (Objective 4 above).

Lasting effects of invasive species on ecosystem function are widely reported (e.g. Simberloff 1990; Bellingham et al 2010b). When invasive species are removed, some native species that influence ecosystem function may recover over time, as was illustrated by the reappearance and spread of honeydew scale insects on Korapuki Island after the removal of kiore and rabbits (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*; Box 5). Honeydew scale insects are poor dispersers and have been lost from many islands with potential consequences for ecosystem function (Towns 2002a; Gardner-Gee & Beggs 2009). Other functions will be permanently lost if key species became extinct. Such is the case in the Chatham Islands, which lost an array of endemic terrestrial birds after human settlement (Tennyson & Martinson 2006). Related species may provide replacements (Atkinson 1988), but circumstances where this approach might apply have yet to be identified and debated.

Islands from which native species have been lost may also lack subtle interactive components of ecosystems. For example, mycorrhizal associations that influence succession may have been disrupted on deforested island systems. Furthermore, adding species to systems may exacerbate interactive effects, raising the question of whether translocations need to be informed by theoretical assembly rules (e.g. Atkinson 1990). Assumptions about past composition could be reduced if palaeoecological studies were able to confirm pre-disturbance community

460 composition. Such data can be used to determine the origins of plant species (van 461 Leeuwen et al. 2008), or to identify the plant community composition before islands 462 were deforested (Prebble & Wilmshurst 2009). Although the value of 463 palaeoecological evidence has been stressed (e.g. Towns & Ballantine 1993; Miskelly 464 1999), such approaches have rarely been used to guide restoration activities in NZ. 465 466 Objective 6: Improving ecosystem representation 467 Preserving the widest possible range of ecosystem environments should maximize 468 evolutionary potential (Lee et al. 2005). Internationally, this was only seen as an 469 important issue by Sutherland et al. (2009), although it was a topic of little direct 470 relevance to the other reviews (Table 1). 471 472 The distribution of island reserves in NZ is an historical accident. Between 75% and 473 100% of island area to the north and south of NZ is in public ownership, compared 474 with < 50% adjacent to the north-eastern North Island and in central NZ around Cook 475 Strait (Towns & Ballantine 1993), and <10% in the Chatham Islands. Fortunately, the 476 island reserves include most centres of endemism (hotspots), which are also largely at 477 the northern and southern extremes of the NZ archipelago. By comparison, the 478 Chatham Islands hotspot has few reserves, although the area under private protection 479 is increasing (Munn et al. 2008). 480 481 Serendipity also figures in the way islands are managed, and this is the area where 482 changes are possible. The most biologically significant islands are Nature Reserves 483 that are often in particularly remote locations, which should ensure that maximum 484 ecological integrity is attained and protected. These include the most isolated

485 southern locations, which are now part of the Southern Islands World Heritage Site in 486 recognition of their outstanding biological significance (Chown et al. 2000). A 487 second large group of islands off southwestern South Island is within Fiordland 488 National Park, where they are part of Te Wahipounamu World Heritage Site. 489 490 By comparison, islands in public ownership close to large population centres have 491 often been designated for recreation. As our case study demonstrates (Box 6) the 492 biological potential of these reserves has until recently received little consideration. 493 494 A systematic approach that provides for a range of alternative management strategies 495 on islands urgently needs national application (e.g. Atkinson 1990), especially if this 496 also facilitates the protection or restoration of islands outside administration by 497 government agencies. Little is known about poorly represented ecosystems on 498 islands. For example, some islands of sedimentary origin have stream and wetland 499 systems that are rare on islands of volcanic origin. 500 501 Objective 7: Climate change and variability 502 Along with biological invasions, climate change is another significant component of 503 human-caused global environmental change (Vitousek et al. 1997). Nonetheless, 504 climate change did not figure in any of the global research areas identified for 505 invasive species (Table 1), despite potential indirect interactions between the spread 506 of invasive species and environmental change (Vitousek et al. 1997). 507 508 In NZ, along with increased average temperatures, global climate change may 509 increase the frequency of extreme climatic events. Their effects can only be predicted if the characteristics of existing environments and the way these environments change over time and in response to extreme climatic events are understood (Lee et al. 2005). A key influence is NZ's position across the West Wind Drift, a wide oceanic surface current. In the northern NZ region, this current brings warm, subtropical waters. In the southern South Island, these warm waters converge with cooler, less saline waters from sub-Antarctic regions to form the Subtropical Convergence (Cubitt & Molloy 1994). The extraordinary range and diversity of seabirds reflects the influence of these currents, the strength of which varies, particularly in response to the El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO). For example, breeding success and clutch sizes of redbilled gulls (Larus novaehollandiae scopulinus) on Kaikoura Peninsula is related to the availability of the planktonic euphausid Nyctiphanes australis. The relative abundance of N. australis is directly proportional to the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI), which when high (the La Niña condition) suppresses the intrusion of warm water relatively low in nutrients (Mills et al. 2008). Similar relationships between tītī productivity and the SOI have been reported (Lyver et al. 1999). More extreme effects can result from longer term shifts in the characteristics of these currents, and this may be one effect of current climate change. However, for other species, such as wandering albatross (*Diomedia exulans gibsoni*; Box 7), relationships between the SOI and productivity are weak. Nonetheless, fluctuations in productivity are most likely linked to marine food chains somewhere in the albatrosses' huge foraging range within the Tasman Sea and Southern Ocean (G. Elliott & K. Walker unpubl.). Satellite tracking of seabirds has the potential to reveal much about the foraging ranges of NZ seabirds, but it remains unclear whether this will help to predict species vulnerable to changes in marine systems far beyond the NZ Exclusive Economic Zone.

534

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

Changes in the size and productivity of seabird colonies, such as those reported for rockhopper penguins (*Eudyptes chrysocome*; Box 7), were only discovered because data had been collected over long periods. However, it remains unknown whether such variations are more common in extreme environments, to what extent they affect terrestrial ecosystems, or whether changes in seabird populations provide an early indicator of subtle effects that will eventually have wider consequences. Such consequences could include range declines of native terrestrial species or range expansions for invasive species. Furthermore, there has been no attempt as yet to define systematically where environmental data should be gathered and for what species or systems. In the northern hemisphere, the potential translocations of species through "assisted immigration" as a means of avoiding climatically induced range declines has been hotly debated (e.g. Ricciardi & Simberloff 2009). In NZ, translocations have long been used to manage threatened species such as kakapo (e.g. Ballance 2007). The issues raised are discussed here under objective 4.

Objective 8: Sustainable use of island ecosystems

In NZ, some terrestrial indigenous species of birds are harvested and there are agreements for the cultural harvest of seabirds (Lee et al. 2005). By comparison, native species are widely harvested in other countries, but only four research questions relating to sustainable use were identified in the focal global reviews (Table

1).

On some NZ islands, there is growing pressure for resumption of customary harvests by Māori of seabirds and also to increase public access for recreation and tourism.

Harvesting and tourism are both conducted on islands near Stewart Island/Rakiura (Box 8), with potential conflict between these two activities largely resolved through differences in location and land tenure. For example, under the Tītī Island Regulations 1978 Rakiura Māori have rights to harvest tītī chicks on islands adjacent to Rakiura with provisions to ensure survival of the species and conservation of stocks. At present, average harvest intensities have little effect on future population trajectories, although unsustainable harvests are probably causing local declines within a few *manu* (family harvesting areas; Moller et al. 2009). However, harvesting combined with the effects of introduced predators (e.g. weka) appears to be responsible for declines of the populations of tītī on some islands (Newman et al. in press). These population trends have been confirmed using long-term harvest diaries provided by muttonbirders and historical scientific studies on non-harvested islands (Clucas in press). In contrast, on Ulva Island (267 ha), which is also adjacent to Rakiura, sustainable use is focused on nature tourism within Rakiura National Park. The island has been so successful as a showcase for biodiversity, it is now listed by the NZ Automobile Association as one of the "101 Must-Do's for Kiwis" (McCrystal 2007). Visitors to

580

581

582

583

584

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

The practice of translocating species for conservation purposes raises cultural issues for some iwi and informed non-Māori. Some of these concerns involve: (i) lack of knowledge over the state of "source" populations of a species being translocated; (ii) movement of species around the country outside of their traditional regions, (iii)

the island are able to view numerous native species, including forest birds

reintroduced (translocated) to the island after the removal of rats.

mixing species' whakapapa (genealogies), and (iv) the effect of releasing species into ecosystems where they may not have previously existed. The concerns also add a local cultural dimension to Objectives 4 and 5 (above). One iwi saw their islands being used as "supermarkets" to support restoration projects around the country (P.O'B.L pers obs), while their questions over sustainability remain to be addressed. Even so, the use of island populations for translocations has been supported by iwi (e.g., by Ngāti Awa with respect to translocation of grey-faced petrels (*Pterodroma macroptera gouldi*) to Cape Kidnappers, Hawkes Bay). Increasingly, translocations are conducted between Māori tribes (iwi-to-iwi) since the species' being moved are often culturally significant. This means that relationships between iwi and the ongoing responsibilities of an iwi for its taonga (treasures) are important considerations of any translocation.

These contrasting examples illustrate the challenges of meeting different social expectations for island management. Conflicts could be reduced if the potential range of management objectives were identified for each island within the wider national context. For each site, it will be necessary to determine how the islands can be managed sustainably to meet recreational, biodiversity, economic and cultural goals. Furthermore, island systems may provide ecosystem services, such as improved soil fertility as a result of the activities of seabirds and community involvement in conservation activities. The full range of services provided by islands has yet to be formally identified. Furthermore, the values placed on islands and their resources by different sectors and ethnic groups remain unknown.

Objective 9: Community participation in conservation

The above examples illustrate that sustaining indigenous biodiversity will generally depend on the support, co-operation and participation of all sectors of local communities (Lee et al. 2005). Collectively, three of the global reviews raised a wide range of research questions relating to community participation (Table 1), which perhaps emphasizes current weak links between natural and social sciences. Eradications of introduced species and restoration of island ecosystems around NZ are now gaining considerable international attention (Simberloff 2002; Krajick 2005; Rauzon 2007). Many of these restoration projects are aimed primarily at conservation advocacy through participation, as is exemplified by Tiritiri Mātangi Island (Box 9). A similar approach has been followed on many other islands by a variety of community groups. Importantly, it has also led to action on private land. For example, Ipipiri (eastern Bay of Islands), comprises 18 islands and islets (a total of 604 ha) in public and partial or total private ownership. Here, "Project Island Song" aims to remove stoats (Mustela erminea) and three species of rats from all of the islands and reintroduce native species using projects such as the one on Tiritiri Mātangi as a model (R. Elliot pers. comm.). The periodic dispersal from the mainland of stoats and Norway rats (Rattus norvegicus) to the islands since eradications took place in 2009 provides a challenge for this project, as does the diversity of groups involved: a community group (Guardians of the Bay of Islands), two Māori hapū (Patukeha and Ngāti Kuta), private land owners, tourism operators, the District Council and DOC (A. Walker pers. comm.). Such groups are also challenged by the technical requirements of island restoration and may have limited ability to collect and analyze data. Institutional help through web-based training and data storage would help alleviate these difficulties (D. Breen pers. comm.).

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

The range and complexity of such initiatives demonstrates growing interest of communities in hands-on conservation. However, beyond counting the number of projects, there have been few formal measures of their social benefits or contribution to conservation of biodiversity (Towns et al. 2009b). In part, this situation reflects the lack of research on how biodiversity and social goals should be defined and measured. Furthermore, although engagement of the public involves partnerships with DOC, there have been no analyses of their effectiveness or whether the relative expectations of the partners are being met (M. Wouters pers. comm.). The nature of partnerships between DOC, iwi and community groups also requires consideration. Māori have a special relationship with the islands and their taonga, which is recognised under the Treaty of Waitangi and the Conservation Act, 1987. This means that the views of iwi as a Treaty partner should effectively be given the status of a government ministry rather than considered those of another community group. This issue raises questions of how the aspirations of such diverse stakeholders should be defined and realized.

## **Discussion**

The needs assessment (Table 2), which was used to identify key issues and specific research questions, revealed 44 questions that, if resolved, could assist with the opportunities and challenges for managing biodiversity on NZ islands. A regional approach such as this can be most effective when developing conservation strategies (Anderson et al. 2009). However, about a third of these questions (14) were also identified in some form within the 83 questions derived from global reviews. Their resolution in NZ could thus have international implications. Questions of global

relevance include those relating to the maintenance of ecosystem processes: how should we measure resilience in island ecosystems; how are they affected by external influences such as climate and marine bycatch; and can environmental indicators be used to measure long-term change (Rands et al. 2010)? Similar global issues arise under the objective of reducing non-native spread and dominance, with the eradication of invasive species and ecological restoration now growing international activities (Veitch & Clout 2002; Veitch et al. in press). They include questions such as how do invasive plants and animals interact, how do we avoid the unintended effects of non-native species through inappropriate sequences of eradications (e.g. Zavaleta 2002; Rayner et al. 2007), and how should new incursions of species difficult to detect such as ants and mice be detected on arrival? Likewise, questions about the long term implications of small numbers of founders, severe bottlenecks and translocations of small populations are still widely studied and debated by geneticists (e.g. Jamieson et al. 2008). Other questions are global by virtue of the biology of species of interest. Particular examples are those relating to productivity of seabirds and their responses to global climate and oceanic conditions far beyond their nesting areas in NZ.

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

Two major research fields accounted for >60% of our questions. The first involves understanding ecosystem processes, temporal trends in biotic communities, and the way they respond to the manipulation of invasive species and environmental change. The second involves many questions around the social effects of ecosystem management on islands and emphasises the lack of co-ordinated approaches between natural and social sciences to address such issues. For example, the question of whether seabirds can be harvested sustainably seems extraordinarily difficult to

answer (Moller 2006) because of the social and ecological complexities involved. This example also illustrates how conflicts could arise if the Māori ethic of 'conservation for sustainable use' seems to other sectors of the community to run counter to their own ethic of conservation for preservation. Furthermore, traditional management of many islands included frequent use of fire to clear the land for cultivation of crops such as kūmara (*Ipomoea batatas*; Bellingham et al. 2010a). Some Māori owners of islands are intent on reinstating these traditional management regimes. Novel approaches to the use of mātauranga (Lyver and Moller 2010), the overlay with scientific method, and wider community involvement will be required if apparently divergent views are to be resolved.

We also asked how island management provides social and economic benefits. For example, understanding the roles of ecosystem services on islands are fundamental to progress with management of biodiversity on larger inhabited islands and those with high exposure to public use (Morrison in press). However, the kinds of services provided, and how their benefits should be measured, remain poorly studied (e.g. McAlpine & Wotton 2009; Rands et al. 2010). Without much greater understanding of these areas, conservation managers may struggle to combine the seven biologically based objectives needed to achieve ecological integrity with the two socially based objectives.

Answers to some of our questions will need very long-term commitments to data gathering and analysis. Without such commitments, much of what we need to know will have to remain based on conjecture. The success of this research strategy will thus be assessed from two measures: whether funding agencies allocate assistance on

- 710 the basis of the questions identified, and whether the research undertaken provides
- answers that demonstrably make a difference to the conservation of island
- 712 biodiversity.

- 714 **Box 1:** *Objective 1. Maintaining ecosystem processes*
- 715 **Location:** Middle Island (13 ha); Mercury Islands (36° 38′S, 175° 52′E)
- 716 Middle Island, which has never been invaded by introduced mammals, and has forest
- 717 modified by 7 species of seabirds, especially diving petrels (*Pelecanoides urinatrix*)
- with burrow densities of c. 10 000 ha<sup>-1</sup>. The flora comprises 96 species, of which 25
- are non-native, although none are considered sufficiently threatening to require
- 720 control or eradication (Atkinson 1964; Cameron 1990; Towns & Atkinson 2004). The
- fauna includes 22 species of land snails; the only natural population of the Mercury
- 722 Island tusked weta (Motuweta isolata); tuatara (Sphenodon punctatus); 10 species of
- 723 lizards; and a resident land bird fauna of 14 species, 4 of which are non-native
- 724 (Whitaker 1978; Southey 1985; Towns 1991; Towns & Atkinson 2004). There are no
- native mammals but there may once have been coastal populations of fur seals. Long-
- term or intensive studies of have included soils and vegetation (Atkinson 1964),
- tusked weta (Stringer & Chappell 2008), reptiles (Whitaker 1978; Southey 1985;
- 728 Towns 1991), and the effects of seabirds on food webs (Towns & Atkinson 2004;
- 729 Fukami et al. 2006; Towns et al. 2009a).
- 730 **Emergent questions:** Do different species of seabirds vary in their effects on
- ecosystem processes? At what rate do relatively unmodified island systems change
- 732 over time?
- 733 **Box 2:** *Objective 2. Reducing exotic spread*
- Location: (a) Raoul Island (2938 ha); Kermadec Islands (29° 16′ S, 177° 55′ W)
- After early colonisation by Polynesians, the island was used by whalers and settlers
- who attempted to establish farms. These efforts and 20<sup>th</sup> century shipwrecks were
- accompanied by invasions and escapes in approximate chronological order by kiore,
- 738 goats (Capra hicus), cats (Felis catus), pigs (Sus scrofa), and Norway rats.

Vegetation on the island became heavily modified by goats with the near extinction of endemic plants such as Hebe breviracemosa (Sykes 1977). At least five species of seabirds and three species of land birds declined due to harvesting (initially) followed by predation from rats and cats (Veitch et al. 2004). Eradications have been completed for pigs (1966), goats (1972–1984), rats (2002) and feral cats (2004) (Parkes 1990; Towns and Broome 2003). Settlement also marked by the spread of 28 species of non-native plants, 7 of which now eradicated. Some species of plants suppressed by goats proliferated once goats removed (e.g. Mysore thorn; Caesalpinia decapetala) and have been under continuous control since 1974 (West 2002). Introduced invertebrates include a large tropical cockroach (Periplaneta brunnea) (Gordon 2010), and highly invasive big-headed ants (*Pheidole megacephala*). There has been natural dispersal from New Zealand by at least nine species of introduced European birds, with blackbirds (*Turdus merula*), song thrushes (*T. philomelos*), and starlings at times the most common forest birds on the island (Veitch et al. 2004). **Emergent questions:** What are the effects of invasive species on ecosystem composition and processes? How are these effects changed when large herbivores and predatory mammals are removed and over what time scales? What are the effects of non-native birds on island systems? Do social insects have detrimental effects on islands and can they be removed? Are there interactive effects between invasive plants? **Location:** (b) Marotere (Chickens) Islands Nature Reserves (35° 54′ S, 174° 45′ E) In January 2009, rat prints were recorded in tracking cards set for routine checks on neighbouring rat-free Lady Alice and Whatupuke Islands. One ship rat was subsequently caught in a live trap on Whatupuke Island and another in a snap trap on Lady Alice Island. Delimiting responses using tracking cards in tunnels, rat sensitive

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

764 dogs, traps and a live, caged, lure rat only detected sign from tracking dogs at the 765 capture site on Lady Alice Island. Total monitoring response to the incursion involved 766 26 395 tracking nights and 12 086 trap nights on Lady Alice Island plus 23 506 767 tracking nights and 16 751 trap nights on Whatupuke Island (Hawkins 2009). 768 **Emergent questions:** What are the most cost-efficient methods for detecting 769 incursions of invasive mammals? 770 771 **Box 3:** *Objective 3. Effects of environmental pollutants* **Location:** Poor Knights Islands Marine Reserve (35° 28′ S, 174° 45′ E) 772 773 About 5 tonnes of oil released from the cargo vessel Rotoma produced a slick 2.5 km 774 by 6 km of light oil, heavy black oil, and detergent that washed into the Poor Knights 775 Islands Marine Reserve in December 1999. The oil washed up on the shoreline and 776 accumulated in caves around Aorangi Island Nature Reserve, coating encrusting 777 coralline algae, barnacles, and bryozoans on cave walls. At least 10 seabirds (species 778 not specified) were killed in the oil, and one was removed alive. Encrusting oil on 779 cave walls that are normally exposed to strong wave action was removed by water 780 blasting and mopping, but fragile surfaces covered by algal turf were not cleaned. The 781 islands are the only nesting site for Buller's shearwaters (*Puffinus bulleri*), which 782 form aggregations offshore of up to 10 000 birds at dusk before returning to burrows 783 on the islands. It is unknown whether oil affected birds in these aggregations, whether 784 oil was carried into burrows, or whether contaminated birds drowned at sea 785 (Northland Regional Council 2000; 2003; Babcock 1999; Vivequin 1999). 786 **Emergent questions:** What are the risks and effects of petrochemical spills on 787 seabird-driven island ecosystems in New Zealand?

**Box 4:** Objective 4. Preventing extinctions and declines

790 **Species:** Tuatara

789

791 This is a large reptile species once widespread through the main islands of NZ and on 792 offshore islands. All mainland populations and seven (19%) of the 37 island 793 populations were extinct by the beginning of the 20<sup>th</sup> century (Cree & Butler 1993). 794 Of 26 north-eastern populations, 9 (34%) on islands inhabited by kiore showed 795 impaired or failed recruitment, with some populations reduced to a few individual 796 adults (Daugherty et al. 1992; Cree et al. 1995a, b). Artificial incubation of tuatara 797 eggs increased hatching success from <50% (wild) to 85.7–92.2% (captive) 798 (Thompson et al. 1996). 'Head-started' juveniles were released at 3–5 years of age 799 onto islands cleared of all species of rats (Nelson et al. 2002; Cree et al. 1995b). 800 Three tuatara populations compared before and after kiore were eradicated showed 801 increased recruitment of juveniles, increased local population density and improved 802 body condition of adults after kiore were removed. A fourth population where kiore 803 remained showed evidence of decline by attrition leading to likely eventual extinction 804 (Towns et al. 2007). Like turtles, crocodilians and some lizards, tuatara have 805 temperature-dependent sex determination (TSD), with an excess of females produced 806 at 18°C and 20°C, and an excess of males at 22°C; translocated populations from 807 artificially incubated eggs may have introduced unfavourable sex bias (Cree et al. 808 (1994).809 Emergent questions: What are the genetic effects of fragmented populations and can 810 they be reversed? Do animals raised in captivity have reduced fitness compared with 811 those born in the wild? What are the long term effects on populations that have 812 survived after sustained predation? How do species with environmentally constrained 813 reproductive systems respond to climate change?

814 815 **Box 5:** *Objective 5. Improving ecosystem composition* **Location:** (a) Aorangi Island (110 ha); Poor Knights Islands (35° 48′ S, 174° 45′ E) 816 817 Inhabited by Māori until 1823, most forest vegetation was removed from Aorangi for 818 cultivation and resident Buller's shearwaters (Puffinus bulleri) were harvested for food and trade. Near the end of the 18<sup>th</sup> century, pigs were introduced and became 819 820 feral when Māori moved from the island after tapu (sacred covenant) was placed over 821 it. The pigs, which removed most palatable vegetation and preyed upon petrels and 822 prions, were eradicated in 1936 (Atkinson 1988; Hayward 1993). Low mixed forest 823 then regenerated and at least five species of burrowing seabirds survived or 824 recolonised. Buller's shearwaters increased from a few hundred pairs in 1938 to 825 estimated 200,000 pairs by 1983, three other species of early colonising seabirds were 826 then displaced and a fourth, fairy prion (*Pachyptila turtur*), became confined to 827 nesting in crevices (Harper 1983). All 8 species of resident reptiles survived the pigs 828 and became as abundant as on neighbouring islands (Whitaker 1978). Surveys in the 829 1990s, indicated that no species of plants had become extinct (de Lange & Cameron 830 1999). At least 44 species of threatened plants and animals are now present (Towns et 831 al. 2009b). 832 **Emergent questions:** Can natural recovery of islands after modification by people

and introduced animals induce pre-disturbance systems? How can the composition of pre-disturbance communities be determined without historical records? **Location:** (b) Korapuki Island (18 ha); Mercury Islands (36° 39′ S, 175° 50′ E)

833

834

835

836

837

838

This island was invaded by kiore (unknown when), regularly burned until about 100 years ago, after which rabbits were released. Nonetheless, seven species of burrowing seabirds survived, dominated by little blue penguins (*Eudyptula minor*), grey-faced

petrels, and fluttering shearwaters (*Puffinus gavial*; Hicks et al. 1975). The kiore were eradicated in 1986, and rabbits in 1987 (McFadden & Towns 1991; Towns 1988). An expanding subcanopy of coastal shrubs then developed under the canopy of põhutukawa (Metrosideros excelsa), and coastal flax (Phormium tenax) became overtopped by shrubs and small trees. Species of canopy trees to reappear as seedlings included milktree (Streblus banksii) and tawapou (Planchonella costata; Towns et al. 1997; Atkinson 2004; I. Atkinson pers comm.). Large invertebrates also reappeared and spread along with honeydew scale (Coelostomidia zealandica), and resident populations of skinks, geckos and diving petrels (G. Taylor pers. comm.; Towns 1996; Towns et al. 1997; Towns 2002a; Towns 2002b). The aim of management is restoration of a seabird-reptile-invertebrate-plant system typical of the archipelago (e.g. Middle Island above; Towns & Atkinson 2004). Successful reintroductions from within the Mercury Island archipelago have included tree weta (Hemidiena thoracica), large darkling beetle (Mimopeus opaculus), robust skink (Oligosoma alani), Whitaker's skink (O. whitakeri) and Suter's skink (O. suteri). Released species without confirmed success include tusked weta (Motuweta isolata) and marbled skink (O. oliveri). Planned reintroductions include tuatara (present as subfossils), ground weta (Hemiandrus sp.), and the large spider Cambridgea mercurialis (Towns & Atkinson 2004). **Emergent questions:** How do island food webs respond when systems shift from consumption led (introduced predators) to subsidised by nutrients via seabirds? What are the key drivers of ecosystem processes on islands and do they change with island size and location? How can progress towards restoration targets be measured within changing systems? What are appropriate numerical and genetic criteria for successful reintroductions?

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

864	
865	Box 6: Objective 6. Improving ecosystem representation
866	<b>Location:</b> Inner Gulf Islands Ecological District (36° 45′ S, 175° 00′ E)
867	The Ecological District comprises islands largely of sedimentary Waitemata
868	sandstone weathered to gentle rolling topography, often with sandy beach systems
869	unusual on northern NZ islands Hayward (1986). The larger islands have all been
870	cleared of forest and farmed. Seven islands are in public ownership (DOC), with
871	subsequent reforestation of Motuihe, Motuora, Motutapu and Tiritiri Mātangi Islands
872	managed by community groups aiming to release native birds with a high public
873	profile (Auckland Conservancy 1995). The risk remains that if the wildlife
874	management focus is widely applied it could compromise benchmark ecosystems
875	representative of the district. One community group (Motuora Island) now has an
876	ecosystem based restoration plan for the island (Gardiner-Gee et al. 2007).
877	<b>Emergent question:</b> How should the need for representativeness be reflected by
878	management?
879	
880	Box 7: Objective 7. Climate change and variability
881	Species: Seabirds in southern oceans
882	Rockhopper penguins breed on islands near the Antarctic Polar Front and the
883	Subtropical Convergence of the South Atlantic and South Indian Oceans (Marchant &
884	Higgins 1990). Campbell Island was a regional stronghold for the species in 1942
885	with about 1.6 million birds. The colonies declined in size by 94% over next 45
886	years, particularly between 1945 and 1955 when mean summer sea temperature rose
887	from 9.1°C in 1944 to 9.6°C in 1954. These fluctuations in the size of penguin
888	colonies appeared related to sea water temperature leading to changes in the penguin's

food supply and could not be attributed to any effects on land (Cunningham & Moors 1994; Hilton et al. 2006). Similarly, numbers of Gibson's wandering albatross on Adams Island (Auckland Islands) decreased by 63% since 1973, most likely through bycatch in the southern ocean long-line fishery (Murray et al. 1993). Mitigation measures since 1992 reduced the incidental take of albatrosses around NZ but there was a subsequent mass mortality of up to 20% adults in 2005, as well as reduced nesting success and increased proportions of non-breeding adults. These were most likely in response to environmental effects of unknown origin (Walker & Elliott 1999). Emergent questions: What is the frequency and extent of natural change in seabird populations and are these changes accelerating? What effects do ocean temperature changes have on seabird productivity and distribution? **Box 8:** *Objective 8. Developing sustainable use* **Location:** Islands near Stewart Island (47° 05′ S, 168° 05′ E) On the Tītī Islands, research by Rakiura Māori and the University of Otago (Kia Mau Te Tītī Mo Ake Tōnu Atu - Keep the Tītī Forever Project) into tītī ecology and population dynamics indicated that harvest intensity across all Tītī Islands (13% of chicks produced in NZ region) appears sustainable, except where muttonbirders exert higher than average harvest pressure (Kitson 2004; Newman & Moller (2005); Moller et al. 2009). Tītī abundance declined over the past 30 years, with greatest declines where the birds are not harvested (Lyver et al. 1999; Lyver 2002; Scott et al. 2008). Fisheries bycatch may be a factor, with loss of one adult through bycatch equating to the harvest of 6-8 chicks by Rakiura muttonbirders (Moller et al. 2010). Population trends for large burrowing petrels are difficult to obtain; long data series (>50 years)

889

890

891

892

893

894

895

896

897

898

899

900

901

902

903

904

905

906

907

908

909

910

911

912

913

on the effects of removing chicks are needed because of longevity of the adults (Moller 2006). Furthermore, productivity appears sensitive to events at sea, birds may migrate between harvested and unharvested populations, seabird density and the effects of harvest may be site-specific and influenced by topography and vegetation of the harvest island Newman et al. (2009). Ulva Island has been free of introduced mammals after Norway rats were eradicated in 1997 (Thomas & Taylor 2002). Prolific bird life includes kākāriki (Cyanoramphus n. novaezelandiae) and kiwi (Apteryx australis lawyri), supplemented by translocated species including South Island saddleback (*Philesturnus carunculatus*), and mohua (Mohoua ochrocephala). Live kākāpō are displayed during open days. The island and adjacent Te Wharawhara Marine Reserve receive >20 000 visitors per year, with about 25% using guided walks and visits provided by 15 concessionaires (private businesses; A. Roberts pers. comm.). Emergent questions: What are the key stressors on populations of seabirds on NZ islands and which ones can be managed? What are the effects of fisheries on marine food webs and how do these affect seabird populations? What is the role of mātauranga in aiding research on islands? What are the social and economic benefits of invasive species eradications from islands? **Box 9:** *Objective 9. Engaging communities* **Location:** Tiritiri Mātangi Island (196 ha); Hauraki Gulf (36° 36′ S, 174° 53′ E) This island was farmed from the early 20<sup>th</sup> century until 1971, leaving 24 ha (11%) in forest and the remainder in rank pasture and early successional ferns and scrub (Esler 1978). The only remaining introduced mammalian predator (kiore) was eradicated in

1993 (Rimmer 2004). Tiritiri Mātangi is now public land (DOC) managed as an open

914

915

916

917

918

919

920

921

922

923

924

925

926

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

sanctuary developed through community participation, with threatened and
endangered birds accessible to the public (Craig 1990; Bellamy et al. 1990; Auckland
Conservancy 1995; Hawley 1997). Forest regeneration was assisted by volunteers
who planted 280 000 trees into the rank pasture, mainly during 1984-1994 (Rimmer
2004). One species of threatened plant, three species of reptiles and 11 species of
birds have been released onto the island. Of the birds, tomtits (Petroica
macrocephala toitoi) and brown teal (Anas aucklandica), either flew off the island or
succumbed to avian predators (R. Renwick pers. comm.). Three other species, takahē
(Porphyrio mantelli), kōkako (Callaeas cinerea wilsoni) and hihi (Notiomystis
cincta), are intensively managed as part of threatened species programmes (Rimmer
2004). Volunteers are organized as the Supporters of Tiritiri Island Incorporated
Society, which has a strong educational focus, supports research by tertiary
institutions, produces and sells resource kits aimed at school children, assists with
guiding tours, raises funds to support species introductions and threatened bird
management, and runs a shop and information centre (M. Galbraith pers. comm.).
Emergent questions: What motivates members of communities to volunteer for
work on islands? What are the social and economic effects of island restoration? Is
island restoration viewed differently by Māori, Pākehā and other ethnic groups?
What expectations do community groups and Māori have of public agencies that
manage islands? How can community groups be supported to use scientific/strategic
monitoring?

961	Acknowledgements
962	Input to this strategy benefitted from discussions and comments from the then
963	Auckland Regional Council Biodiversity team and the following Department of
964	Conservation staff: Dan Breen, Peter Gaze, Hugh Robertson, Halema Jamieson, Dave
965	Houston and the Wellington Conservancy Biodiversity team, and Jonathan Miles and
966	the Auckland Conservancy Biodiversity team. We thank Jacqueline Beggs, Vernon
967	Byrd, Lynette Clelland, Peter Gaze, Nicola Nelson, and an anonymous reviewer for
968	useful comments on the draft manuscript. PJB and PO'BL were funded by the NZ
969	Ministry of Science and Innovation (Te Hiringa Tangata Ki Te Tai Timu Ki Te Tai
970	Pari programme – C09X0908).
971	
972	

973	References
974	
975	Allen RB, Bellingham PJ, Wiser SK 2003. Developing a forest biodiversity
976	monitoring approach for New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Ecology 27:
977	207–220.
978	Anderson BJ, Armsworth PR, Eigenbrod F, Thomas CD, Gillings S, Heinemeyer A,
979	Roy DB, Gaston KJ 2009. Spatial covariance between biodiversity and other
980	ecosystem service priorities. Journal of Applied Ecology 46: 888-896.
981	Auckland Conservancy 1995. Conservation Management Strategy for Auckland
982	1995–2005. Auckland, Department of Conservation.
983	Atkinson IAE 1964. The flora, vegetation, and soils of Middle and Green Island,
984	Mercury Islands group. New Zealand Journal of Botany 2: 385–402.
985	Atkinson IAE 1988. Opportunities for ecological restoration. New Zealand Journal
986	of Ecology 11: 1–12.
987	Atkinson IAE 1990. Ecological restoration on islands: prerequisites for success In:
988	Towns DR, Daugherty CH, Atkinson IAE eds. Ecological restoration of New
989	Zealand islands. Wellington, Department of Conservation. Pp. 73–90.
990	Atkinson IAE 2004. Successional processes induced by fires on the northern
991	offshore islands of New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Ecology 28: 181-
992	193.
993	Babcock R 1999. Report on the Poor Knights oil spill. Whangarei, Department of
994	Conservation.
995	Ballance A 2007. Don Merton: the man who saved the black robin. Auckland.
996	Reed.

997	Bellamy D, Springett B, Hayden P 1990. Moa's Ark The voyage of New Zealand.
998	Auckland, Viking.
999	Bellingham PJ, Towns DR, Cameron EK, Davis JJ, Wardle DA, Wilmshurst JM,
1000	Mulder CPH 2010a. New Zealand island restoration: seabirds, predators, and
1001	the importance of history. New Zealand Journal of Ecology 34: 115-136.
1002	Bellingham PJ, Wiser SK, Wright AE, Cameron EK, Forester LJ 2010b. Disperser
1003	communities and legacies of goat grazing determine forest succession on the
1004	remote Three Kings Islands, New Zealand. Biological Conservation 143:
1005	926–938.
1006	Butler RG, Harfenist A, Leighton FA, Peakall DB 1988. Impact of sublethal oil and
1007	emulsion exposure on the reproductive success of Leach's storm petrels: short
1008	and long term effects. Journal of Applied Ecology 25: 125-143.
1009	Byers JE, Reichard S, Randall JM, Parker IM, Smith CS, Lonsdale WM, Atkinson
1010	IAE, Seastedt TR, Williamson M, Chornesky E, Hayes D 2002. Directing
1011	research to reduce the impacts of nonindigenous species. Conservation
1012	Biology 16: 630–640.
1013	Cameron EK 1990. Flora and vegetation of Middle Island, Mercury Islands Group,
1014	eastern Coromandel, northern New Zealand. Journal of the Royal Society of
1015	New Zealand 20: 273–285.
1016	Carlquist S 1965. Island life. Garden City, NY, The Natural History Press.
1017	Caujapé-Castells J, Tye A, Crawford DJ, Santos-Guerra A, Sakai A, Beaver K, Lobin
1018	W, Vincent Florens FB, Moura M, Jardim R, Gómes I, Kueffer C 2010.
1019	Conservation of oceanic island floras: present and future global challenges.
1020	Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics 12: 107–129.

1021	Chimera CG, Drake DR 2010. Patterns of seed dispersal and dispersal failure in a
1022	Hawaiian dry forest having only introduced birds. Biotropica 42: 493–502.
1023	Chown SL, Rodriguez ASL, Gremmen NJM, Gaston KJ 2000. World Heritage status
1024	and conservation of Southern Ocean islands. Conservation Biology 15: 550-
1025	557.
1026	Clucas R 2011. Long-term population trends of sooty shearwater (Puffinus griseus)
1027	revealed by hunt success. Ecological Applications 21: 1806–1326.
1028	Courchamp F, Langlais M, Sugihara G 1999. Cats protecting birds: modelling the
1029	mesopredator release effect. Journal of Animal Ecology 68: 282-292.
1030	Craig JL 1990. Potential for ecological restoration of islands for indigenous fauna
1031	and flora. In: Towns DR, Daugherty CH, Atkinson IAE eds. Ecological
1032	restoration of New Zealand islands. Conservation Sciences Publication 2.
1033	Wellington, Department of Conservation. Pp. 156–165.
1034	Cree A, Butler D 1993. Tuatara recovery plan (Sphenodon spp.). Threatened
1035	Species Recovery Plan Series 9. Wellington, Department of Conservation.
1036	Cree A, Daugherty CH, Hay JM 1995a. Reproduction of a rare reptile, the tuatara
1037	Sphenodon punctatus, on rat-free and rat-inhabited islands in New Zealand.
1038	Conservation Biology 9: 373–383.
1039	Cree A, Daugherty CH, Towns DR, Blanchard B 1995b. The contribution of captive
1040	management to the conservation of tuatara (Sphenodon) in New Zealand. In:
1041	Murphy JB, Adler K, Collins JT eds. Captive management and conservation
1042	of amphibians and reptiles. New York, Society for the Study of Amphibians
1043	and Reptiles. Pp. 277–385.
1044	Cree A, Thompson MB, Daugherty CH 1994. Tuatara sex determination. Nature 375:
1045	543.

1046	Cunningham DM, Moors PJ 1994. The decline of rockhopper penguins <i>Eudyptes</i>
1047	chrysocome at Campbell Island, southern ocean and the influence of rising sea
1048	temperatures. Emu 94: 27–36.
1049	Cubitt G, Molloy L 1994. Wild New Zealand. London, New Holland.
1050	Daugherty CH, Towns DR, Atkinson IAE, Gibbs GW 1990. The significance of the
1051	biological resources of New Zealand islands for ecological restoration. In:
1052	Towns DR, Daugherty CH, Atkinson, IAE eds. Ecological restoration of New
1053	Zealand islands. Wellington, Department of Conservation. Pp. 9–21.
1054	Daugherty CH, Towns DR, Cree A, Hay JM 1992. The roles of legal protection
1055	versus intervention in conserving New Zealand tuatara, Sphenodon. In
1056	Willison JH, Bondrup-Nielson S, Drysdale C, Herman TB, Munro NWP,
1057	Pollock TL eds Science and the management of protected areas. Amsterdam,
1058	Elsevier. Pp. 247–259.
1059	de Lange PJ, Cameron EK 1999. The vascular flora of Aorangi Island, Poor Knights
1060	Islands, northern New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Botany 37: 433-468.
1061	DOC (Department of Conservation) 2008. Department of Conservation: statement of
1062	intent 2008–2011. Wellington, Government Printer.
1063	DOC (Department of Conservation) 2009. Department of Conservation: statement of
1064	intent 2009–2012. Wellington, Government Printer.
1065	DOC (Department of Conservation) 2010a. Department of Conservation: statement
1066	of intent 2010–2013. Wellington, Government Printer.
1067	DOC (Department of Conservation) 2010b. The island strategy Guidelines for
1068	managing islands administered by the Department of Conservation.
1069	Wellington, Department of Conservation.

1070	DOC (Department of Conservation), MfE (Ministry for the Environment) 2000. The
1071	New Zealand biodiversity strategy. Wellington, Department of Conservation
1072	and Ministry for the Environment.
1073	Esler AE 1978. Botanical features of Tirititi Island, Hauraki Gulf, New Zealand.
1074	New Zealand Journal of Botany 16: 207–226.
1075	Ferguson RN, Drake DR 1999. Influence of vegetation structure on spatial patterns of
1076	seed deposition by birds. New Zealand Journal of Botany 37: 671-677.
1077	Fukami T, Wardle DA, Bellingham PJ, Mulder CPH, Towns DR, Yeates GW, Bonner
1078	KI, Durrett MS, Grant-Hoffman MN, Williamson WM 2006. Above- and
1079	below-ground impacts of introduced predators in seabird-dominated island
1080	ecosystems. Ecology Letters 9: 1299–1307.
1081	Gardiner-Gee R, Beggs JR 2009. Distribution and abundance of endemic
1082	coelostomidiid scale insects (Hemiptera: Coelostomidiidae) in Auckland
1083	forests, New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Ecology 33: 138–146.
1084	Gardiner-Gee R, Graham S, Grifiths R, Habgood M, Heiss Dunlop S, Lindsay H
1085	2007. Motuora native species restoration plan. Auckland, Department of
1086	Conservation and Motuora Restoration Society.
1087	Gordon DP (Ed.) 2010. New Zealand inventory of biodiversity Volume 2.
1088	Christchurch, Canterbury University Press.
1089	Hardie-Boys N 2010. Valuing community group contributions to conservation.
1090	Science for Conservation 299: 1–68.
1091	Harper GA 2007. Detecting predation of a burrow-nesting seabird by two introduced
1092	predators, using stable isotopes, dietary analysis and experimental removals.
1093	Wildlife Research 34: 443–453.

1094	Harper PC 1983. Biology of the Buller's shearwater (Puffinus bulleri) at the Poor
1095	Knights Islands, New Zealand. Notornis 30: 299–318.
1096	Hawke DJ, Clark JM 2010. Incorporation of the invasive mallow Lavatera arborea
1097	into the food web of an active seabird island. Biological Invasions 12: 1805-
1098	1814.
1099	Hawkins K 2009. Ship rat incursion on Marotere (Chickens) Islands in 2009.
1100	Islandnet Newsletter 4: 7–8.
1101	Hawley J 1997. Tiritiri Matangi Working Plan. Auckland, Department of
1102	Conservation.
1103	Hayward BW 1986. Origin of the offshore islands of northern New Zealand and
1104	their landform development. In: Wright AE, Beever RE eds. The offshore
1105	islands of northern New Zealand. Department of Lands and Survey
1106	Information Series 16. Pp. 129–138.
1107	Hayward BW 1993. Prehistoric archaeology of the Poor Knights Islands, Northern
1108	New Zealand. Tane 34: 89–105
1109	Hicks GRF, McColl HP, Meads MJ, Hardy GS, Roser RJ 1975. An ecological
1110	reconnaissance of Korapuki Island, Mercury Islands. Notornis 22: 195–220.
1111	Hilton GM, Thompson DR, Sagar PM, Cuthbert RJ, Yves C, Bury SJ 2006. A stable
1112	isotopic investigation into the causes of decline in a sub-Antarctic predator,
1113	the rockhopper penguin Eudyptes chrysocome. Global Change Biology 12:
1114	611–625.
1115	Howald G, Donlan CJ, Galván JP, Russell JC, Parkes J, Samaniego A, Wang Y,
1116	Veitch D, Genovesi P, Pascal M, Saunders A, Tershy BR 2007. Invasive
1117	rodent eradication on islands. Conservation Biology 21: 1258–1268.

1118	Hunt DS, Williams M 2000. Restoration plan for Tuhua (Mayor Island). Rotorua,
1119	Department of Conservation.
1120	Jackson LS 2001. Contemporary public involvement: toward a strategic approach.
1121	Local Environment 6: 135–147.
1122	Jamieson IG, Grueber CE, Waters JM, Gleeson DM 2008. Managing genetic
1123	diversity in threatened populations: a New Zealand perspective. New Zealand
1124	Journal of Ecology 32: 130–137.
1125	Jones HP 2010. Prognosis for ecosystem recovery following rodent eradication and
1126	seabird restoration in an island archipelago. Ecological Applications 20: 1204-
1127	1216.
1128	Kawakami K, Mizusawa L, Higuchi H 2009. Re-established mutualism in a seed
1129	dispersal system consisting of native and introduced birds on the Bonin
1130	Islands, Japan. Ecological Research 24: 741–748
1131	Kitson JC 2004. Harvest rate of sooty shearwaters (Puffinus griseus) by Rakiura
1132	Maori: a potential tool to monitor population trends? Wildlife Research, 31:
1133	319–325.
1134	Krajick K 2005. Winning the war against island invaders. Science 310: 1410–1413.
1135	Lee W, McGlone M, Wright E 2005. Biodiversity inventory and monitoring: a
1136	review of national and international systems and a proposed network for
1137	biodiversity monitoring by the Department of Conservation. Landcare
1138	Research Contract Report: LC0405/122.
1139	Likens GE, Lindenmayer DB 2011. A strategic plan for and Australian Long-Term
1140	Environmental Monitoring Network. Austral Ecology 36: 1-8.
1141	Lindenmayer D, Hunter M 2010. Some guiding concepts for conservation biology.
1142	Conservation Biology 24: 1459–1468.

1143	Liu X, Zhang L, Hong S 2011. Global biodiversity research during 1900-2009: a
1144	bibliometric analysis. Biodiversity and Conservation 20: 807-826.
1145	Lyver PO'B 2002. The use of traditional knowledge by Rakiura Māori to guide Sooty
1146	Shearwater ( <i>Puffinus griseus</i> ) harvests. Wildlife Society Bulletin 30: 29–40.
1147	Lyver PO'B, Moller H 2010. An alternative reality: Māori spiritual guardianship of
1148	New Zealand's native birds. In: Tidemann S, Gosler A eds Ethno-ornithology:
1149	birds, indigenous peoples, culture and society. London, Earthscan. Pp. 241-
1150	264.
1151	Lyver PO'B, Moller H, Thompson C 1999. Changes in Sooty Shearwater (Puffinus
1152	griseus) chick production and harvest precede ENSO events. Marine Ecology
1153	Progress Series 188: 237–248.
1154	McAlpine KG, Wotton DM. 2009. Conservation and the delivery of ecosystem
1155	services. Science for Conservation 295. Wellington, Department of
1156	Conservation.
1157	MacAvoy ES, McGibbon LM, Sainsbury JP, Lawrence H, Wilson CA, Daugherty
1158	CH, Chambers GK 2007. Genetic variation in island populations of tuatara
1159	(Sphenodon spp) inferred from microsatellite markers. Conservation Genetics
1160	8: 305–318.
1161	McCrystal J 2007. I love you New Zealand 101 must-do's for kiwis. Auckland,
1162	Random House.
1163	McFadden I, Towns DR 1991. Eradication campaigns against kiore (Rattus exulans)
1164	on Rurima Rocks and Korapuki Island, northern New Zealand. Science and
1165	Research Internal Report 97. Wellington, Department of Conservation.
1166	Mansfield B, Towns D 1997. Lesson of the islands. Restoration and Management
1167	Notes 15: 138–146.

1168 Marchant S, Higgens PJ (Co-ordinators) 1990. Handbook of Australian, New 1169 Zealand & Antarctic birds. Volume 1 Part A. Melbourne, Oxford University 1170 Press. 1171 Miskelly C 1999. Mana Island ecological restoration plan. Wellington, Department 1172 of Conservation. 1173 Miller HC, Lambert DM 2004. Genetic drift outweighs balancing selection in 1174 shaping post-bottleneck histocompatability complex variation in New Zealand 1175 robins (Petroicidae). Molecular Ecology 13: 3709–3721. 1176 Miller HC, Miller KA, Daugherty CH 2008. Reduced MHC variation in a threatened 1177 tuatara species. Animal Conservation 11: 206–214. Miller KA, Nelson NJ, Smith HG, Moore, JA 2009. How do reproductive skew and 1178 1179 founder group size affect genetic diversity in reintroduced populations? 1180 Molecular Ecology 18: 3792–3802. 1181 Mills JA, Yarrall JW, Bradford-Grieve JM, Uddstrom MJ, Renwick JA, Merilä J 1182 2008. The impact of climate fluctuation on food availability and reproductive 1183 performance of the planktivorous red-billed gull Larus novaehollandiae. 1184 Journal of Animal Ecology 77: 1129–1142. 1185 Moller H 2006. Are current harvests of seabirds sustainable? Acta Zoologica Sinica 1186 52 (Supplement): 649–652. 1187 Moller H, Fletcher D, Johnson PN, Bell, B, Flack, D, Bragg, C, Scott, D, Newman, J, 1188 Mckechnie S, Lyver PO'B 2009. Changes in sooty shearwater (Puffinus 1189 griseus) abundance and harvesting on the Rakiura Tītī Islands. New Zealand 1190 Journal of Zoology 36: 325–341. 1191 Moller H, McKechnie S, Bragg C, Fletcher D, Dillingham P, Newman J, Clucas R 1192 2010. Mathematical modelling of Mātauranga Māori: quantifying the

1193	sustainability value of traditional seabird harvest lore. 5-8 May, 2010, 33rd
1194	International Society of Ethnobiology Conference, Victoria, BC. Canada
1195	Morecroft MD, Bealey CE, Beaumont DA, Benham S, Brooks DR, Burt TP, Critchley
1196	CNP, Dick J, Littlewood NA, Monteith DT, Scott WA, Smith RI, Walmsely
1197	C, Watson H 2009. The UK Environmental Change Network: emerging
1198	trends in the composition of plant and animal communities and the physical
1199	environment. Biological Conservation 142: 2814–2832.
1200	Morrison A in press. Introductory presentation. In: Veitch CR, Clout MN, Towns DR
1201	eds Island invasives: eradication and management. Gland, IUCN.
1202	Mulder CPH, Anderson WB, Towns DR, Bellingham PJ in press. Seabird Islands:
1203	Ecology, Invasion, and Restoration. New York, Oxford University Press.
1204	Mulder CPH, Grant-Hoffman MN, Towns DR, Bellingham PJ, Wardle DA, Durrett
1205	MS, Fukami T, Bonner KI. 2009. Direct and indirect effects of rats: does rat
1206	eradication restore ecosystem functioning of New Zealand seabird islands?
1207	Biological Invasions 11: 1671–1688.
1208	Munn A, Miskelly C, Hunt K 2008. Managing the resource. In: Miskelly C, ed
1209	Chatham Islands heritage and conservation. Christchurch, Canterbury
1210	University Press. Pp. 140–158.
1211	Murray TE, Bartle JA, Kalish SR, Taylor PR 1993. Incidental capture of seabirds by
1212	Japanese southern bluefin tuna longline vessels in New Zealand waters, 1988-
1213	1992. Bird Conservation International 3: 181–210.
1214	Nelson NJ, Keall SN, Brown D, Daugherty CH 2002. Establishing a new wild
1215	population of tuatara (Sphenodon guntheri). Conservation Biology 16: 887–
1216	894.

1217	Nelson N, Keall S, Gaze P, Daugherty CH 2008. Reintroduction of tuatara (Reptilia)
1218	as part of an ecological restoration project on Wakatere-papanui Island,
1219	Marlborough Sounds, New Zealand. In: Soorae PS ed Global reintroduction
1220	perspectives: reintroduction case studies from around the world. IUCN/SSC
1221	Reintroduction Specialist Group, Abu Dhabi Publishing, Abu Dhabi. Pp 58-
1222	61.
1223	Newman J, Moller H 2005. Use of matauranga (Maori Traditional Knowledge) and
1224	science to guide a seabird harvest: getting the best of both worlds? Senri
1225	Ethnological Studies 67: 303–321.
1226	Newman J, Scott D, Bragg C, Mckechnie S, Moller H, Fletcher D 2009. Estimating
1227	regional population size and annual harvest intensity of the sooty shearwater in
1228	New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Zoology 36: 307–323.
1229	Newman J, Clucas R, Moller H, Fletcher D, Bragg C, McKechnie S, Scott D in press.
1230	Sustainability of Tītī harvesting by Rakiura Māori: Final report. University of
1231	Otago Wildlife Report No. 210.
1232	Northland Regional Council 2000. Poor Knights oil spillers plead guilty. Media
1233	release, Northland Regional Council.
1234	Northland Regional Council 2003. Northland marine oil spill contingency plan.
1235	Annex 23 – Poor Knights and Hen and Chickens Islands.
1236	Parker KA 2008. Translocations: providing outcomes for wildlife, resource
1237	managers, scientists, and the human community. Restoration Ecology 16:
1238	204–209.
1239	Parkes JP 1990. Eradication of feral goats on islands and habitat islands. Journal of
1240	the Royal Society of New Zealand 20: 297–304.

1241 Parkes J, Murphy E 2003. Management of introduced mammals in New Zealand. 1242 New Zealand Journal of Zoology 30: 335–359. 1243 Prebble M, Wilmshurst JM 2009. Detecting the initial impact of humans and 1244 introduced species on island environments in Remote Oceania using 1245 palaeoecology. Biological Invasions 11:1529–1556. 1246 Quammen D 1996. The song of the dodo. Hutchinson, London. 1247 Rands HRW, Adams WM, Bennun L, Butchart SHM, Clements A, Coomes D, 1248 Entwistle A, Hodge I, Kapos V, Scherlemann JPW, Sutherland WJ, Vira B 1249 2010. Biodiversity conservation: challenges beyond 2010. Science 329: 1298– 1250 1303 1251 Rauzon MJ 2007. Island restoration: exploring the past, anticipating the future. 1252 Marine Ornithology 35: 97–107. 1253 Rayner M, Hauber ME, Imber MJ, Stamp RK, Clout MN 2007. Spatial 1254 heterogeneity of mesopredator release within an oceanic island system. 1255 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA 104: 20862– 1256 20865 1257 Ricciardi A, Simberloff D 2009. Assisted colonization is not a viable conservation 1258 strategy. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 24: 248-253. 1259 Rimmer A 2004. Tiritiri Matangi: a model of conservation. Tandem Press, 1260 Auckland. 1261 Robertson BC 2006. The role of genetics in kakapo recovery. Notornis 53: 173–183. 1262 Russell JC, Towns DR, Clout MN 2008. Review of rat invasion biology. 1263 Implications for island biosecurity. Science for Conservation 286. 1264 Wellington, Department of Conservation.

1265	Scott D, Scofield P, Hunter C 2008. Decline of sooty shearwaters, <i>Puffinus griseus</i> ,
1266	on the Snares, New Zealand. Papers and Proceedings of the Royal Society of
1267	Tasmania 142: 185–196.
1268	Sherley GH, Stringer IAN, Parrish GR 2010. Summary of native bat, reptile,
1269	amphibian and terrestrial invertebrate translocations in New Zealand. Science
1270	for Conservation 303. Wellington, Department of Conservation.
1271	Simberloff D 1990. Community effects of biological introductions and their
1272	implications for restoration. In: Towns DR, Daugherty CH, Atkinson IAE eds
1273	Ecological restoration of New Zealand islands. Wellington, Department of
1274	Conservation. Pp. 128–136.
1275	Simberloff D 2002. Today Tiritiri Matangi, tomorrow the world! Are we aiming too
1276	low in invasives control? In: Veitch CR, Clout MN eds Turning the tide: the
1277	eradication of invasive species. Gland, IUCN. Pp 4-12.
1278	Simberloff D, Von Holle B 1999. Positive interactions of non-indigenous species:
1279	invasional meltdown? Biological Invasions 1: 21–32.
1280	Southey IC 1985. The ecology of three rare skinks on Middle Island, Mercury
1281	Islands. Unpublished MSc Thesis, University of Auckland.
1282	Stephenson J, Moller H 2009. Cross-cultural environmental research and
1283	management: challenges and progress. Journal of the Royal Society of New
1284	Zealand 39: 139–149.
1285	Sterling EJ, Gómez A, Porzecanski AL 2010. A systemic view of biodiversity and its
1286	conservation: processes, interrelationships, and human culture. Bioessays 32:
1287	1090–1098.

1288	Stringer IAN, Chappell R 2008. Possible rescue from extinction: transfer of a rare
1289	New Zealand tusked weta in the Mercury Group. Journal of Insect
1290	Conservation 12: 371–382.
1291	Sutherland WJ, Adams WM, Aronson RB, et al. 2009. One hundred questions of
1292	importance to the conservation of biological diversity. Conservation Biology
1293	23: 557–567.
1294	Sykes WR 1977. Kermadec Islands flora: an annotated checklist. New Zealand
1295	Department of Scientific and Industrial Research Bulletin No. 219.
1296	Wellington, Government Printer.
1297	Taiepa T, Lyver PO'B, Horsley P, Davis J, Bragg M, Moller H 1997. Co-
1298	management of New Zealand's conservation estate by Maori and Pakeha.
1299	Environmental Conservation 24: 236–250.
1300	Taylor GA 2000. Action plan for seabird conservation in New Zealand. Wellington,
1301	Department of Conservation.
1302	Taylor RH 1992. New Zealand fur seals at the Antipodes Islands. Journal of the
1303	Royal Society of New Zealand 22: 107–122.
1304	Tennyson AJD, Martinson P 2006. Extinct birds of New Zealand. Welllington, Te
1305	Papa Press.
1306	Thomas BW, Taylor RH 2002. A history of ground-based rodent eradication
1307	techniques developed in New Zealand 1959-1993 In: Veitch CR, Clout MN
1308	eds Turning the tide: the eradication of invasive species. Gland, IUCN. Pp
1309	301–310.
1310	Thompson MB, Packard GC, Packard M J, Rose B 1996. Analysis of the nest
1311	environment of tuatara Sphenodon punctatus. Journal of Zoology London 238
1312	239–251.

1313	Tindall SD, Ralph CJ, Clout MN. 2007. Changes in bird abundance following
1314	common myna control in New Zealand. Pacific Conservation Biology 13:
1315	202–212.
1316	Towns DR 1988. Rodent eradication from islands – the conservation potential.
1317	Forest and Bird 19: 32–33.
1318	Towns DR 1991. Response of lizard assemblages in the Mercury Islands, New
1319	Zealand, to removal of an introduced rodent: the kiore (Rattus exulans).
1320	Journal of the Royal Society of New Zealand 21: 119–136.
1321	Towns DR 1996. Changes in habitat use by lizards on a New Zealand island
1322	following removal of the introduced Pacific rat Rattus exulans. Pacific
1323	Conservation Biology 2: 286–292.
1324	Towns DR 2002a. Interactions between geckos, honeydew scale insects and host
1325	plants revealed on islands in northern New Zealand, following eradication of
1326	introduced rats and rabbits. In: Veitch CR, Clout MN eds Turning the tide: the
1327	eradication of invasive species. Gland, IUCN. Pp. 329-335.
1328	Towns DR 2002b. Korapuki Island as a case study for restoration of insular
1329	ecosystems in New Zealand. Journal of Biogeography 29: 593-608
1330	Towns DR in press. Eradications of vertebrate pests from islands around New
1331	Zealand: what have we delivered and what have we learned? In: Veitch CR,
1332	Clout MN, Towns DR eds. Island invasives: eradication and management.
1333	Gland, IUCN.
1334	Towns DR, Atkinson IAE 2004. Restoration plan for Korapuki Island (Mercury
1335	Islands), New Zealand. Wellington, Department of Conservation.
1336	Towns DR, Ballantine WJ 1993. Conservation and restoration of New Zealand
1337	island ecosystems. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 8: 452–457.

1338	Towns DR, Broome KG 2003. From small Maria to massive Campbell: forty years
1339	of rat eradications from New Zealand islands. New Zealand Journal of
1340	Zoology 30: 377–398.
1341	Towns DR, Aguirre-Muñoz A, Kress SW, Hodum PJ, Burbidge AA, Saunders A
1342	2011. The social dimension – public involvement in seabird island restoration
1343	In: Mulder CPH, Anderson WB, Towns DR, Bellingham PJ. Seabird islands
1344	ecology, invasion, and restoration. New York, Oxford University Press.
1345	Towns DR, Parrish GR, Tyrrell CL, Ussher GT, Cree A, Newman DG, Whitaker AH,
1346	Westbrooke I 2007. Responses of tuatara (Sphenodon punctatus) to removal
1347	of Pacific rats from islands. Conservation Biology 21: 1021–1031.
1348	Towns DR, Simberloff D, Atkinson IAE 1997. Restoration of New Zealand islands:
1349	redressing the effects of introduced species. Pacific Conservation Biology 3:
1350	99–124.
1351	Towns DR, Wardle DA, Mulder, CPH, Yeates GW, Fitzgerald BM, Parrish GR,
1352	Bellingham PJ, Bonner KI 2009a. Predation of seabirds by invasive rats:
1353	multiple indirect consequences for invertebrate communities. Oikos 118:
1354	420–430.
1355	Towns DR, Wright E, Stephens T 2009b. Systematic measurement of effectiveness
1356	for conservation of biodiversity on New Zealand islands. In: Clarkson B,
1357	Kurian P, Nachowitz, T, Rennie, H ed. Proceedings of the Conserv-Vision
1358	Conference, University of Waikato, 2–4 July 2007. Pp. 1–22.
1359	van Leeuwen JFN, Froyd CA, van der Knaap WO, Coffey EE, Willis KJ 2008. Fossil
1360	pollen as a guide to conservation in the Galápagos. Science 322: 1206.
1361	Veitch CR, Clout MN eds 2002. Turning the tide: the eradication of invasive species.
1362	Gland, IUCN.

1363	Veitch CR, Miskelly CM, Harper GA, Taylor GA, Tennyson AJD 2004. Birds of the
1364	Kermadec Islands, south-west Pacific. Notornis 51: 61–90.
1365	Veitch CR, Clout MN, Towns DR eds. In press. Island invasives: eradication and
1366	management. Gland, IUCN.
1367	Vitousek PM, D'Antonio CM, Loope LL, Rejmanek M, Westbrooks R. Introduced
1368	species: a significant component of human-caused global change. New
1369	Zealand Journal of Ecology 21: 1–16.
1370	Vivequin W 1999. Poor Knights Marine Reserve Briefing. Whangarei, Department
1371	of Conservation.
1372	Walker K, Elliott G 1999. Population changes and biology of the wandering
1373	albatross Diomedea exulans gibsoni at the Auckland Islands. Emu 99: 239-
1374	247.
1375	West CJ 2002. Eradication of alien plants on Raoul Island, Kermadec Islands, New
1376	Zealand. In: Veitch CR, Clout MN eds Turning the tide: the eradication of
1377	invasive species. Gland, IUCN. Pp. 365-373.
1378	White PCL, Ford AES, Clout MN, Engeman RM, Roy S, Saunders G 2008. Alien
1379	invasive vertebrates in ecosystems: patterns, process and the social dimension.
1380	Wildlife Research 35: 171–179.
1381	Whitaker AH 1978. The effects of rodents on reptiles and amphibians. In: Dingwall
1382	PR, Atkinson IAE, Hay C eds The ecology and control of rodents in New
1383	Zealand nature reserves. Department of Lands and Survey Information Series
1384	4. Wellington, Department of Lands and Survey. Pp. 75–86.
1385	Wilson EO 2002. The future of life. Little, Brown, UK.

1386	Wilmshurst JM, Bestic KL, Meurk CD, McGlone MS 2004. Recent spread of			
1387	Dracophyllum scrub on subantarctic Campbell Island, New Zealand: climatic			
1388	or anthropogenic origins? Journal of Biogeography 31: 401-441.			
1389	Zavaleta E 2002. It's often better to eradicate, but can we eradicate better? In:			
1390	Veitch CR, Clout MN eds Turning the tide: the eradication of invasive species.			
1391	Gland, IUCN. Pp. 393–403.			
1392				
1393	Editorial Board member: Jacqueline Beggs			
1394	Received 6 December 2010; accepted 28 June 2011			
1395				

Table 1. Research questions within objectives for ecological integrity provided by Lee et al. (2005) identified from global reviews of issues relating to management of non-native species and conservation of biodiversity based on Byers et al. (2002) and Sutherland et al. (2009; which is marked \*) in column 1; island invasive species (Veitch et al. in press) in column 2; and seabird island biology (Mulder et al. 2011) in column 3.

Integrity objective	Management of non-native species; conservation of global	Island invasive species	Seabird island biology
	species, conservation of global		
	biodiversity		
1. Maintaining			Does seabird diet influence nutrient
ecosystem processes			composition of guano?
			How do seabirds affect terrestrial food
			webs?
			What are the indirect effects of seabirds
			on consumer food webs through
			modification of vegetation?
			Under what circumstances do seabird

			effects become dominated by other
			abiotic influences?
			Do the nutrient subsidies from seabirds
			influence adjacent marine
			environments?
2. Reducing exotic	Why do many invasions fail or	What are the problem species?	Can distributional data for introduced
spread and dominance	have minimal effects?	How should eradications be planned	predators effectively predict their global
	How do non-indigenous species	(operational)?	effects on seabird populations?
	alter ecosystem properties?	How should eradications be conducted	What traits make plants vulnerable to
	What characterises sites with	(tactical)?	introduced animals?
	greatest vulnerability to invasive	What are the results of eradication	What determines the dominance of
	species?	attempts?	introduced species of rats?
	How should the impacts of	How can reinvasions and new	Can detrimental downstream effects of
	individual invasive species be	incursions be prevented (biosecurity)?	eradications be predicted (e.g. invasive
	assessed?	What are unpredicted outcomes of	plant rebounds)?

How do invasive species behave	eradications of invasive species?	Can declines of biotic communities be
over time?	How do resident species respond to	assigned to direct and indirect effects
What limits the spread of non-	eradications?	(e.g. pollination)?
indigenous species?	What contribution to natural capital	Does the rate of invasive species
What happens between	can be obtained from eradicating	establishment vary by climatic region or
establishment of a non-native	invasive species?	type?
species and recognition of an		Can eradications of rodents be achieved
invasion?		at similar scales across climatic
What habitats are particularly		regimes?
vulnerable to invasion?		Are any groups of seabirds particularly
What are the characteristics of		prone to the effects of introduced
invasive species?		predators?
How can assessments of harm be		What are the ecosystem consequences
improved?		of small introduced omnivores such as
What control strategies are the		ants and mice?

	most effective?	
	*How should authorities manage	
	non-native species?	
3. Environmental		Do ocean contaminants bioaccumulate
pollutants		in terrestrial island species?
4. Preventing declines	*To what extent does management	What are the external determinants of
and extinctions	of iconic species have wider	seabird survivorship and productivity?
	effects?	
5. Ecosystem	What happens to native species or	Do plant-soil interactions reflect effects
composition	communities when invasive	of different species of seabirds?
	species are controlled or	How do the dynamics of nutrients in
	eradicated?	soils change by location?
	*How do we determine pre-	How does plant productivity vary with
	disturbance conditions in order to	seabird density across seabird island

	inform restoration?	systems?
	*Where are the greatest	How do plant growth forms vary
	biodiversity and social benefits	geographically across island systems?
	from restoration?	What are the varying effects of seabird
	*Does restoration of natural	nest densities on plant community
	disturbance regimes improve	composition?
	conservation effectiveness?	At what plant life stages do seabirds
	*How does across-ecosystem	have greatest effects?
	conservation influence	Are there legacy effects of previous
	biodiversity?	landuse reflected in soil chemistry?
		Can whole ecosystems be restored and
		what are the criteria for success?
6. Ecosystem	*How effective are different	
representation	classes of reserves at protecting	
	biodiversity and providing	

	ecosystem services?	
	*What are the social costs and	
	benefits of protected areas?	
	*How does management of a site	
	affect biodiversity and society	
	beyond the site's boundaries?	
7. Climate change	*What biodiversity is most	How useful are seabirds as indicators of
and variability	vulnerable to climate change?	global climate change?
	*How is resilience to climate	What aspects of island ecosystems other
	change affected by human	than seabirds provide useful measures of
	activity?	climate change?
	*How much carbon is sequestered	How can monitoring of island
	by different ecosystems?	ecosystems be standardised to enable
		cross-system comparisons?

8. Sustainable use	*Are there critical thresholds		Has marine harvesting had food web
	where loss of species or		effects that cascade into seabird
	communities disrupts ecosystem		populations?
	functions or services?		Have mitigation measures against loss
	*How can fisheries effectively		of seabirds to marine harvesting
	mitigate their effects on non-target		provided measureable responses by
	species?		seabirds?
9. Community	*What are the conservation	How can scope of eradications be	What motivates community groups to
participation in	impacts of improved education,	broadened esp. for inhabited islands?	commit time to island conservation?
conservation	employment and reproductive	How best can regional strategies	How can enterprise-based conservation
	choice?	against invasive species be developed?	be developed for islands and what are
	*What factors shape human	How should community	the criteria for success?
	attitudes to wild animals,	involvement/acceptance of invasive	What is the social background of
	especially those that induce	species eradication be approached?	participants in island restoration?
	human-wildlife conflict?	How can communities be aided with	How successful is the educational

\*How does public involvement understanding/participating in removal motive for participation in island (especially marginalised groups) in and prevention of invasions? conservation? decision-making influence How should the social benefits of To what extent can community groups conservation outcomes? invasive species eradications be monitor ecosystem recovery on islands? What are the relative expectations of \*What are the social impacts of defined? conservation activity? How should best practice against agencies and the public for the social \*How can recognition of biological invasions be provided and outcomes of restoration activities? distributed? customary rights improve What are the origins of opposition to conservation outcomes? eradications of invasive species? What are the relative social outcomes of public engagement versus stakeholder participation in island conservation?

Table 2. Research questions that relate directly to the conservation of New Zealand islands sorted into objectives for ecological integrity (Lee et al. 2005), based on needs assessment, and with relevant questions derived from global analyses identified in bold.

Integrity objective	Current situation	Ideal position	Key Questions			
Changes in indigenous domin	Changes in indigenous dominance					
1. Maintaining ecosystem	Islands spread over 3 000 km, with	Measure change in ecosystems	What external influences affect			
processes	some largely unmodified	Understand external effects on	ecological integrity?			
	Increasing range of sites cleared of	ecosystems	How resilient are island ecosystems			
	invasive species	Determine how island systems	relative to island size?			
	Patchy and poor data on ecosystem	differ from mainland	How do marine subsidies affect island			
	composition and function		ecosystems and is there nutrient flow back			
			into marine environments?			
			Do island ecosystems benefit from			
			adjacent marine reserves?			

			Do island ecosystems have characteristics
			absent from mainland patches of
			equivalent size?
2. Reducing exotic spread	Increasing range of invasive	Eradicate or control introduced	How do invasive plants and animals
and dominance	plants, some of which effectively	plants that threaten ecological	interact and can unintended effects be
	controlled	integrity	avoided?
	Capacity to eradicate all	Eradicate invasive vertebrate	How do invasive plants and animals
	introduced mammals (except	species without unwanted	behave in changing environments (e.g.
	mice) from large islands	collateral damage	in the course of forest succession;
	Poor data on ecological effects of	Detect incursions (invasive	release from herbivory)?
	introduced birds	species at low density)	How can complex interactions be
	Poor data on ecological effects of	Understand effects of	modelled for competing invasives?
	most introduced invertebrates	invasions by non-mammalian	What are the effects of invasive species on
	Patchy ability to detect and destroy	species (invertebrates and	ecosystem processes and how do these
	incursions of rodents	birds)	change when invasive species are

	Vulnerable to invasions by	Achieve eradications from	removed?
	invertebrates	large and complex sites that	How effective are biological control
		are inhabited by people	agents on islands?
		Provide effective responses to	What baits and lures attract rodents at low
		incursions by invasive	densities?
		mammals	What are the most cost-effective methods
		Have the ability to detect and	for detecting incursions of invasive
		eliminate invasive	species?
		invertebrates and other	How should social and economic benefits
		unwanted organisms	of eradications be measured?
3. Environmental pollutants	Numerous island sites, some with	Have confidence that offsite	Do ocean contaminants bioaccumulate
	very high ecological integrity, that	activities do not detrimentally	in terrestrial species or ecosystems?
	straddle or are adjacent to shipping	affect terrestrial systems	What are the least environmentally
	lanes		damaging methods for managing the
	Increased marine oil exploration		effects of petrochemical spills and mining

	Increased prospecting for seabed		in the New Zealand environment?
	minerals		
	Increased pressure to extract		
	terrestrial mineral resources on		
	protected land		
Changes in species occupancy	y	<u> </u>	
4. Preventing declines and	Major proportions of biota	Understand interactive effects	How do native species interact on islands
extinctions	threatened (and 40% of birds	of recolonising species on	without invasive species (no longer
	extinct)	islands after invasive species	observable on the mainland)?
	Successful reintroductions of	eradication	What are the long term effects of small
	numerous species on islands	Have long-term self-sustaining	founder populations (of translocated
	Management on islands of	populations of translocated	species)?
	mainland species (birds) under	species	How does low genetic diversity affect
	threat		long term fitness?

			What are the practical outcomes of
			managing genetic drift?
5. Ecosystem composition	Have many decades of	Predict successional pathways	What are the ecosystem effects of
	successional change following	and provide informed models	predator removals in complex systems?
	burning and farming on numerous	for island revegetation	How can the accuracy of restoration
	islands	Provide restoration models for	goals be increased?
	Seeing the long term effects of	previously modified islands	How can restoration of composition and
	invasive species removals	Demonstrate the effectiveness	functional relationships be
	undertaken many decades ago	of restoration activities	demonstrated?
	Undertaking restoration projects		Can ecosystems benefit from the
	on increasingly large and complex		management of high profile species (e.g.
	islands		charismatic or flagship and umbrella
			with assumed benefits to other species)?
			What are the implications of species
			substitutions for extinct taxa?

			What are appropriate numerical and
			genetic criteria for successful
			reintroductions?
			How do lessons learned from restoration
			on islands relate to the mainland?
Changes in environmental rep	presentation	1	
6. Ecosystem	Numerous islands as reserves and	Develop protection and	How useful are islands for testing models
representation	private restoration projects	restoration goals that improve	of representativeness at different
	Most sites with high ecological	biogeographic range of island	organizational levels?
	integrity well offshore	types and sizes with high	What are the relative biodiversity
	More accessible islands managed	integrity	contributions made by islands in different
	for recreation; patchy	Have a comprehensive	archipelagos?
	representation of high integrity	understanding of the biological	Can islands/archipelagos be ranked
	sites	diversity on islands (at least in	according to irreplaceablity?

	Very patchy knowledge of species	public lands)	
	composition for some		
	communities (e.g. invertebrates)		
7. Climate change and	Manage islands that cover a far	Be able to predict the identity	How do island ecosystems shift in
variability	greater latitudinal range than does	and effects of stressors on	response to changes in climate and in
	mainland New Zealand	island ecosystems	the marine environment?
	Manage sites of greatly varying		How do species that utilise island
	size and topography and thus		environments respond to climate change?
	vulnerability to environmental		
	stress		
	Have evidence that some island		
	species respond to off-site		
	environmental change (e.g. rock-		
	hopper penguins)		

Effects of use by people			
8. Sustainable use of island	Increasing array of islands without	Enable high public visitation to	What effects do marine bycatch and
ecosystems	invasive mammals which are	key showcase sites	customary harvest of seabirds have on
	increasingly attractive to visitors	Improve public understanding	island ecosystems?
	Increasing mobility and	and enjoyment of New	Is there conflict between recreational use
	conservation awareness of New	Zealand biodiversity	and biodiversity management and how
	Zealanders	Increase interest in	can it be resolved?
	Increasing proportion of GDP	participating in island	What business opportunities stem from
	from tourism	management	island management?
	Re-establishment of customary	Understand the social and	What ecosystem services are provided by
	seabird harvests	economic importance of island	island species and are these different from
		biodiversity	the mainland?
		Understand other ecosystem	Are there carbon offset implications of
		services provided by island	island restoration projects?
		biodiversity	What are the comparative implications for

		Understand effects of	carbon sequestration of unmodified
		customary harvests	islands, recovering sites and islands with
			invasive mammals?
9. Community participation	Increasing numbers of community	Complete removals of selected	What factors shape societal attitudes to
in conservation	led conservation projects	invasive species from large	invasive species and their management?
	Island conservation partnerships	islands (e.g. Great Barrier,	What influences views of customary
	with iwi and private land owners	Stewart)	rights and how can traditional
	Localised initiatives but no whole-	Have sufficient public support	knowledge and practices complement
	island projects on occupied islands	for island conservation for	current conservation practice?
		unwanted species invasions to	What motivates community groups to
		be rare and rapidly detected	commit to island restoration?
		Have sufficient public support	Can interactive databases be developed
		to ensure funding of	for community involvement?
		eradications, commitments to	How do community values change in
		restoration and sophisticated	response to conservation activity?

	biosecurity systems	What are the social outcomes of
	Have mechanisms for adaptive	biodiversity management?
	management of community	How can social, economic and
	projects (and cross-system	biodiversity outcomes be quantified?
	comparisons)	