Origin of report

Party	Netherlands
Contact officer for report	
Name and title of contact officer:	Dr Inge van der Leij
Mailing address:	Ministry of Housing, Spatial Planning and the Environment IPC 645 PO Box 30945 2500 GX The Hague Netherlands
Telephone:	+ 31-70-2294893
Fax:	+ 31-70-3391316
E-mail:	Inge.vanderleij@minvrom.nl
Submission	
Signature of officer responsible for submitting report:	
Date of submission:	

including ir	de summary information on the process by which this report has been prepared, nformation on the types of stakeholders who have been actively involved in its reparation and on material which was used as a basis for the report:	

Obligations for provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House

1. Several articles of the Protocol require that information be provided to the Biosafety Clearing-House (see the list below). For your Government, if there are cases where relevant information exists but has not been provided to the BCH, describe any obstacles or impediments encountered regarding provision of that information (note: To answer this question, please check the BCH to determine the current status of your country's information submissions relative to the list of required information below. If you do not have access to the BCH, contact the Secretariat for a summary):

The Netherlands submitted the necessary information to the BCH. The BCH also contains a link to the national database (www.bioveiligheid.nl). The most crucial information on that website is currently translated into English.

Information required to be provided to the Biosafety Clearing-House:

- (a) Existing national legislation, regulations and guidelines for implementing the Protocol, as well as information required by Parties for the advance informed agreement procedure (Article 20.3(a))
- (b) National laws, regulations and guidelines applicable to the import of LMOs intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (Article 11.5);
- (c) Bilateral, multilateral and regional agreements and arrangements (Articles 14.2, 20.3(b), and 24.1);
- (d) Contact details for competent national authorities (Articles 19.2 and 19.3), national focal points (Articles 19.1 and 19.3), and emergency contacts (Article 17.2 and 17.3(e));
- (e) In cases of multiple competent national authorities, responsibilities for each (Articles 19.2 and 19.3);
 - (f) Reports submitted by the Parties on the operation of the Protocol (Article 20.3(e));
- (g) Occurrence of unintentional transboundary movements that are likely to have significant adverse effects on biological diversity (Article 17.1);
 - (h) Illegal transboundary movements of LMOs (Article 25.3);
- (i) Final decisions regarding the importation or release of LMOs (i.e. approval or prohibition, any conditions, requests for further information, extensions granted, reasons for decision) (Articles 10.3 and 20.3(d));
- (j) Information on the application of domestic regulations to specific imports of LMOs (Article 14.4);
- (k) Final decisions regarding the domestic use of LMOs that may be subject to transboundary movement for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (Article 11.1);
- (I) Final decisions regarding the import of LMOs intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing that are taken under domestic regulatory frameworks (Article 11.4) or in accordance with Annex III (Article 11.6) (requirement of Article 20.3(d))
- (m) Declarations regarding the framework to be used for LMOs intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (Article 11.6)
- (n) Review and change of decisions regarding intentional transboundary movements of LMOs (Article 12.1);
 - (o) LMOs granted exemption status by each Party (Article 13.1)
- (p) Cases where intentional transboundary movement may take place at the same time as the movement is notified to the Party of import (Article 13.1); and

(q) Summaries of risk assessments or environmental reviews of LMOs generated by egulatory processes and relevant information regarding products thereof (Article 20.3(c)).	

Article 2 – General provisions

2. Has your country introduced the necessary legal, administrative and other measures for implementation of the Protocol? (Article 2.1)		
a) full domestic regulatory framework in place (please give details below)	X	
b) some measures introduced (please give details below)		
c) no measures yet taken		

3. Please provide further details about your response to the above question, as well as description of your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 2, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

The Netherlands has implemented existing EU-legislation into national law through the GMO Decree (available through the BCH). The focus of this act is on intentional introduction into the environment, as well as contained use of GMOs.

Articles 7 to 10 and 12: The advance informed agreement procedure

4. Is there a legal requirement for the accuracy of information provided by exporters <u>1</u> / under the jurisdiction of your country? (Article 8.2)		
a) yes	Х	
b) no		
c) not applicable – not a Party of export		
5. If you were a Party of export during this reporting period, did you request any Pa review a decision it had made under Article 10 on the grounds specified in Article 12.2		
a) yes (please give details below)		
b) no		
c) not applicable – not a Party of export	Х	
6. Did your country take decisions regarding import under domestic regulatory frame by Article 9.2(c).	eworks as allowed	
a) yes		
b) no		
c) not applicable – no decisions taken during the reporting period	X	
7. If your country has been a Party of export of LMOs intended for release into the environment during the reporting period, please describe your experiences and progress in implementing Articles 7 to 10 and 12, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		
8. If your country has taken decisions on import of LMOs intended for release into the environment during the reporting period, please describe your experiences and progress in implementing Articles 7 to 10 and 12, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		

 $[\]underline{1}/$ The use of terms in the questions follows the meanings accorded to them under Article 3 of the Protocol

Article 11 – Procedure for living modified organisms intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing

9. Is there a legal requirement for the accuracy of information provided by the applicant with respect to the domestic use of a living modified organism that may be subject to transboundary movement for direct use as food or feed, or for processing? (Article 11.2)		
a) yes	X	
b) no		
c) not applicable (please give details below)		
10. Has your country indicated its needs for financial and technical assistance and capacity building in respect of living modified organisms intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing? (Article 11.9)		
a) yes (please give details below)		
b) no		
c) not relevant	Х	
11. Did your country take decisions regarding import under domestic regulatory frame by Article 11.4?	eworks as allowed	
a) yes		
b) no	X	
c) not applicable – no decisions taken during the reporting period		
12. If your country has been a Party of export of LMOs intended for direct use for food or feed, or for processing, during the reporting period, please describe your experiences and progress in implementing Article 11, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		
13. If your country has been a Party of import of LMOs intended for direct use for food or feed, or for processing, during the reporting period, please describe your experiences and progress in implementing Article 11, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		

Article 13 - Simplified procedure

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

14. If your country has used the simplified procedure during the reporting period, please describe your experiences in implementing Article 13, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

Not applicable

Article 14 - Bilateral, regional and multilateral agreements and arrangements

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

15. If your country has entered into bilateral, regional or multilateral agreements or arrangements, describe your experiences in implementing Article 14 during the reporting period, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

Not applicable

Articles 15 and 16 – Risk assessment and risk management

16. If you were a Party of import during this reporting period, were risk assessments decisions taken under Article 10? (Article 15.2)	carried out for all
a) yes	
b) no (please clarify below)	
c) not a Party of import	Х
17. If yes, did you require the exporter to carry out the risk assessment?	
a) yes – in all cases	
b) yes – in some cases (please specify the number and give further details below)	
c) no	
d) not a Party of import	
18. If you took a decision under Article 10 during the reporting period, did you require bear the cost of the risk assessment? (Article 15.3)	e the notifier to
a) yes – in all cases	
b) yes – in some cases (please specify the number and give further details below)	
c) no	
19. Has your country established and maintained appropriate mechanisms, measures regulate, manage and control risks identified in the risk assessment provisions of the 16.1)	
a) yes	X
b) no	
20. Has your country adopted appropriate measures to prevent unintentional transbo of living modified organisms? (Article 16.3)	undary movements
a) yes	X
b) no	
21. Does your country endeavour to ensure that any living modified organism, whethe locally developed, undergoes an appropriate period of observation commensurate with generation time before it is put to its intended use? (Article 16.4)	•
a) yes – in all cases	Х
b) yes – in some cases (please give further details below)	
c) no (please give further details below)	
d) not applicable (please give further details below)	

22. Has your country cooperated with others for the purposes specified in Article 16.5?		
a) yes (please give further details below)	X	
b) no (please give further details below)		

23. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well as description of your country's experiences and progress in implementing Articles 15 and 16, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

From the earliest discussions on LMO (GMO) risk assessment strategies till the present, the Netherlands has very actively contributed to the work undertaken by the OECD on risk assessment and risk management.

Although the Netherlands has not yet had to perform LMO risk assessments under the requirements of the Protocol, it has ample experience with the risk assessment procedures required, as these are very similar to the risk assessment performed for deliberate release and placing on the market under EU legislation.

Article 17 – Unintentional transboundary movements and emergency measures

24. During the reporting period, if there were any occurrences under your jurisdiction have led, to an unintentional transboundary movement of a living modified organism have had, significant adverse effects on the conservation and sustainable use of biolo taking also into account risks to human health in such States, did you immediately conpotentially affected States for the purposes specified in Article 17.4?	that had, or could gical diversity,	
a) yes – all relevant States immediately		
b) partially (please clarify below)		
c) no (please clarify below)	Х	
25. Please provide further details about your response to the above question, as well as description of your country's experiences in implementing Article 17, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		
Not applicable		

Article 18 – Handling, transport, packaging and identification

26. Has your country taken measures to require that living modified organisms that are subject to transboundary movement within the scope of the Protocol are handled, packaged and transported under conditions of safety, taking into account relevant international rules and standards? (Article 18.1)		
a) yes (please give details below)		
b) no		
c) not applicable (please clarify below)		
27. Has your country taken measures to require that documentation accompanying liverganisms for direct use as food or feed, or for processing, clearly identifies that they modified organisms and are not intended for intentional introduction into the environmentation of the information? (Article 18.2(a))	'may contain' living	
a) yes		
b) no		
28. Has your country taken measures to require that documentation accompanying lighter organisms that are destined for contained use clearly identifies them as living modifies specifies any requirements for the safe handling, storage, transport and use, the continformation, including the name and address of the individual and institution to whom organisms are consigned? (Article 18.2(b))	d organisms and act point for further	
a) yes		
b) no		
29. Has your country adopted measures to require that documentation accompanying living modified organisms that are intended for intentional introduction into the environment of the Party of import and any other living modified organisms within the scope of the Protocol, clearly identifies them as living modified organisms; specifies the identity and relevant traits and/or characteristics, any requirements for the safe handling, storage, transport and use, the contact point for further information and, as appropriate, the name and address of the importer and exporter; and contains a declaration that the movement is in conformity with the requirements of this Protocol applicable to the exporter? (Article 18.2(c))		
a) yes		
b) no		
30. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well as description of your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 18, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		
The Article 18 requirements are implemented by EU-legislation. The GMO Decree provinces for the safe handling, storage, transport and use of GMOs destined for continuous contin		

Article 19 - Competent national authorities and national focal points

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

Article 20 - Information-sharing and the Biosafety Clearing-House

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

31. In addition to the response to question 1, please describe any further details regarding your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 20, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

The language used in national databases is identified as one obstacle in the sharing of information. The Netherlands is in the process of translating the most crucial information in its national database into English, in order to make it accessible and more useful for third parties. This, however, is a time-consuming and costly process.

A second obstacle is the technical challenge to establish an interoperable interface between the BCH and national databases. This is considered necessary to more effective disclosure of existing information.

Article 21 - Confidential information

32. Does your country have procedures to protect confidential information received under the Protocol and that protect the confidentiality of such information in a manner no less favourable than its treatment of confidential information in connection with domestically produced living modified organisms? (Article 21.3)		
a) yes	Χ	
b) no		
33. If you were a Party of import during this reporting period, did you permit any notifier to identify information submitted under the procedures of the Protocol or required by the Party of import as part of the advance informed agreement procedure that was to be treated as confidential? (Article 21.1)		
a) yes		
If yes, please give number of cases		
b) no		
c) not applicable – not a Party of import	X	
34. If you answered yes to the previous question, please provide information on your experience including description of any impediments or difficulties encountered:		
The possibilities to protect confidential information are regulated by law; the procedures for domestically produced living modified organisms are identical to those imported into the country.		
35. If you were a Party of export during this reporting period, please describe any impediments or difficulties encountered by you, or by exporters under your jurisdiction if information is available, in the implementation of the requirements of Article 21:		

Article 22 - Capacity-building

36. If a developed country Party, during this reporting period has your country cooperated in the development and/or strengthening of human resources and institutional capacities in biosafety for the purposes of the effective implementation of the Protocol in developing country Parties, in particular the least developed and small island developing States among them, and in Parties with economies in transition?		
a) yes (please give details below)	Х	
b) no		
c) not applicable – not a developed country Party		
37. If yes, how has such cooperation taken place:		
The Netherlands hosted the third intergovernmental meeting (ICCP3) in The Hague (April 2002) and provided significant financial contributions for the organization of expert group and working group meetings on Liability and Redress and Article 18, as well as for the organization of the second meeting of the Parties to the Protocol. The Netherlands also sponsored capacity-building activities on the Biosafety Clearing-House. These meetings for developing countries organised by the CBD Secretariat took place back to back with COPMOP-1 (Kuala Lumpur February 2004) and back to back with COPMOP-2 (Montreal May 2005).		
38. If a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition, have you keep cooperation for technical and scientific training in the proper and safe management of the extent that it is required for biosafety?		
a) yes – capacity-building needs fully met (please give details below)		
b) yes – capacity-building needs partially met (please give details below)		
c) no – capacity-building needs remain unmet (please give details below)		
d) no – we have no unmet capacity-building needs in this area		
e) not applicable – not a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition	X	
39. If a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition, have you benefited from cooperation for technical and scientific training in the use of risk assessment and risk management for biosafety?		
a) yes – capacity-building needs fully met (please give details below)		
b) yes – capacity-building needs partially met (please give details below)		
c) no – capacity-building needs remain unmet (please give details below)		
d) no – we have no unmet capacity-building needs in this area		
e) not applicable – not a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition	Х	

40. If a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition, have you k cooperation for technical and scientific training for enhancement of technological and capacities in biosafety?		
a) yes – capacity-building needs fully met (please give details below)		
b) yes – capacity-building needs partially met (please give details below)		
c) no – capacity-building needs remain unmet (please give details below)		
d) no – we have no unmet capacity-building needs in this area		
e) not applicable – not a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition	Х	
41. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well as description of your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 22, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		

Article 23 – Public awareness and participation

42. Does your country promote and facilitate public awareness, education and participation concerning the safe transfer, handling and use of living modified organisms in relation to the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, taking also into account risks to human health? (Article 23.1(a))	
a) yes – significant extent	Х
b) yes – limited extent	
c) no	
43. If yes, do you cooperate with other States and international bodies?	
a) yes – significant extent	X
b) yes – limited extent	
c) no	
44. Does your country endeavour to ensure that public awareness and education enco information on living modified organisms identified in accordance with the Protocol tha importe d? (Article 23.1(b))	
a) yes – fully	Χ
b) yes – limited extent	
c) no	
45. Does your country, in accordance with its respective laws and regulations, consult decision-making process regarding living modified organisms and make the results of savailable to the public? (Article 23.2)	
a) yes – fully	X
b) yes – limited extent	
c) no	
46. Has your country informed its public about the means of public access to the Biosa House? (Article 23.3)	ifety Clearing-
a) yes – fully	Х
b) yes – limited extent	
c) no	
47. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 23, including any obstimpediments encountered:	•
Ad 42, 44, 45: full transparency of the LMO risk assessment methodologies as well as public consultation are ensured in national legislation, in line with the requirements in Convention.	
Ad 46: the national web site on safety in biotechnology draws attention to BCH.	

Article 24 - Non-Parties

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

48. If there have been transboundary movements of living modified organisms between your country and a non-Party, please provide information on your experience, including description of any impediments or difficulties encountered:

In October 2004 150 LMO-cassave destined for contained use were exported to Indonesia. The LMOs were packaged according to internationally agreed standards. No difficulties were encountered in the procedure, nor in the transport.

Article 25 – Illegal transboundary movements

49. Has your country adopted appropriate domestic measures to prevent and penalize, as appropriate, transboundary movements of living modified organisms carried out in contravention of its domestic measures? (Article 25.1)	
a) yes	X
b) no	
50. Please provide further details about your response to the above question, as well as description of your country's experiences in implementing Article 25, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:	
The Netherlands has implemented appropriate domestic measures in national law (Ac Offences)	t on Economic

Article 26 - Socio-economic considerations

51. If during this reporting period your country has taken a decision on import, did it take into account socio-economic considerations arising from the impact of living modified organisms on the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, especially with regard to the value of biological diversity to indigenous and local communities? (Article 26.1)		
a) yes – significant extent		
b) yes – limited extent		
c) no		
d) not a Party of import	Х	
52. Has your country cooperated with other Parties on research and information exchange on any socio-economic impacts of living modified organisms, especially on indigenous and local communities? (Article 26.2)		
a) yes – significant extent		
b) yes – limited extent		
c) no	X	
53. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well as description of your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 26, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		

Article 28 - Financial mechanism and resources

54. Please indicate if, during the reporting period, your government made financial resources available to other Parties or received financial resources from other Parties or financial institutions, for the purposes of implementation of the Protocol.	
a) yes – made financial resources available to other Parties	
b) yes – received financial resources from other Parties or financial institutions	
c) both	
d) neither	X
55. Please provide further details about your response to the above question, as well as description of your country's experiences, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:	

Other information

56. Please use this box to provide any other information related to articles of the Protocol, questions i
the reporting format, or other issues related to national implementation of the Protocol:

This national interim report should be read in conjunction with the interim report produced by the European Comission on the implementation of the Protocol at EU level and reports only on aspects related to the implementation of and experiences gained with the Protocol at national level.

Comments on reporting format

The wording of these questions is based on the Articles of the Protocol. Please provide information on any difficulties that you have encountered in interpreting the wording of these questions: