

Please provide the following details on the origin of this report.

| | |
|--|--|
| Contracting Party | GRENADA |
| National Focal Point | |
| Full name of the institution: | Ministry of Finance, Trade, Industry and Planning |
| Name and title of contact officer: | Timothy Antoine Permanent Secretary |
| Mailing address: | Financial Complex Carenage, St. George's |
| Telephone: | 1-473-440-2731 1-473-440-2928 |
| Fax: | 1-473-440-4115 |
| E-mail: | <u>Timothy.antoine@gov.gd</u> |
| Contact officer for national report (if different) | |
| Full name of the institution: | Ministry of Finance, Trade, Industry and Planning |
| Name and title of contact officer: | Dr. Linus Spencer Thomas Biodiversity Coordinator |
| Mailing address: | Financial Complex Carenage, St. George's, Grenada |
| Telephone: | 1-474-440-9701 1-473-407-5765 |
| Fax: | 1-473-440-4115 |
| E-mail: | <u>Spence105@hotmail.com</u> <u>lst@caribsurf.com</u> |
| Submission | |
| Signature of officer responsible for submitting national report: | Timothy Antoine |
| Date of submission: | December 2002 |

Please provide summary information on the process by which this report has been prepared, including information on the types of stakeholders who have been actively involved in its preparation and on material which was used as a basis for the report

The Sustainable Development Council Provided a forum for dialogue decision and debate on the National Report. The Report was prepared and reviewed by the member of the Biodiversity Committee and officials of the Ministry of Finance. The Draft Report was then circulated to the members of the Sustainable Development Council. The Sustainable Development Council is a broad based representative body comprising of Government, statutory bodies, the private sector, the trade union movement, NGOs, CBOs and special interest groups.

The Council meets on a monthly basis to dialogue, discuss and debate issues of national importance. Environmental development issues constitutes the main priorities. The average attendance at meetings is twenty-five persons.

The membership of the Council stands at 48 persons representing the various organisations.

The type of stakeholders are as following:

| Name | Organisation |
|------------------------------|---|
| Dr. Spencer Thomas | Ministry of Finance |
| Mr. Joseph Antoine | Friends of the Earth |
| Ms. Sally Anne Bagwhan-Logie | Ministry of Finance |
| Mr. Learrie Barrie | Community Development Division |
| Mr. Elliot Bishop | Cane Farmers Association |
| Y. Cocie | Ministry of Finance |
| Mr. Anthony Cadore | ECHO |
| Mr. Leon Charles | National Climate Change Committee |
| Mr. Simeon Collins | Grenada Bureau of Standards |
| Ms. Beryl-Ann Clarkson | Ministry of Finance |
| Ms. Lena Downes | Grenada Bureau of Standards |
| Ms. Sandra Ferguson | Agency for Rural Transformation |
| Mr. James Finlay | Fisheries Division, Ministry of Agriculture |
| Mr. Spencer Francis | St. David's Development Organisation |
| Mr. Cecil Frederick | Physical Planning Unit |
| Mr. George Grant | Grant Communications |
| Mr. Desmond John | Ministry of Finance |
| Mr. Christopher Joseph | Ministry of Health and the Environment |
| Mr. Ryan Lalitte | Department of Human Resource |
| Mr. Benny Langaigne | Grenada Community Development Agency |
| Ms. Betty Ann Lazarus | Grenada Community Development Agency |
| Mr. Edward Lord | Grenada Ports Authority |
| Mr. Francis Mc Barnette | Organisation of American States |
| Mr. Jerome Mc Barnette | Conference of Churches |
| Dr. Guido Marcelle | Produce Chemist Laboratory |
| Mr. Michael Mason | Ministry of Agriculture |
| Mr. Glen Noel | St. Andrew's Development Organisation |

| | |
|---------------------------|--|
| Ms. Jocelyn Paul | Ministry of Finance |
| Mr. Attam Parkash | Ministry of Finance |
| Inspector Jesmond Prince | Royal Grenada Police Force |
| Mr. Fabian Purcell | Physical Planning Unit |
| Major Samuel Richardson | Royal Grenada Police Force |
| Ms. Dianne Roberts | Roberts Environmental Consulting Research and Development Services |
| Mr. Stanley Roberts | Taxi Owners and Drivers Association |
| Mr. Lyndon Robertson | Ministry of Health and the Environment |
| Ms. Helen Roden | Ministry of Finance |
| Mr. Keith Simon | Physical Planning Unit, Ministry of Finance |
| Mr. Ray Smith | Private Consultant |
| Mr. Terrence Smith | TP Smith Engineering |
| Ms. Gillian Sylvester | Friends of the Earth |
| Ms. Gloria Thomas | National Emergency Relief Organisation |
| Mr. Spencer Thomas | St. David's Development Organisation |
| Ms. Joyce Thomas-Calliste | National Emergency Relief Organisation |
| Mr. Hubert Whyte | Meteorological Office, Airports Authority |
| Ms. Judy Williams | Grenada Community Development Agency |
| Mr. Andre Worme | Ministry of Health |
| Mr. Martin Bariteau | Forestry Division, Ministry of Agriculture |
| Ms. Ivy Bain | Ministry of Finance |
| Ms. Allyson Francis | Department of International Trade, Ministry of Foreign Affairs |

In addition, a small Committee was formed to review and analyse the draft report. The Committee was charged with the responsibility to ensure the correctness of the inputs.

The Committee members were as follows:

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| Dr. Spencer Thomas | - Coordinator |
| Dr. Guido Marcelle | - Agriculture |
| Mr. Cecil Frederick | - Physical Planning |
| Ms. Lima Frederick | - Tourism |
| Mr. John Auguste | - Energy / Climate Change |
| Mr. Allan Joseph | - Forestry and national Parks Department |
| Mr. James Finlay | - Private consultant / Marine |
| Mr. Paul Phillip | - Fisheries |
| Mr. Rickie Morain | - Planning and Development |
| Mr. Oliver Joseph | - Trade |
| Ms. Ivy Bain | - Administrative Assistant |

Please provide information on any particular circumstances in your country that are relevant to understanding the answers to the questions in this report

The State of Grenada includes the islands of Carriacou and Petit Martinique and several uninhabited islands. Grenada is populated by 101,000 persons within a 340 km² area. The population is mainly concentrated along the coasts which covers about 150 km² area. Grenada is classified as a Small Island Developing State with a per capita GDP (2001) of US \$4,300.

The services sector including tourism and tourism related activities constitute the corner stone of economic activities. The agricultural sector is in a state of decline but its contribution to GDP, income and employment in the rural economy is significant. Coastal activities are significant. The island is prone to natural disasters including hurricanes and storms, flooding and volcanic activity. There is an active undersea volcano Kick em' Jenny located between the main islands of Grenada and Carriacou.

The Government is committed to the objectives of the Biodiversity Convention and has signed and ratified the Protocol and is instituting systems for the effective management of the islands' biodiversity. Grenada has completed the National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan and has completed and submitted the First National Report to the Conference of Parties.

The COP has established programmes of work that respond to a number of Articles. Please identify the relative priority accorded to each theme and the adequacy of resources. This will allow subsequent information on implementation of each Article to be put into context. There are other questions on implementation of the programmes of work at the end of these guidelines.

Inland water ecosystems

| | |
|--|---|
| 1. What is the relative priority for implementation of this work programme in your country? | |
| a) High | |
| b) Medium | X |
| c) Low | |
| d) Not relevant | |
| 2. To what extent are the resources available adequate for meeting the obligations and recommendations made? | |
| a) Good | |
| b) Adequate | |
| c) Limiting | |
| d) Severely limiting | X |

Marine and coastal biological diversity

| | |
|--|---|
| 3. What is the relative priority for implementation of this work programme in your country? | |
| a) High | X |
| b) Medium | |
| c) Low | |
| d) Not relevant | |
| 4. To what extent are the resources available adequate for meeting the obligations and recommendations made? | |
| a) Good | |
| b) Adequate | |
| c) Limiting | X |
| d) Severely limiting | |

Agricultural biological diversity

| | |
|---|---|
| 5. What is the relative priority for implementation of this work programme in your country? | |
| a) High | X |
| b) Medium | |
| c) Low | |
| d) Not relevant | |

| | |
|--|---|
| 6. To what extent are the resources available adequate for meeting the obligations and recommendations made? | |
| a) Good | |
| b) Adequate | |
| c) Limiting | X |
| d) Severely limiting | |

Forest biological diversity

| | |
|--|---|
| 7. What is the relative priority for implementation of this work programme in your country? | |
| a) High | X |
| b) Medium | |
| c) Low | |
| d) Not relevant | |
| 8. To what extent are the resources available adequate for meeting the obligations and recommendations made? | |
| a) Good | |
| b) Adequate | |
| c) Limiting | X |
| d) Severely limiting | |

Biological diversity of dry and sub-humid lands

| | |
|---|---|
| 9. What is the relative priority for implementation of this work programme in your country? | |
| a) High | |
| b) Medium | |
| c) Low | X |
| d) Not relevant | |
| 10. To what extent are the resources available adequate for meeting the obligations and recommendations made? | |
| a) Good | |
| b) Adequate | |
| c) Limiting | X |
| d) Severely limiting | |

Further comments on work programmes and priorities

The institutional arrangements for implementation of the work programme lacks coherence due to inadequate collaboration and cooperation mechanisms among the various stakeholders. Whereas the Sustainable Development Council serves to address this concern, to a large extent the programme's implementation suffers from the absence of an integrated structure to manage and plan the activities.

The Ministry of Finance, Industry and Planning serves as the focal point while various activities take place on the level of other ministries and line departments and the NGO community.

The National priorities are set within the framework of the Public Sector Investment Programme and the Medium Term Economic Strategy which are produced by the Ministry of Finance, Industry and Planning.

Article 5 Cooperation

| | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------|---|-------------|---|----------------------|--|
| 11. What is the relative priority afforded to implementation of this Article and the associated decisions by your country? | | | | | | | |
| a) High | | b) Medium | X | c) Low | | | |
| 12. To what extent are the resources available adequate for meeting the obligations and recommendations made? | | | | | | | |
| a) Good | | b) Adequate | | c) Limiting | X | d) Severely limiting | |
| Further comments on relative priority and on availability of resources | | | | | | | |
| <p>The Government of Grenada is fully committed to enhance cooperation with other parties on a sub-regional, regional and international basis. In this regard, Grenada is a signatory to the St. George's Declaration of Principles for Environmental Sustainability and the Environmental Charter in the OECS.</p> <p>Grenada takes part in the wider CARICOM initiatives for environmental management and cooperates on an international level especially as it relates to capacity building activities for the implementation of the obligations of various conventions. Grenada is committed to cooperation among Small Islands Developing States.</p> <p>There is a definite inadequacy of national capacity (finance and human) in the area of international cooperation.</p> | | | | | | | |
| 13. Is your country actively cooperating with other Parties in respect of areas beyond national jurisdiction for the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity? | | | | | | | |
| a) bilateral cooperation (please give details below) | | | | | | X | |
| b) international programmes (please give details below) | | | | | | X | |
| c) international agreements (please give details below) | | | | | | X | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • OECS Initiatives • CARICOM Initiatives • SIDS Initiatives (Barbados Plan of Action) | | | | | | | |

Decision IV/4. Status and trends of the biological diversity of inland water ecosystems and options for conservation and sustainable use

| | |
|--|---|
| 14. Has your country developed effective cooperation for the sustainable management of transboundary watersheds, catchments, river basins and migratory species through bilateral and multilateral agreements? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes - limited extent (please give details below) | |
| c) yes - significant extent (please give details below) | |
| d) not applicable | |

Decision IV/15. The relationship of the CBD with the CSD and biodiversity-related conventions, other international agreements, institutions and processes or relevance

| | |
|--|---|
| 15. Has your country developed management practices for transboundary protected areas? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes - limited extent (please give details below) | |
| c) yes - significant extent (please give details below) | |
| d) not relevant | |

Decision V/21. Co-operation with other bodies

| | |
|--|---|
| 16. Has your country collaborated with the International Biodiversity Observation Year of DIVERSITAS, and ensured complementarity with the initiative foreseen to be undertaken by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization and the Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity to increase scientific knowledge and public awareness of the crucial role of biodiversity for sustainable development? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) to a limited extent | |
| c) to a significant extent | |

Decision V/27. Contribution of the Convention on Biological Diversity to the ten-year review of progress achieved since the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development

| | |
|--|---|
| 17. Is your country planning to highlight and emphasize biological diversity considerations in its contribution to the ten-year review of progress since the Earth Summit? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |

Further comments on implementation of this Article

The progress made by Grenada on biodiversity conservation will be a key feature of the National Report which will be submitted for inclusion in the OECS Sub-regional Programme of Activities aimed at heightened awareness on issues relating to consideration and sustainable use of island biodiversity. Cooperation among other Conventions are critical in the context of island ecosystems, hence initiatives to strengthen cooperation and collaborative mechanism between the Conventions that are signed and ratified by Government is vigorously pursued. In fact within Grenada many individuals / institutions are integrally involved in aspects of management of the various Conventions.

Article 6 General measures for conservation and sustainable use

| | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 18. What is the relative priority afforded to implementation of this Article and the associated decisions by your country? | | | | | | | |
| a) High | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | b) Medium | <input type="checkbox"/> | c) Low | <input type="checkbox"/> | | |
| 19. To what extent are the resources available adequate for meeting the obligations and recommendations made? | | | | | | | |
| a) Good | <input type="checkbox"/> | b) Adequate | <input type="checkbox"/> | c) Limiting | <input type="checkbox"/> | d) Severely limiting | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Further comments on relative priority and on availability of resources | | | | | | | |
| <p>The National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan accorded a high priority to measures for conservation and sustainable use. Activities under this article are severely limited due to the lack of domestic resources for Project implementation. Significant external resources are required. It is envisaged that activities under this article will be drawn from externally funded Project in the short to medium term.</p> | | | | | | | |

| | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| 20. What is the status of your national biodiversity strategy (6a)? | |
| a) none | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) early stages of development | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) advanced stages of development | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) completed ₁ | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e) completed and adopted ₂ | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| f) reports on implementation available | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 21. What is the status of your national biodiversity action plan (6a)? | |
| a) none | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) early stages of development | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) advanced stages of development | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) completed ₂ | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e) completed and adopted ₂ | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| f) reports on implementation available | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 22. Do your national strategies and action plans cover all articles of the Convention (6a)? | |
| a) some articles only | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| b) most articles | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) all articles | <input type="checkbox"/> |

1/ Please provide information requested at the end of these guidelines.

| | |
|---|---|
| 23. Do your national strategies and action plans cover integration of other sectoral activities (6b)? | |
| a) no | |
| b) some sectors | X |
| c) all major sectors | |
| d) all sectors | |

Decision II/7 and Decision III/9 Consideration of Articles 6 and 8

| | |
|--|------|
| 24. Is action being taken to exchange information and share experience on the national action planning process with other Contracting Parties? | |
| a) little or no action | |
| b) sharing of strategies, plans and/or case-studies | X |
| c) regional meetings | X |
| 25. Do all of your country's strategies and action plans include an international cooperation component? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |
| 26. Are your country's strategies and action plans coordinated with those of neighbouring countries? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) bilateral/multilateral discussions under way | |
| c) coordinated in some areas/themes | |
| d) fully coordinated | |
| e) not applicable | |
| 27. Has your country set measurable targets within its strategies and action plans? | |
| a) no | |
| b) early stages of development | X |
| c) advanced stages of development | |
| d) programme in place | |
| e) reports on implementation available | |
| If a developing country Party or a Party with economy in transition - | |
| 28. Has your country received support from the financial mechanism for the preparation of its national strategy and action plan? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |
| If yes, which was the Implementing Agency (UNDP/UNEP/World Bank)? | UNDP |

Decisions III/21. Relationship of the Convention with the CSD and biodiversity-related conventions

| | |
|--|---|
| 29. Are the national focal points for the CBD and the competent authorities of the Ramsar Convention, Bonn Convention and CITES cooperating in the implementation of these conventions to avoid duplication? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes - limited extent | X |
| c) yes - significant extent | |

Further comments on implementation of this Article

Grenada completed its National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan through a consultative approach including all stakeholders. The National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan provides a consistent framework for future activities.

The Sustainable Development Council will constitute a forum for dialogue to minimize duplication and to function as a Clearing House for ideas relating to conservation and sustainable use. The Government may wish to establish a body of focal points to the various Conventions to report on cooperation issues among them. Sustainability of activities under this article is in question based on the levels and timing of external resources.

In addition, there are other Conventions which will assist in Conserving biological diversity. E.g. The RAMSAR Convention on wetlands includes the Conservation of Coastal Habitat (Mangroves, Sea grasses and Coral reefs) and provides institutional as well as financial support to Signatories.

Article 7 Identification and monitoring

| | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------|---|-------------|---|----------------------|--|
| 30. What is the relative priority afforded to implementation of this Article and the associated decisions by your country? | | | | | | | |
| a) High | | b) Medium | X | c) Low | | | |
| 31. To what extent are the resources available adequate for meeting the obligations and recommendations made? | | | | | | | |
| a) Good | | b) Adequate | | c) Limiting | X | d) Severely limiting | |
| Further comments on relative priority and on availability of resources | | | | | | | |
| <p>Capacity constraints limits Grenada's response to this Article. Monitoring indicators have been identified in the National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan but no institution have been created. More often this issue is given greater prominence after the fact when it is very obvious of the loss of the particular biological resource.</p> | | | | | | | |

| | |
|--|---|
| 32. Does your country have an ongoing inventory programme at species level (7a)? | |
| a) minimal activity | |
| b) for key groups (such as threatened or endemic species) or indicators | |
| c) for a range of major groups | X |
| d) for a comprehensive range of species | |
| 33. Does your country have an ongoing inventory programme at ecosystem level (7a)? | |
| a) minimal activity | |
| b) for ecosystems of particular interest only | X |
| c) for major ecosystems | |
| d) for a comprehensive range of ecosystems | |
| 34. Does your country have an ongoing inventory programme at genetic level (7a)? | |
| a) minimal activity | |
| b) minor programme in some sectors | X |
| c) major programme in some sectors | |
| d) major programme in all relevant sectors | |
| 35. Does your country have ongoing monitoring programmes at species level (7a)? | |
| a) minimal activity | |
| b) for key groups (such as threatened or endemic species) or indicators | X |
| c) for a range of major groups | |
| d) for a comprehensive range of species | |

| | |
|--|---|
| 36. Does your country have ongoing monitoring programmes at ecosystem level (7b)? | |
| a) minimal activity | |
| b) for ecosystems of particular interest only | X |
| c) for major ecosystems | |
| d) for a comprehensive range of ecosystems | |
| 37. Does your country have ongoing monitoring programmes at genetic level (7b)? | |
| a) minimal activity | X |
| b) minor programme in some sectors | |
| c) major programme in some sectors | |
| d) major programme in all relevant sectors | |
| 38. Has your country identified activities with adverse affects on biodiversity (7c)? | |
| a) limited understanding | |
| b) threats well known in some areas, not in others | |
| c) most threats known, some gaps in knowledge | X |
| d) comprehensive understanding | |
| e) reports available | |
| 39. Is your country monitoring these activities and their effects (7c)? | |
| a) no | |
| b) early stages of programme development | X |
| c) advanced stages of programme development | |
| d) programme in place | |
| e) reports on implementation available | |
| 40. Does your country coordinate information collection and management at the national level (7d)? | |
| a) no | |
| b) early stages of programme development | X |
| c) advanced stages of programme development | |
| d) programme in place | |
| e) reports on implementation available | |

Decision III/10 Identification, monitoring and assessment

| | |
|--|---|
| 41. Has your country identified national indicators of biodiversity? | |
| a) no | |
| b) assessment of potential indicators underway | X |
| c) indicators identified (if so, please describe below) | |
| 42. Is your country using rapid assessment and remote sensing techniques? | |
| a) no | |
| b) assessing opportunities | |
| c) yes, to a limited extent | X |
| d) yes, to a major extent | |
| e) reports on implementation available | |
| 43. Has your country adopted a "step-by-step" approach to implementing Article 7 with initial emphasis on identification of biodiversity components (7a) and activities having adverse effects on them (7c)? | |
| a) no | |
| b) not appropriate to national circumstances | |
| c) yes | X |
| 44. Is your country cooperating with other Contracting Parties on pilot projects to demonstrate the use of assessment and indicator methodologies? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes (if so give details below) | |
| 45. Has your country prepared any reports of experience with application of assessment methodologies and made these available to other Contracting Parties? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |
| 46. Is your country seeking to make taxonomic information held in its collections more widely available? | |
| a) no relevant collections | |
| b) no action | X |
| c) yes (if so, please give details below) | |

Decision V/7. Identification, monitoring and assessment, and indicators

| | |
|--|---|
| 47. Is your country actively involved in co-operating with other countries in your region in the field of indicators, monitoring and assessment? | |
| a) no | |
| b) limited co-operation | X |
| c) extensive co-operation on some issues | |
| d) extensive co-operation on a wide range of issues | |

| | |
|--|---|
| 48. Has your country made available case studies concerning the development and implementation of assessment, monitoring and indicator programmes? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes - sent to the Secretariat | |
| c) yes - through the national CHM | |
| d) yes - other means (please specify) | |
| 49. Is your country assisting other Parties to increase their capacity to develop indicator and monitoring programmes? | |
| a) no | |
| b) providing training | |
| c) providing direct support | |
| d) sharing experience | X |
| e) other (please describe) | |

Further comments on implementation of this Article

The Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries is responsible for coordination activities under this Article. There are several externally funded Projects to this end and the information shared therefore are made available to the regional and international organisations. Grenada has an active programme to protect endangered species. The National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan provides assessment information, threats to biodiversity and some indications for monitoring.

Capacity constraints limit the effective implementation. There is heightened public awareness in Grenada but the enforcement mechanisms are inadequate.

46: There has been a limited collection of specimens for the Forestry Project. These specimens are held in the UK. Identification is being done only by drawings. The information is to be shared.

Decisions on Taxonomy

**Decision IV/1 Report and recommendations of the third meeting of SBSTTA
[part]**

| | |
|---|---|
| 50. Has your country carried out a national taxonomic needs assessment, and/or held workshops to determine national taxonomic priorities? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) early stages of assessment | |
| c) advanced stages of assessment | |
| d) assessment completed | |
| 51. Has your country developed a national taxonomic action plan? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) early stages of development | |
| c) advanced stages of development | |
| d) action plan in place | |
| e) reports on implementation available | |
| 52. Is your country making available appropriate resources to enhance the availability of taxonomic information? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes, but this does not cover all known needs adequately | |
| c) yes, covering all known needs | |
| 53. Is your country encouraging bilateral and multilateral training and employment opportunities for taxonomists, particularly those dealing with poorly known organisms? | |
| a) no | |
| b) some opportunities | X |
| c) significant opportunities | |
| 54. Is your country investing on a long-term basis in the development of appropriate infrastructure for your national taxonomic collections? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) some investment | |
| c) significant investment | |
| 55. Is your country encouraging partnerships between taxonomic institutions in developed and developing countries? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes - stated policy | |
| c) yes - systematic national programme | |
| 56. Has your country adopted any international agreed levels of collection housing? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) under review | |
| c) being implemented by some collections | |
| d) being implemented by all major collections | |

| | |
|---|---|
| 57. Has your country provided training programmes in taxonomy? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) some | |
| c) many | |
| 58. Has your country reported on measures adopted to strengthen national capacity in taxonomy, to designate national reference centres, and to make information housed in collections available to countries of origin? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes - in the previous national report | |
| c) yes - via the clearing-house mechanism | |
| d) yes - other means (please give details below) | |
| 59. Has your country taken steps to ensure that institutions responsible for biological diversity inventories and taxonomic activities are financially and administratively stable? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) under review | |
| c) yes for some institutions | |
| d) yes for all major institutions | |
| 60. Has your country assisted taxonomic institutions to establish consortia to conduct regional projects? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) under review | |
| c) yes - limited extent | |
| d) yes - significant extent | |
| 61. Has your country given special attention to international funding of fellowships for specialist training abroad or for attracting international experts to national or regional courses? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) under review | |
| c) yes - limited extent | |
| c) yes - significant extent | |
| 62. Has your country provided programmes for re-training of qualified professionals moving into taxonomy-related fields? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) some | |
| c) many | |

**Decision V/9. Global Taxonomy Initiative: Implementation and further
advance of the Suggestions for Action**

| | |
|--|---|
| 63. Has your country identified its information requirements in the area of taxonomy, and assessed its national capacity to meet these requirements? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) basic assessment | |
| c) thorough assessment | |
| 64. Has your country established or consolidated taxonomic reference centres? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |
| 65. Has your country worked to increase its capacity in the area of taxonomic research? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |
| 66. Has your country communicated information on programmes, projects and initiatives for consideration as pilot projects under the Global Taxonomy Initiative to the Executive Secretary? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |
| 67. Has your country designated a national Global Taxonomy Initiative focal point linked to other national focal points? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |
| 68. Has your country participated in the development of regional networks to facilitate information-sharing for the Global Taxonomy Initiative? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |
| <i>If a developing country Party or Party with economy in transition -</i> | |
| 69. Has your country sought resources through the financial mechanism for the priority actions identified in the decision? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) applied for unsuccessfully | |
| c) applied for successfully | |

Further comments on implementation of these decisions

Grenada has not placed emphasis on Taxonomy although the issues are of national importance. The national capacities for Taxonomy are severely limited. However some taxonomic work was done in the Forestry Project and in the Fisheries Department on fresh water flora and fauna. Very little information exists nationally on taxonomy.

Those studies are usually done on species (flora and fauna) of greater economic values.

55: PhD Thesis was done by student from a Swiss University on the "Ferns of Grenada."

Article 8 In situ conservation [excluding Articles 8h and 8j]

| | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------|---|-------------|--|----------------------|---|
| 70. What is the relative priority afforded to implementation of this Article and the associated decisions by your country? | | | | | | | |
| a) High | | b) Medium | X | c) Low | | | |
| 71. To what extent are the resources available adequate for meeting the obligations and recommendations made? | | | | | | | |
| a) Good | | b) Adequate | | c) Limiting | | d) Severely limiting | X |
| Further comments on relative priority and on availability of resources | | | | | | | |
| <p>The importance of the in-situ conservation is detailed in the National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan. Government has instituted limited activities in this area. Capacity limitations are severe.</p> | | | | | | | |

| | |
|---|---|
| 72. Has your country established a system of protected areas which aims to conserve biological diversity (8a)? | |
| a) system under development | X |
| b) national review of protected areas coverage available | |
| c) national protected area systems plan in place | |
| d) relatively complete system in place | |
| 73. Are there nationally adopted guidelines for the selection, establishment and management of protected areas (8b)? | |
| a) no | |
| b) no, under development | X |
| c) yes | |
| d) yes, undergoing review and extension | |
| 74. Does your country regulate or manage biological resources important for the conservation of biological diversity with a view to ensuring their conservation and sustainable use (8c)? | |
| a) no | |
| b) early stages of development | |
| c) advanced stages of development | |
| d) programme or policy in place | X |
| e) reports on implementation available | |

| | |
|---|---|
| 75. Has your country undertaken measures that promote the protection of ecosystems, natural habitats and the maintenance of viable populations of species in natural surroundings (8d)? | |
| a) no measures | |
| b) some measures in place | X |
| c) potential measures under review | |
| d) reasonably comprehensive measures in place | |
| 76. Has your country undertaken measures that promote environmentally sound and sustainable development in areas adjacent to protected areas (8e)? | |
| a) no measures | |
| b) some measures in place | X |
| c) potential measures under review | |
| d) reasonably comprehensive measures in place | |
| 77. Has your country undertaken measures to rehabilitate and restore degraded ecosystems (8f)? | |
| a) no measures | |
| b) some measures in place | X |
| c) potential measures under review | |
| d) comprehensive measures in place | |
| 78. Has your country undertaken measures to promote the recovery of threatened species (8f)? | |
| a) no measures | |
| b) some measures in place | X |
| c) potential measures under review | |
| d) comprehensive measures in place | |
| 79. Has your country undertaken measures to regulate, manage or control the risks associated with the use and release of living modified organisms resulting from biotechnology (8g)? | |
| a) no measures | |
| b) some measures in place | X |
| c) potential measures under review | |
| d) comprehensive measures in place | |
| 80. Has your country made attempts to provide the conditions needed for compatibility between present uses and the conservation of biological diversity and sustainable use of its components (8i)? | |
| a) no | |
| b) early stages of development | X |
| c) advanced stages of development | |
| d) programme or policy in place | |
| e) reports on implementation available | |

| | |
|--|---|
| 81. Has your country developed and maintained the necessary legislation and/or other regulatory provisions for the protection of threatened species and populations (8k)? | |
| a) no | |
| b) early stages of development | X |
| c) advanced stages of development | |
| d) legislation or other measures in place | |
| 82. Does your country regulate or manage processes and categories of activities identified under Article 7 as having significant adverse effects on biological diversity (8l)? | |
| a) no | |
| b) under review | |
| c) yes, to a limited extent | X |
| d) yes, to a significant extent | |
| <i>If a developed country Party -</i> | |
| 83. Does your country cooperate in providing financial and other support for <i>in-situ</i> conservation particularly to developing countries (8m)? | |
| <i>If a developing country Party or Party with economy in transition -</i> | |
| 84. Does your country receive financial and other support for <i>in situ</i> conservation (8m)? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes (if so, please give details below) | |

Decision II/7 Consideration of Articles 6 and 8 of the Convention

| | |
|---|---|
| 85. Is action being taken to share information and experience on implementation of this Article with other Contracting Parties? | |
| a) little or no action | |
| b) sharing of written materials and/or case-studies | |
| c) regional meetings | X |

Further comments on implementation of this Article

The Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries have embarked on several initiatives for protection of ecosystems, habitats and endangered species. Government had enunciated several policy statements in this regard.

The Ministry of Tourism have been collaborating with various Ministries relating to activities in protected areas.

Activities under this Article are driven by externally funded Projects. Significant capacity constraints exists.

Local interest in these areas are usually driven by economic importance and in many cases this is overruled by economics.

E.g. Woburn Bay was declared an MPA, not only because of its scenic beauty (aesthetics) but because it possesses important habitat (mangroves, sea grass, coral reefs) and serves as a nursery and grow out area for economic fish species (although not much fishing occurs in the area).

At the same time, other activities of supposedly by higher economic value is also present (marinas, resorts, desalination plants etc).

Increasingly, whereas major developments are proposed, EIA's are required prior to development approval / denial.

Draft National Physical Development Plan - land use zoning will help in the protection of conservation areas.

Physical Planning and Development Control Act Part IV of the Act specifies the activities that constitute development of land and makes all such activities subject to development control. Applications for development are made to the Authority and in many, users must be the subject of an EIA.

Part IV of the Act requires the Authority to protect the natural and cultural heritage of Grenada by listing buildings, making preservation orders, declaring heritage protection areas and designating special natural areas.

72: Various pieces of legislation to protect some designated areas have been enacted.

Carriacou and Petite Martinique Integrated Physical and Environmental Management Plan (March 1998) approved by Cabinet.

Article 8h Alien species

| | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 86. What is the relative priority afforded to implementation of this Article and the associated decisions by your country? | | | | | | | |
| a) High | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | b) Medium | <input type="checkbox"/> | c) Low | <input type="checkbox"/> | | |
| 87. To what extent are the resources available adequate for meeting the obligations and recommendations made? | | | | | | | |
| a) Good | <input type="checkbox"/> | b) Adequate | <input type="checkbox"/> | c) Limiting | <input type="checkbox"/> | d) Severely limiting | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Further comments on relative priority and on availability of resources | | | | | | | |
| <p>High priority is placed on alien species. Grenada's biodiversity and productivity has been severely affected by the Pink Mealy bug. Eradication efforts are ongoing. Significant financial resources are needed to assist the implementation programme. There are many instances of alien invasion in Grenada's history that wrought havoc on the biodiversity and economic output. E.g. Mongoose and Fruit fly in addition to the Pink Mealy bug.</p> <p>No significant problems have been experienced with invasive plant species.</p> | | | | | | | |

| | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| 88. Has your country identified alien species introduced? | |
| a) no | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) only major species of concern | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| c) only new or recent introductions | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) a comprehensive system tracks new introductions | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e) a comprehensive system tracks all known introductions | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 89. Has your country assessed the risks posed to ecosystems, habitats or species by the introduction of these alien species? | |
| a) no | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) only some alien species of concern have been assessed | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| c) most alien species have been assessed | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 90. Has your country undertaken measures to prevent the introduction of, control or eradicate those alien species which threaten ecosystems, habitats or species? | |
| a) no measures | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) some measures in place | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| c) potential measures under review | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) comprehensive measures in place | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Decision IV/1 Report and recommendations of the third meeting of SBSTTA

| | |
|--|---|
| 91. Is your country collaborating in the development of projects at national, regional, sub-regional and international levels to address the issue of alien species? | |
| a) little or no action | |
| b) discussion on potential projects under way | |
| c) active development of new projects | X |
| 92. Does your national strategy and action plan address the issue of alien species? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes - limited extent | X |
| c) yes - significant extent | |

Decision V/8. Alien species that threaten ecosystems, habitats or species

| | |
|--|---|
| 93. Is your country applying the interim guiding principles for prevention, introduction and mitigation of impacts of alien species in the context of activities aimed at implementing article 8(h) of the Convention, and in the various sectors? | |
| a) no | |
| b) under consideration | X |
| c) limited implementation in some sectors | |
| d) extensive implementation in some sectors | |
| e) extensive implementation in most sectors | |
| 94. Has your country submitted case-studies to the Executive Secretary focusing on thematic assessments? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) in preparation | |
| c) yes | |
| 95. Has your country submitted written comments on the interim guiding principles to the Executive Secretary? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |
| 96. Has your country given priority to the development and implementation of alien invasive species strategies and action plans? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |
| 97. In dealing with the issue of invasive species, has your country developed or involved itself in mechanisms for international co-operation, including the exchange of best practices? | |
| a) no | |
| b) trans-boundary co-operation | X |
| c) regional co-operation | X |
| d) multilateral co-operation | X |

| | |
|--|---|
| 98. Is your country giving priority attention to geographically and evolutionarily isolated ecosystems in its work on alien invasive species? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |
| 99. Is your country using the ecosystem approach and precautionary and bio-geographical approaches as appropriate in its work on alien invasive species? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |
| 100. Has your country developed effective education, training and public-awareness measures concerning the issue of alien species? | |
| a) no | |
| b) some initiatives | X |
| c) many initiatives | |
| 101. Is your country making available the information which it holds on alien species through the CHM? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) some information | |
| c) all available information | |
| d) information available through other channels (please specify) | |
| 102. Is your country providing support to enable the Global Invasive Species Programme to fulfil the tasks outlined in the decision and its annexes? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) limited support | |
| c) substantial support | |

Further comments on implementation of this Article

- Capacity limitations
- Financial resource limitations

Article 8j Traditional knowledge and related provisions

| | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------|--|-------------|---|
| 103. What is the relative priority afforded to implementation of this Article and the associated decisions by your country? | | | | | |
| a) High | | b) Medium | | c) Low | X |
| 104. To what extent are the resources available adequate for meeting the obligations and recommendations made? | | | | | |
| a) Good | | b) Adequate | | c) Limiting | X |
| d) Severely limiting | | | | | |
| Further comments on relative priority and on availability of resources | | | | | |
| Some traditional knowledge is applied to management in the fisheries sector. | | | | | |

| | |
|---|---|
| 105. Has your country undertaken measures to ensure that the knowledge, innovations and practices of indigenous and local communities embodying traditional lifestyles relevant for the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity are respected, preserved and maintained? | |
| a) no measures | X |
| b) some measures in place | |
| c) potential measures under review | |
| d) comprehensive measures in place | |
| 106. Is your country working to encourage the equitable sharing of benefits arising from the utilization of such knowledge, innovations and practices? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) early stages of development | |
| c) advanced stages of development | |
| d) programme or policy in place | |

Decision III/4 and Decision IV/9. Implementation of Article 8(j)

| | |
|---|---|
| 107. Has your country developed national legislation and corresponding strategies for the implementation of Article 8(j)? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) early stages of development | |
| c) advanced stages of development | |
| d) legislation or other measures in place | |

| | |
|--|---|
| 108. Has your country supplied information on the implementation of Article 8(j) to other Contracting Parties through media such as the national report? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes - previous national report | |
| c) yes - CHM | |
| d) yes - other means (please give details below) | |
| 109. Has your country submitted case-studies to the Executive Secretary on measures taken to develop and implement the Convention's provisions relating to indigenous and local communities? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |
| 110. Is your country participating in appropriate working groups and meetings? | |
| a) none | X |
| b) some | |
| c) all | |
| 111. Is your country facilitating the active participation of representatives of indigenous and local communities in these working groups and meetings? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |

Decision V/16. Article 8(j) and related provisions

| | |
|---|---|
| 112. Has your country reviewed the programme of work specified in the annex to the decision, and identified how to implement those tasks appropriate to national circumstances? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) under review | |
| c) yes (please provide details) | |
| 113. Is your country integrating such tasks into its ongoing programmes, taking into account the identified collaboration opportunities? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) not appropriate to national circumstances | |
| c) yes - to a limited extent | |
| d) yes - to a significant extent | |
| 114. Is your country taking full account of existing instruments, guidelines, codes and other relevant activities in the implementation of the programme of work? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) not appropriate to national circumstances | |
| c) yes - to a limited extent | |
| d) yes - to a significant extent | |

| | |
|---|---|
| 115. Has your country provided appropriate financial support for the implementation of the programme of work? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) not appropriate to national circumstances | |
| c) yes - to a limited extent | |
| d) yes - to a significant extent | |
| 116. Has your country fully incorporated women and women's organizations in the activities undertaken to implement the programme of work contained in the annex to the decision and other relevant activities under the Convention? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |
| 117. Has your country taken measures to facilitate the full and effective participation of indigenous and local communities in the implementation of the Convention? | |
| a) no | |
| b) not appropriate to national circumstances | |
| c) yes - to a limited extent | X |
| d) yes - to a significant extent | |
| 118. Has your country provided case studies on methods and approaches concerning the preservation and sharing of traditional knowledge, and the control of that information by indigenous and local communities? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) not relevant | |
| c) yes - sent to the Secretariat | |
| d) yes - through the national CHM | |
| e) yes - available through other means (please specify) | |
| 119. Does your country exchange information and share experiences regarding national legislation and other measures for the protection of the knowledge, innovations and practices of indigenous and local communities? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) not relevant | |
| c) yes - through the CHM | |
| d) yes - with specific countries | |
| e) yes - available through other means (please specify) | |
| 120. Has your country taken measures to promote the conservation and maintenance of knowledge, innovations, and practices of indigenous and local communities? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) not relevant | |
| c) some measures | |
| d) extensive measures | |

| | |
|---|---|
| 121. Has your country supported the development of registers of traditional knowledge, innovations and practices of indigenous and local communities, in collaboration with these communities? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) not relevant | |
| c) development in progress | |
| d) register fully developed | |
| 122. Have representatives of indigenous and local community organizations participated in your official delegation to meetings held under the Convention on Biological Diversity? | |
| a) not relevant | |
| b) not appropriate | X |
| c) yes | |
| 123. Is your country assisting the Secretariat to fully utilize the clearing-house mechanism to co-operate closely with indigenous and local communities to explore ways that enable them to make informed decisions concerning release of their traditional knowledge? | |
| a) no | |
| b) awaiting information on how to proceed | X |
| c) yes | |
| 124. Has your country identified resources for funding the activities identified in the decision? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) not relevant | |
| c) partly | |
| d) fully | |

Further comments on implementation of this Article

There are no indigenous communities in Grenada. Application of this Article is however relevant for local or rural communities and their embodying traditional lifestyles.

Article 9 Ex situ conservation

| | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------|---|-------------|---|
| 125. What is the relative priority afforded to implementation of this Article and the associated decisions by your country? | | | | | |
| a) High | | b) Medium | X | c) Low | |
| 126. To what extent are the resources available adequate for meeting the obligations and recommendations made? | | | | | |
| a) Good | | b) Adequate | | c) Limiting | X |
| d) Severely limiting | | | | | |
| Further comments on relative priority and on availability of resources | | | | | |
| Direct budgeting allocations are not available for implementation of this Article but there are some externally funded Projects. | | | | | |

| | |
|---|---|
| 127. Has your country adopted measures for the <i>ex situ</i> conservation of components of biological diversity <i>native</i> to your country (9a)? | |
| a) no measures | |
| b) some measures in place | X |
| c) potential measures under review | |
| d) comprehensive measures in place | |
| 128. Has your country adopted measures for the <i>ex situ</i> conservation of components of biological diversity <i>originating outside</i> your country (9a)? | |
| a) no measures | |
| b) some measures in place | X |
| c) potential measures under review | |
| d) comprehensive measures in place | |
| 129. If the answer to the previous question was yes, is this being done in active collaboration with organizations in the other countries (9a)? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |
| 130. Has your country established and maintained facilities for the <i>ex situ</i> conservation of and research on plants, animals and micro-organisms that represent genetic resources <i>native</i> to your country (9b)? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes - limited extent | X |
| c) yes - significant extent | |
| 131. Has your country established and maintained facilities for the <i>ex situ</i> conservation of and research on plants, animals and micro-organisms that represent genetic resources <i>originating elsewhere</i> (9b)? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes - limited extent | X |
| c) yes - significant extent | |

| | |
|--|---|
| 132. If the answer to the previous question was yes, is this being done in active collaboration with organizations in the other countries (9a)? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |
| 133. Has your country adopted measures for the reintroduction of threatened species into their natural habitats under appropriate conditions (9c)? | |
| a) no measures | |
| b) some measures in place | X |
| c) potential measures under review | |
| d) comprehensive measures in place | |
| 134. Has your country taken measures to regulate and manage the collection of biological resources from natural habitats for <i>ex situ</i> conservation purposes so as not to threaten ecosystems and <i>in situ</i> populations of species (9d)? | |
| a) no measures | |
| b) some measures in place | X |
| c) potential measures under review | |
| d) comprehensive measures in place | |
| <i>If a developed country Party -</i> | |
| 135. Has your country cooperated in providing financial and other support for <i>ex situ</i> conservation and in the establishment and maintenance of <i>ex situ</i> conservation facilities in developing countries (9e)? | |
| <i>If a developing country Party or Party with economy in transition -</i> | |
| 136. Has your country received financial and other support for <i>ex situ</i> conservation and in the establishment and maintenance of <i>ex situ</i> conservation facilities (9e)? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |

Further comments on implementation of this Article

The Grenada Dove (*Lepotila wellsi*)

Active programme established for preservation of this species.

Some programmes in place.

The local moratorium on leatherback turtles (*Dermochelys Coriacea*) can be considered measures for the ex-situ conservation of components of biological diversity originating outside the country.

Article 10 Sustainable use of components of biological diversity

| | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 137. What is the relative priority afforded to implementation of this Article and the associated decisions by your country? | | | | | | | |
| a) High | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | b) Medium | <input type="checkbox"/> | c) Low | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 138. To what extent are the resources available adequate for meeting the obligations and recommendations made? | | | | | | | |
| a) Good | <input type="checkbox"/> | b) Adequate | <input type="checkbox"/> | c) Limiting | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | d) Severely limiting | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Further comments on relative priority and on availability of resources | | | | | | | |
| <p>This has been accorded high priority in the National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan. Several Projects have been conceptualised.</p> <p>Several Projects awaiting funding.</p> | | | | | | | |

| | |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| 139. Has your country integrated consideration of the conservation and sustainable use of biological resources into national decision making (10a)? | |
| a) no | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) early stages of development | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| c) advanced stages of development | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) programme or policy in place | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e) review of implementation available | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 140. Has your country adopted measures relating to the use of biological resources that avoid or minimize adverse impacts on biological diversity (10b)? | |
| a) no measures | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) some measures in place | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| c) potential measures under review | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) comprehensive measures in place | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 141. Has your country put in place measures that protect and encourage customary use of biological resources that is compatible with conservation or sustainable use requirements (10c)? | |
| a) no measures | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) some measures in place | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| c) potential measures under review | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) comprehensive measures in place | <input type="checkbox"/> |

| | |
|--|---|
| 142. Has your country put in place measures that help local populations develop and implement remedial action in degraded areas where biological diversity has been reduced (10d)? | |
| a) no measures | |
| b) some measures in place | X |
| c) potential measures under review | |
| d) comprehensive measures in place | |
| 143. Does your country actively encourage cooperation between government authorities and the private sector in developing methods for sustainable use of biological diversity (10e)? | |
| a) no | |
| b) early stages of development | X |
| c) advanced stages of development | |
| d) programme or policy in place | |
| e) review of implementation available | |

Decisions IV/15. Relationship of the Convention with the Commission on Sustainable Development and biodiversity-related conventions

| | |
|--|---|
| 144. Has your country submitted to the Secretariat information on tourism and its impacts on biological diversity, and efforts to effectively plan and manage tourism? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes - previous national report | |
| c) yes - case-studies | |
| d) yes - other means (please give details below) | |
| 145. Has your country submitted to the Secretariat information on biodiversity-related activities of the CSD (such as SIDS, oceans, seas and freshwater resources, consumption and production patterns)? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes - previous national report | |
| c) yes - correspondence | |
| d) yes - other means (please give details below) | |

Decision V/24. Sustainable use as a cross-cutting issue

| | |
|--|---|
| 146. Has your country identified indicators and incentive measures for sectors relevant to the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity? | |
| a) no | |
| b) assessment of potential indicators underway | X |
| c) indicators identified (if so, please describe below) | |

| | |
|---|---|
| 147. Has your country assisted other Parties to increase their capacity to implement sustainable-use practices, programmes and policies at regional, national and local levels, especially in pursuit of poverty alleviation? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) not relevant | |
| c) to a limited extent | |
| d) to a significant extent (please provide details) | |
| 148. Has your country developed mechanisms to involve the private sector and indigenous and local communities in initiatives on sustainable use, and in mechanisms to ensure that indigenous and local communities benefit from such sustainable use? | |
| a) no | |
| b) mechanisms under development | X |
| c) mechanisms in place (please describe) | |
| 149. Has your country identified areas for conservation that would benefit through the sustainable use of biological diversity and communicated this information to the Executive Secretary? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |

Decision V/25. Biological diversity and tourism

| | |
|--|---|
| 150. Has your country based its policies, programmes and activities in the field of sustainable tourism on an assessment of the inter-linkages between tourism and biological diversity? | |
| a) no | |
| b) to a limited extent | X |
| c) to a significant extent | |
| 151. <i>Has your country submitted case-studies on tourism as an example of the sustainable use of biological diversity to the Executive Secretary?</i> | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |
| 152. Has your country undertaken activities relevant to biodiversity and tourism in support of the International Year of Ecotourism? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |
| 153. Has your country undertaken activities relevant to biodiversity and tourism in support of the International Year of Mountains? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |
| 154. Has your country undertaken activities relevant to biodiversity and tourism in support of the International Coral Reef Initiative? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |

| | |
|---|---|
| 155. Has your country established enabling policies and legal frameworks to complement voluntary efforts for the effective implementation of sustainable tourism? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) to a limited extent | |
| c) to a significant extent (please describe) | |

Further comments on implementation of this Article

The Government of Grenada has elaborated a Draft National Physical Development Plan, a National Master Plan for Tourism development and a Forestry Policy and Action Plan incorporating protected areas. The linkages between tourism and biodiversity have been analysed therein. Funding is required to implement the action plans contained in both documents.

In the area of fisheries - fisheries conservation measures include

- The Fisheries Act (Act #15 of 1996)
- Fisheries Regulations (SRO #9 of 1987)
- Fisheries (Amendment) Regulations (SRO #24 of 1996 and SRO #2 of 2002) as well as the Fisheries (MPA) Regulations (SRO #78 of 2001) are all sustainable in nature.

Article 11 Incentive measures

| | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------|---|-------------|---|
| 156. What is the relative priority afforded to implementation of this Article and the associated decisions by your country? | | | | | |
| a) High | | b) Medium | X | c) Low | |
| 157. To what extent are the resources available adequate for meeting the obligations and recommendations made? | | | | | |
| a) Good | | b) Adequate | | c) Limiting | X |
| d) Severely limiting | | | | | |
| Further comments on relative priority and on availability of resources | | | | | |
| No funding has been identified for implementation of this Article. The country's Medium Term Economic Strategy Plan recognises the need for incentive measures for conservation and sustainable use. | | | | | |

| | |
|---|---|
| 158. Are programmes in place to identify and ensure the adoption of economically and socially sound measures that act as incentives for the conservation and sustainable use of components of biological diversity? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) early stages of development | |
| c) advanced stages of development | |
| d) programmes in place | |
| e) review of implementation available | |
| 159. Do these incentives, and the programmes to identify them and ensure their adoption, cover the full range of sectoral activities? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) some sectors | |
| c) all major sectors | |
| d) all sectors | |

Decision III/18. Incentive measures

| | |
|---|---|
| 160. Has your country reviewed legislation and economic policies to identify and promote incentives for the conservation and sustainable use of components of biological diversity? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) reviews in progress | |
| c) some reviews complete | |
| d) as far as practically possible | |

| | |
|--|---|
| 161. Has your country ensured the development of mechanisms or approaches to ensure adequate incorporation of both market and non-market values of biological diversity into plans, policies and programmes and other relevant areas, <i>inter alia</i> , national accounting systems and investment strategies? | |
| a) no | |
| b) early stages of identifying mechanisms | X |
| c) advanced stages of identifying mechanisms | |
| d) mechanisms in place | |
| e) review of impact of mechanisms available | |
| 162. Has your country developed training and capacity building programmes to implement incentive measures and promote private-sector initiatives? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) planned | |
| c) some | |
| d) many | |
| 163. Has your country incorporated biological diversity considerations into impact assessments as a step in the design and implementation of incentive measures? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |
| 164. Has your country shared experience on incentive measures with other Contracting Parties, including making relevant case-studies available to the Secretariat? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes - previous national report | |
| c) yes - case-studies | |
| d) yes - other means (please give details below) | |

Decision IV/10. Measures for implementing the Convention [part]

| | |
|--|---|
| 165. Is your country actively designing and implementing incentive measures? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) early stages of development | |
| c) advanced stages of development | |
| d) measures in place | |
| e) review of implementation available | |

| | |
|---|---|
| 166. Has your country identified threats to biological diversity and underlying causes of biodiversity loss, including the relevant actors, as a stage in designing incentive measures? | |
| a) no | |
| b) partially reviewed | X |
| c) thoroughly reviewed | |
| d) measures designed based on the reviews | |
| e) review of implementation available | |
| 167. Do the existing incentive measures take account of economic, social, cultural and ethical valuation of biological diversity? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes - limited extent | X |
| c) yes - significant extent | |
| 168. Has your country developed legal and policy frameworks for the design and implementation of incentive measures? | |
| a) no | |
| b) early stages of development | X |
| c) advanced stages of development | |
| d) frameworks in place | |
| e) review of implementation available | |
| 169. Does your country carry out consultative processes to define clear target-oriented incentive measures to address the underlying causes of biodiversity loss? | |
| a) no | |
| b) processes being identified | |
| c) processes identified but not implemented | |
| d) processes in place | X |
| 170. Has your country identified and considered neutralizing perverse incentives? | |
| a) no | |
| b) identification programme under way | X |
| c) identified but not all neutralized | |
| d) identified and neutralized | |

Decision V/15. Incentive measures

| | |
|--|---|
| 171. Has your country reviewed the incentive measures promoted through the Kyoto Protocol to the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |
| 172. Has your country explored possible ways and means by which these incentive measures can support the objectives of the Convention on Biological Diversity in your country? | |
| a) no | |
| b) under consideration | X |
| c) early stages of development | |
| d) advanced stages of development | |
| e) further information available | |

Further comments on implementation of this Article

Collaboration between the Coordinators of the UNFCCC and CBD is promoted and facilitated through the Sustainable Development Council. Integration of biodiversity concerns are to be integrated into the National Planning Programming. The fact that a single Ministry is responsible for planning and biodiversity has help to facilitate the integration.

Capacity constraints are major considerations.

Article 12 Research and training

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------|--|-------------|--|----------------------|---|
| 173. What is the relative priority afforded to implementation of this Article and the associated decisions by your country? | | | | | | | |
| a) High | X | b) Medium | | c) Low | | | |
| 174. To what extent are the resources available adequate for meeting the obligations and recommendations made? | | | | | | | |
| a) Good | | b) Adequate | | c) Limiting | | d) Severely limiting | X |
| Further comments on relative priority and on availability of resources | | | | | | | |
| High priority item which is severely limited due to lack of resources | | | | | | | |
| 175. Has your country established programmes for scientific and technical education and training in measures for the identification, conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity and its components (12a)? | | | | | | | |
| a) no | | | | | | | |
| b) early stages of development | | | | | | X | |
| c) advanced stages of development | | | | | | | |
| d) programmes in place | | | | | | | |
| 176. Has your country provided support to other Parties for education and training in measures for the identification, conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity and its components (12a)? | | | | | | | |
| a) no | | | | | | X | |
| b) yes | | | | | | | |
| 177. Does your country promote and encourage research which contributes to the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity (12b)? | | | | | | | |
| a) no | | | | | | | |
| b) yes - limited extent | | | | | | X | |
| c) yes - significant extent | | | | | | | |
| 178. Does your country promote and cooperate in the use of scientific advances in biological diversity research in developing methods for conservation and sustainable use of biological resources (12c)? | | | | | | | |
| a) no | | | | | | | |
| b) yes - limited extent | | | | | | X | |
| c) yes - significant extent | | | | | | | |

If a developed country Party -

179. Does your country's implementation of the above activities take into account the special needs of developing countries?

a) no

b) yes, where relevant

Further comments on implementation of this Article

Projects in this area are funded from external resources.

In some ministries and departments, there exists personnel with scientific and technical skills to do research that can contribute to the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, however, there is a serious lack of logistic and financial support.

There is a need for greater collaboration and networking on regional and sub-regional levels.

Article 13 Public education and awareness

| | | | |
|---|---|-------------|----------------------|
| 180. What is the relative priority afforded to implementation of this Article and the associated decisions by your country? | | | |
| a) High | X | b) Medium | c) Low |
| 181. To what extent are the resources available adequate for meeting the obligations and recommendations made? | | | |
| a) Good | | b) Adequate | c) Limiting |
| | | | d) Severely limiting |
| | | | X |
| Further comments on relative priority and on availability of resources | | | |
| <p>Identified as high priority in the National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan.</p> <p>Resource limitations severely affect the development and implementation of the programmes.</p> | | | |

| | |
|---|---|
| 182. Does your country promote and encourage understanding of the importance of, and the measures required for, the conservation of biodiversity (13a) through media? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes - limited extent | X |
| c) yes - significant extent | |
| 183. Does your country promote and encourage understanding of the importance of, and the measures required for, the conservation of biodiversity (13a) through the inclusion of this topic in education programmes? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes - limited extent | X |
| c) yes - significant extent | |
| 184. Does your country cooperate with other States and international organizations in developing relevant educational and public awareness programmes (13b)? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes - limited extent | X |
| c) yes - significant extent | |

Decision IV/10. Measures for implementing the Convention [part]

| | |
|---|---|
| 185. Are public education and awareness needs covered in the national strategy and action plan? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes - limited extent | |
| c) yes - significant extent | X |
| 186. Has your country allocated appropriate resources for the strategic use of education and communication instruments at each phase of policy formulation, implementation and evaluation? | |
| a) limited resources | X |
| b) significant but not adequate resources | |
| c) adequate resources | |
| 187. Does your country support initiatives by major groups that foster stakeholder participation and that integrate biological diversity conservation matters in their practice and education programmes? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |
| 188. Has your country integrated biodiversity concerns into education strategies? | |
| a) no | |
| b) early stages of development | X |
| c) advanced stages of development | |
| d) yes | |
| 189. Has your country made available any case-studies on public education and awareness and public participation, or otherwise sought to share experiences? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |
| 190. Has your country illustrated and translated the provisions of the Convention into any local languages to promote public education and awareness raising of relevant sectors? | |
| a) not relevant | |
| b) still to be done | X |
| c) under development | |
| d) yes | |

| | |
|--|---|
| 191. Is your country supporting local, national, sub-regional and regional education and awareness programmes? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes - limited extent | X |
| c) yes - significant extent | |
| <i>If a developing country Party or Party with economy in transition -</i> | |
| 192. When requesting assistance through the GEF, has your country proposed projects that promote measures for implementing Article 13 of the Convention? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |

Decision V/17. Education and public awareness

| | |
|--|---|
| 193. Does your country support capacity-building for education and communication in biological diversity as part of the national biodiversity strategy and action plans? | |
| a) no | |
| b) limited support | |
| c) yes (please give details) | X |

Further comments on implementation of this Article

Capacity building for education and communication is identified as a critical ingredient and forms an integral part of the National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan. Projects to this end have been identified. Lack of resources is a major limiting factor. The Enabling Activities Project will continue to consolidate this process following from the elaboration of the National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan.

The Fisheries Division (MOALFF) supports the programmes and activities of OCEANSPiRiT, geared towards the protection of turtles and which include the stakeholder participation and educational programmes.

Article 14 Impact assessment and minimizing adverse impacts

| | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 194. What is the relative priority afforded to implementation of this Article and the associated decisions by your country? | | | | | |
| a) High | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | b) Medium | <input type="checkbox"/> | c) Low | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 195. To what extent are the resources available adequate for meeting the obligations and recommendations made? | | | | | |
| a) Good | <input type="checkbox"/> | b) Adequate | <input type="checkbox"/> | c) Limiting | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | | | | d) Severely limiting | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Further comments on relative priority and on availability of resources | | | | | |
| The need of Impact Assessment cannot be understated in the context of island ecosystems like Grenada. The requirement for Assessment to be done with all Projects has been enacted. Physical Planning and Development Control Act (Act #25 of 2002) | | | | | |

| | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| 196. Is legislation in place requiring an environmental impact assessment of proposed projects likely to have adverse effects on biological diversity (14 (1a))? | |
| a) no | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) early stages of development | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) advanced stages of development | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) legislation in place | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| e) review of implementation available | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 197. Do such environmental impact assessment procedures allow for public participation (14(1a))? | |
| a) no | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) yes - limited extent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| c) yes - significant extent | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 198. Does your country have mechanisms in place to ensure that the environmental consequences of national programmes and policies that are likely to have significant adverse impacts on biological diversity are duly taken into account (14(1b))? | |
| a) no | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) early stages of development | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| c) advanced stages of development | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) fully compliant with current scientific knowledge | <input type="checkbox"/> |

| | |
|---|---|
| 199. Is your country involved in bilateral, regional and/or multilateral discussion on activities likely to significantly affect biological diversity outside your country's jurisdiction (14(1c))? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes - limited extent | X |
| c) yes - significant extent | |
| 200. Is your country implementing bilateral, regional and/or multilateral agreements on activities likely to significantly affect biological diversity outside your country's jurisdiction (14(1c))? | |
| a) no | |
| b) no, assessment of options in progress | |
| c) some completed, others in progress | X |
| b) yes | |
| 201. Has your country mechanisms in place to notify other States of cases of imminent or grave danger or damage to biological diversity originating in your country and potentially affecting those States (14(1d))? | |
| a) no | |
| b) early stages of development | X |
| c) advanced stages of development | |
| d) mechanisms in place | |
| e) no need identified | |
| 202. Has your country mechanisms in place to prevent or minimize danger or damage originating in your State to biological diversity in other States or in areas beyond the limits of national jurisdiction (14(1d))? | |
| a) no | |
| b) early stages of development | X |
| c) advanced stages of development | |
| d) fully compliant with current scientific knowledge | |
| e) no need identified | |
| 203. Has your country national mechanisms in place for emergency response to activities or events which present a grave and imminent danger to biological diversity (14(1e))? | |
| a) no | |
| b) early stages of development | X |
| c) advanced stages of development | |
| d) mechanisms in place | |
| 204. Has your country encouraged international cooperation to establish joint contingency plans for emergency responses to activities or events which present a grave and imminent danger to biological diversity (14(1e))? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |
| c) no need identified | |

Decision IV/10. Measures for implementing the Convention [part]

| | |
|--|---|
| 205. Has your country exchanged with other Contracting Parties information and experience relating to environmental impact assessment and resulting mitigating measures and incentive schemes? | |
| a) no | |
| b) information provided to the Secretariat | |
| c) information provided to other Parties | X |
| d) information provided on the national CHM | |
| 206. Has your country exchanged with other Contracting Parties information on measures and agreements on liability and redress applicable to damage to biological diversity? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) information provided to the Secretariat | |
| c) information provided to other Parties | |
| d) information provided on the national CHM | |

Decision V/18. Impact assessment, liability and redress

| | |
|---|---|
| 207. Has your country integrated environmental impact assessment into programmes on thematic areas and on alien species and tourism? | |
| a) no | |
| b) partly integrated | X |
| c) fully integrated | |
| 208. When carrying out environmental impact assessments does your country address loss of biological diversity and the interrelated socio-economic, cultural and human-health aspects relevant to biological diversity? | |
| a) no | |
| b) partly | X |
| c) fully | |
| 209. When developing new legislative and regulatory frameworks, does your country have in place mechanisms to ensure the consideration of biological diversity concerns from the early stages of the drafting process? | |
| a) no | |
| b) in some circumstances | X |
| c) in all circumstances | |
| 210. Does your country ensure the involvement of all interested and affected stakeholders in a participatory approach to all stages of the assessment process? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes - in certain circumstances | X |
| c) yes - in all cases | |

| | |
|---|---------------|
| 211. Has your country organised expert meetings, workshops and seminars, and/or training, educational and public awareness programmes and exchange programmes in order to promote the development of local expertise in methodologies, techniques and procedures for impact assessment? | |
| a) no | |
| b) some programmes in place | X |
| c) many programmes in place | |
| d) integrated approach to building expertise | |
| 212. Has your country carried out pilot environmental impact assessment projects, in order to promote the development of local expertise in methodologies, techniques and procedures? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes (please provide further details) | X (see below) |
| 213. Does your country use strategic environmental assessments to assess not only the impact of individual projects, but also their cumulative and global effects, and ensure the results are applied in the decision making and planning processes? | |
| a) no | |
| b) to a limited extent | X |
| c) to a significant extent | |
| 214. Does your country require the inclusion of development of alternatives, mitigation measures and consideration of the elaboration of compensation measures in environmental impact assessment? | |
| a) no | |
| b) to a limited extent | X |
| c) to a significant extent | |
| 215. Is national information available on the practices, systems, mechanisms and experiences in the area of strategic environmental assessment and impact assessment? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes (please append or summarise) | |

Further comments on implementation of this Article

212. (b) Training workshop to develop local capacity for EIA

The activities in this area form a part of the OECS Initiative on Environmental Management. The Sub-regional Institution, the Natural Resources Management Unit (NMRU) leads activities on behalf of the OECS States. High levels of collaboration and cooperation exist among these States.

Education, training and capacity building and institutional strengthening to deal with assessment is a particular mandate of the NMRU.

Article 15 Access to genetic resources

| | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------|--|-------------|---|
| 216. What is the relative priority afforded to implementation of this Article and the associated decisions by your country? | | | | | |
| a) High | | b) Medium | | c) Low | X |
| 217. To what extent are the resources available adequate for meeting the obligations and recommendations made? | | | | | |
| a) Good | | b) Adequate | | c) Limiting | X |
| d) Severely limiting | | | | | |
| Further comments on relative priority and on availability of resources | | | | | |
| <p>This is a low priority item in Grenada. At the moment research in this area is limited to externally funded Projects. There are no specific legislation to control activities</p> | | | | | |
| 218. Has your country endeavoured to create conditions to facilitate access to genetic resources for environmentally sound uses by other Contracting Parties (15(2))? | | | | | |
| a) no | | | | | X |
| b) yes - limited extent | | | | | |
| c) yes - significant extent | | | | | |
| 219. Is there any mutual understanding or agreement in place between different interest groups and the State on access to genetic resources (15(4))? | | | | | |
| a) no | | | | | X |
| b) yes - limited extent | | | | | |
| c) yes - significant extent | | | | | |
| 220. Has your country an open participation planning process, or any other process in place, to ensure that access to resources is subject to prior informed consent (15(5))? | | | | | |
| a) no | | | | | X |
| b) early stages of development | | | | | |
| c) advanced stages of development | | | | | |
| d) processes in place | | | | | |
| 221. Has your country taken measures to ensure that any scientific research based on genetic resources provided by other Contracting Parties is developed and carried out with the full participation of such Contracting Parties (15(6))? | | | | | |
| a) no measures | | | | | |
| b) some measures in place | | | | | X |
| c) potential measures under review | | | | | |
| d) comprehensive measures in place | | | | | |

| | |
|---|---|
| 222. Has your country taken measures to ensure the fair and equitable sharing of the results of research and development and the benefits arising from the commercial and other use of genetic resources with any Contracting Party providing such resources (15(7))? | |
| a) no measures | X |
| b) some measures in place | |
| c) potential measures under review | |
| d) comprehensive measures in place | |
| If so, are these measures | |
| a) Legislation | |
| b) Statutory policy or subsidiary legislation | |
| c) Policy and administrative measures | |

Decision II/11 and Decision III/15. Access to genetic resources

| | |
|---|---|
| 223. Has your country provided the secretariat with information on relevant legislation, administrative and policy measures, participatory processes and research programmes? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes, within the previous national report | |
| c) yes, through case-studies | |
| d) yes, through other means (please give details below) | |
| 224. Has your country implemented capacity-building programmes to promote successful development and implementation of legislative, administrative and policy measures and guidelines on access, including scientific, technical, business, legal and management skills and capacities? | |
| a) no | |
| b) some programmes covering some needs | X |
| c) many programmes covering some needs | |
| d) programmes cover all perceived needs | |
| e) no perceived need | |
| 225. Has your country analysed experiences of legislative, administrative and policy measures and guidelines on access, including regional efforts and initiatives, for use in further development and implementation of measures and guidelines? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) analysis in progress | |
| c) analysis completed | |
| 226. Is your country collaborating with all relevant stakeholders to explore, develop and implement guidelines and practices that ensure mutual benefits to providers and users of access measures? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes - limited extent | |
| c) yes - significant extent | |

| | |
|---|---|
| 227. Has your country identified national authorities responsible for granting access to genetic resources? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |
| 228. Is your country taking an active role in negotiations associated with the adaptation of the International Undertaking on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |

Decision V/26. Access to genetic resources

| | |
|--|---|
| 229. Has your country designated a national focal point and one or more competent national authorities to be responsible for access and benefit-sharing arrangements or to provide information on such arrangements? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |
| c) yes, and Executive Secretary notified | |
| 230. Do your country's national biodiversity strategy, and legislative, administrative or policy measures on access and benefit-sharing, contribute to conservation and sustainable use objectives? | |
| a) no | |
| b) to a limited extent | X |
| c) to a significant extent | |
| Parties that are recipients of genetic resources | |
| 231. Has your country adopted administrative or policy measures that are supportive of efforts made by provider countries to ensure that access to their genetic resources is subject to Articles 15, 16 and 19 of the Convention? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) other arrangements made | |
| c) yes | |
| 232. Does your country co-operate with other Parties in order to find practical and equitable solutions supportive of efforts made by provider countries to ensure that access to their genetic resources is subject to Articles 15, 16 and 19 of the Convention, recognizing the complexity of the issue, with particular consideration of the multiplicity of prior informed consent considerations? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes (please provide details) | |

| | |
|--|---|
| 233. In developing its legislation on access, has your country taken into account and allowed for the development of a multilateral system to facilitate access and benefit-sharing in the context of the International Undertaking on Plant Genetic Resources? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) legislation under development | |
| c) yes | |
| 234. Is your country co-ordinating its positions in both the Convention on Biological Diversity and the International Undertaking on Plant Genetic Resources? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) taking steps to do so | |
| c) yes | |
| 235. Has your country provided information to the Executive Secretary on user institutions, the market for genetic resources, non-monetary benefits, new and emerging mechanisms for benefit sharing, incentive measures, clarification of definitions, <i>sui generis</i> systems and "intermediaries"? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) some information provided | |
| c) substantial information provided | |
| 236. Has your country submitted information on specific issues related to the role of intellectual property rights in the implementation of access and benefit-sharing arrangements to the Executive Secretary? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |
| 237. Has your country provided capacity-building and technology development and transfer for the maintenance and utilization of ex situ collections? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes to a limited extent | X |
| c) yes to a significant extent | |

Further comments on implementation of this Article

The national infrastructure for access to genetic resource is underdeveloped. Lack of human and financial resources and institutional capacity is identified.

The Ministry of Agriculture is presently considering the establishment of a gene pool.

Article 16 Access to and transfer of technology

| | | | |
|---|--|----------------------|---|
| 238. What is the relative priority afforded to implementation of this Article and the associated decisions by your country? | | | |
| a) High | | b) Medium | X |
| 239. To what extent are the resources available adequate for meeting the obligations and recommendations made? | | | |
| a) Good | | b) Adequate | |
| | | c) Limiting | |
| | | d) Severely limiting | X |
| Further comments on relative priority and on availability of resources | | | |
| Lack of resources affects progress in this area. | | | |

| | |
|---|---|
| 240. Has your country taken measures to provide or facilitate access for and transfer to other Contracting Parties of technologies that are relevant to the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity or make use of genetic resources and do not cause significant damage to the environment (16(1))? | |
| a) no measures | X |
| b) some measures in place | |
| c) potential measures under review | |
| d) comprehensive measures in place | |
| 241. Is your country aware of any initiatives under which relevant technology is transferred to your country on concessional or preferential terms (16(2))? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes (please give brief details below) | |
| 242. Has your country taken measures so that Contracting Parties which provide genetic resources are provided access to and transfer of technology which make use of those resources, on mutually agreed terms (16(3))? | |
| a) not relevant | |
| b) relevant, but no measures | X |
| c) some measures in place | |
| d) potential measures under review | |
| e) comprehensive measures in place | |
| If so, are these measures | |
| a) Legislation | |
| b) Statutory policy or subsidiary legislation | |
| c) Policy and administrative arrangements | |

| | |
|--|---|
| 243. Has your country taken measures so that the private sector facilitates access to joint development and transfer of relevant technology for the benefit of government institutions and the private sector of developing countries (16(4))? | |
| a) no measures | X |
| b) some measures in place | |
| c) potential measures under review | |
| d) comprehensive measures in place | |
| If so, are these measures | |
| a) Legislation? | |
| b) Statutory policy and subsidiary legislation? | |
| c) Policy and administrative arrangements? | |
| 244. Does your country have a national system for intellectual property right protection (16(5))? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |
| 245. If yes, does it cover biological resources (for example, plant species) in any way? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes - limited extent | |
| c) yes - significant extent | |

Decision III/17. Intellectual property rights

| | |
|---|---------------|
| 246. Has your country conducted and provided to the secretariat case-studies of the impacts of intellectual property rights on the achievement of the Conventions objectives? | |
| a) no | X (see below) |
| b) some | |
| c) many | |

Further comments on implementation of this Article

244. Administration of intellectual property laws in Grenada is under the responsibility of the Ministry of legal Affairs.

Grenada's system for registering patent is governed by the Registration of United Kingdom Patent Act, Cap. 283 in accordance with this legislation, based on Section 91 of the United Kingdom's Patent and Designs Act of 1907.

Grenada's membership in international instruments on Intellectual Property Rights include:

- Convention establishing the Work Property Organisation.
- Parris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property, Stockholm Test.
- Berma Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works, Parris Text.
- Patent Cooperation Treaty.

Government has not signed the 1978 UPOV Convention for the Protection of new varieties of plants.

246. WIPO is reviewing Grenada's IPR Legislation and assessing impact of IPR on conservation signed by Grenada.

Article 17 Exchange of information

| | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------|---|-------------|---|
| 247. What is the relative priority afforded to implementation of this Article and the associated decisions by your country? | | | | | |
| a) High | | b) Medium | X | c) Low | |
| 248. To what extent are the resources available adequate for meeting the obligations and recommendations made? | | | | | |
| a) Good | | b) Adequate | | c) Limiting | X |
| d) Severely limiting | | | | | |
| Further comments on relative priority and on availability of resources | | | | | |
| <p>The implementation of this Article will be facilitated with the introduction of the CHM. Grenada will be participating in the Enabling Activities.</p> | | | | | |

| | |
|--|---|
| 249. Has your country taken measures to facilitate the exchange of information from publicly available sources (17(1))? | |
| a) no measures | X |
| b) restricted by lack of resources | |
| c) some measures in place | |
| d) potential measures under review | |
| e) comprehensive measures in place | |
| If a developed country Party - | |
| 250. Do these measures take into account the special needs of developing countries (17(1))? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes - limited extent | |
| c) yes - significant extent | |
| 251. If so, do these measures include all the categories of information listed in Article 17(2), including technical, scientific and socio-economic research, training and surveying programmes, specialized knowledge, repatriation of information and so on? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes - limited extent | |
| c) yes - significant extent | |

Article 18 Technical and scientific cooperation

| | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------|---|-------------|---|----------------------|--|
| 252. What is the relative priority afforded to implementation of this Article and the associated decisions by your country? | | | | | | | |
| a) High | | b) Medium | X | c) Low | | | |
| 253. To what extent are the resources available adequate for meeting the obligations and recommendations made? | | | | | | | |
| a) Good | | b) Adequate | | c) Limiting | X | d) Severely limiting | |
| Further comments on relative priority and on availability of resources | | | | | | | |
| Implementation awaiting resources from the GEF. | | | | | | | |

| | |
|--|---|
| 254. Has your country taken measures to promote international technical and scientific cooperation in the field of conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity (18(1))? | |
| a) no measures | |
| b) some measures in place | X |
| c) potential measures under review | |
| d) comprehensive measures in place | |
| 255. Do the measures taken to promote cooperation with other Contracting Parties in the implementation of the Convention pay special attention to the development and strengthening of national capabilities by means of human resources development and institution building (18(2))? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes - limited extent | X |
| c) yes - significant extent | |
| 256. Has your country encouraged and developed methods of cooperation for the development and use of technologies, including indigenous and traditional technologies, in pursuance of the objectives of this Convention (18(4))? | |
| a) no | |
| b) early stages of development | X |
| c) advanced stages of development | |
| d) methods in place | |

| | |
|--|---|
| 257. Does such cooperation include the training of personnel and exchange of experts (18(4))? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes - limited extent | X |
| c) yes - significant extent | |
| 258. Has your country promoted the establishment of joint research programmes and joint ventures for the development of technologies relevant to the objectives of the Convention (18(5))? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes - limited extent | |
| c) yes - significant extent | |

Decision II/3, Decision III/4 and Decision IV/2. Clearing House Mechanism

| | |
|---|---|
| 259. Is your country cooperating in the development and operation of the Clearing House Mechanism? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |
| 260. Is your country helping to develop national capabilities through exchanging and disseminating information on experiences and lessons learned in implementing the Convention? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes - limited extent | X |
| c) yes - significant extent | |
| 261. Has your country designated a national focal point for the Clearing-House Mechanism? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |
| 262. Is your country providing resources for the development and implementation of the Clearing-House Mechanism? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes, at the national level | |
| c) yes, at national and international levels | X |
| 263. Is your country facilitating and participating in workshops and other expert meetings to further the development of the CHM at international levels? | |
| a) no | |
| b) participation only | X |
| c) supporting some meetings and participating | |

| | |
|--|---|
| 264. Is your CHM operational | |
| a) no | X |
| b) under development | |
| c) yes (please give details below) | |
| 265. Is your CHM linked to the Internet | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | |
| 266. Has your country established a multi-sectoral and multi-disciplinary CHM steering committee or working group at the national level? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |

Decision V/14. Scientific and technical co-operation and the clearinghouse mechanisms (Article 18)

| | |
|--|---|
| 267. Has your country reviewed the priorities identified in Annex I to the decision, and sought to implement them? | |
| a) not reviewed | |
| b) reviewed but not implemented | X |
| c) reviewed and implemented as appropriate | |

Further comments on implementation of these Articles

CHM activities depend on the Enabling Activities Project which is yet to begin. Government has made available very limited resources for national budget.

It is hoped that the EA Project will commence soon. The CHM will be connected to the Internet.

Article 19 Handling of biotechnology and distribution of its benefits

| | | | |
|---|--|----------------------|---|
| 268. What is the relative priority afforded to implementation of this Article and the associated decisions by your country? | | | |
| a) High | | b) Medium | X |
| 269. To what extent are the resources available adequate for meeting the obligations and recommendations made? | | | |
| a) Good | | b) Adequate | |
| | | c) Limiting | |
| | | d) Severely limiting | X |
| Further comments on relative priority and on availability of resources | | | |
| | | | |

| | |
|---|---|
| 270. Has your country taken measures to provide for the effective participation in biotechnological research activities by those Contracting Parties which provide the genetic resources for such research (19(1))? | |
| a) no measures | |
| b) some measures in place | X |
| c) potential measures under review | |
| d) comprehensive measures in place | |
| If so, are these measures: | |
| a) Legislation | |
| b) Statutory policy and subsidiary legislation | |
| c) Policy and administrative measures | X |
| 271. Has your country taken all practicable measures to promote and advance priority access on a fair and equitable basis by Contracting Parties to the results and benefits arising from biotechnologies based upon genetic resources provided by those Contracting Parties (19(2))? | |
| a) no measures | X |
| b) some measures in place | |
| c) potential measures under review | |
| d) comprehensive measures in place | |

**Decision IV/3. Issues related to biosafety and Decision V/1. Work Plan
of the Intergovernmental Committee for the Cartagena Protocol on
Biosafety**

| | |
|--|---|
| 272. Is your country a Contracting Party to the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety? | |
| a) not a signatory | |
| b) signed, ratification in progress | X |
| c) instrument of ratification deposited | |

Further comments on implementation of this Article

The Government has debated and agreed to ratify the Convention.
Administrative matters to deposit instrument remains to be done.

There is cooperation on the regional and sub-regional levels with respect to
research and development activities. Regional and sub-regional research
institutions work in collaboration with the national Governments.

Article 20 Financial resources

| | | | |
|--|---|-------------|----------------------|
| 273. What is the relative priority afforded to implementation of this Article and the associated decisions by your country? | | | |
| a) High | X | b) Medium | c) Low |
| 274. To what extent are the resources available adequate for meeting the obligations and recommendations made? | | | |
| a) Good | | b) Adequate | c) Limiting |
| | | | d) Severely limiting |
| | | | X |
| Further comments on relative priority and on availability of resources | | | |
| <p>The National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan accords high priority to Article 20. Resources from the National Budget are limited.</p> | | | |

| | |
|---|---|
| 275. Has your country provided financial support and incentives in respect of those national activities which are intended to achieve the objectives of the Convention (20(1))? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes - incentives only | |
| c) yes - financial support only | X |
| d) yes - financial support and incentives | |
| If a developed country Party - | |
| 276. Has your country provided new and additional financial resources to enable developing country Parties to meet the agreed incremental costs to them of implementing measures which fulfil the obligations of the Convention, as agreed between you and the interim financial mechanism (20(2))? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | |
| If a developing country Party or Party with economy in transition - | |
| 277. Has your country received new and additional financial resources to enable you to meet the agreed full incremental costs of implementing measures which fulfil the obligations of the Convention (20(2))? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |

| | |
|---|---|
| <i>If a developed country Party -</i> | |
| 278. Has your country provided financial resources related to implementation of the Convention through bilateral, regional and other multilateral channels (20(3))? | |
| <i>If a developing country Party or Party with economy in transition -</i> | |
| 279. Has your country used financial resources related to implementation of the Convention from bilateral, regional and other multilateral channels (20(3))? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |

Decision III/6. Additional financial resources

| | |
|---|---|
| 280. Is your country working to ensure that all funding institutions (including bilateral assistance agencies) are striving to make their activities more supportive of the Convention? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes - limited extent | X |
| c) yes - significant extent | |
| 281. Is your country cooperating in any efforts to develop standardized information on financial support for the objectives of the Convention? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes (please attach information) | |

Decision V/11. Additional financial resources

| | |
|---|---|
| 282. Has your country established a process to monitor financial support to biodiversity? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) procedures being established | |
| c) yes (please provide details) | |
| 283. Are details available of your country's financial support to national biodiversity activities? | |
| a) no | |
| b) not in a standardized format | X |
| c) yes (please provide details) | |
| 284. Are details available of your country's financial support to biodiversity activities in other countries? | |
| a) not applicable | X |
| b) no | |
| c) not in a standardized format | |
| d) yes (please provide details) | |

| | |
|--|---|
| Developed country Parties - | |
| 285. Does your country promote support for the implementation of the objectives of the Convention in the funding policy of its bilateral funding institutions and those of regional and multilateral funding institutions? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | |
| Developing country Parties - | |
| 286. Does your country discuss ways and means to support implementation of the objectives of the Convention in its dialogue with funding institutions? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |
| 287. Has your country compiled information on the additional financial support provided by the private sector? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes (please provide details) | |
| 288. Has your country considered tax exemptions in national taxation systems for biodiversity-related donations? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) not appropriate to national conditions | |
| c) exemptions under development | |
| d) exemptions in place | |

Further comments on implementation of this Article

The National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan was financed by the GEF/UNDP to the tune of US \$135,000. An additional US \$206,000 is committed by GEF/UNDP for Enabling Activities. These resources have not yet been received. It is expected that the Project will begin by the third quarter in 2002.

Sub-regional activities on the OECS level are also planned.

Article 21 Financial mechanism

| | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------|--|----------------------|---|
| 289. What is the relative priority afforded to implementation of this Article and the associated decisions by your country? | | | | | |
| a) High | X | b) Medium | | c) Low | |
| 290. To what extent are the resources available adequate for meeting the obligations and recommendations made? | | | | | |
| a) Good | | b) Adequate | | c) Limiting | |
| | | | | d) Severely limiting | X |
| Further comments on relative priority and on availability of resources | | | | | |
| The financial mechanism is seen as the most appropriate format for funding of biodiversity related activities. The National Budgets are severely limited. | | | | | |

| | |
|---|---|
| 291. Has your country worked to strengthen existing financial institutions to provide financial resources for the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |

Decision III/7. Guidelines for the review of the effectiveness of the financial mechanism

| | |
|--|---|
| 292. Has your country provided information on experiences gained through activities funded by the financial mechanism? | |
| a) no activities | |
| b) no, although there are activities | |
| c) yes, within the previous national report | X |
| d) yes, through case-studies | |
| e) yes, through other means (please give details below) | |

Further comments on implementation of this Article

The administrative and bureaucratic delays for receipt of funds is a major concern. There is also a call to ensure quick disbursements to small scale projects administered by the NGO communities.

Article 23 Conference of the Parties

| | |
|---|---|
| 293. How many people from your country participated in each of the meetings of the Conference of the Parties? | |
| a) COP 1 (Nassau) | |
| b) COP 2 (Jakarta) | |
| c) COP 3 (Buenos Aires) | |
| d) COP 4 (Bratislava) | 0 |
| e) COP 5 (Nairobi) | 1 |

**Decision I/6, Decision II/10, Decision III/24 and Decision IV/17.
Finance and budget**

| | |
|--|---|
| 294. Has your country paid all of its contributions to the Trust Fund? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |

Decision IV/16 (part) Preparation for meetings of the Conference of the Parties

| | |
|--|---|
| 295. Has your country participated in regional meetings focused on discussing implementation of the Convention before any meetings of the Conference of the Parties? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes (please specify which) SIDS, OECS, AOSIS | X |
| If a developed country Party - | |
| 296. Has your country funded regional and sub-regional meetings to prepare for the COP, and facilitated the participation of developing countries in such meetings? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes (please provide details below) | |

Decision V/22. Budget for the programme of work for the biennium 2001-2002

| | |
|---|---|
| 297. Did your country pay its contribution to the core budget (BY Trust Fund) for 2001 by 1 st January 2001? | |
| a) yes in advance | |
| b) yes on time | X |
| c) no but subsequently paid | |
| d) not yet paid | |

| | |
|---|---|
| 298. Has your country made additional voluntary contributions to the trust funds of the Convention? | |
| a) yes in the 1999-2000 biennium | |
| b) yes for the 2001-2002 biennium | |
| c) expect to do so for the 2001-2002 biennium | |
| d) no | X |

Further comments on implementation of this Article

| |
|--|
| |
|--|

Article 24 Secretariat

| | |
|--|---|
| 299. Has your country provided direct support to the Secretariat in terms of seconded staff, financial contribution for Secretariat activities, etc? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |

Further comments on implementation of this Article

| |
|--|
| |
|--|

Article 25 Subsidiary body on scientific, technical and technological advice

| 300. How many people from your country participated in each of the meetings of SBSTTA? | |
|--|---|
| a) SBSTTA I (Paris) | |
| b) SBSTTA II (Montreal) | |
| c) SBSTTA III (Montreal) | |
| d) SBSTTA IV (Montreal) | 1 |
| e) SBSTTA V (Montreal) | 1 |

Further comments on implementation of this Article

| |
|--|
| |
|--|

Article 26 Reports

| | |
|--|---|
| 301. What is the status of your first national report? | |
| a) Not submitted | |
| b) Summary report submitted | |
| c) Interim/draft report submitted | |
| d) Final report submitted | X |
| If b), c) or d), was your report submitted: | |
| by the original deadline of 1.1.98 (Decision III/9)? | |
| by the extended deadline of 31.12.98 (Decision IV/14)? | |
| Later (please specify date) 2001 | X |

Decision IV/14 National reports

| | |
|---|---|
| 302. Did all relevant stakeholders participate in the preparation of this national report, or in the compilation of information used in the report? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |
| 303. Has your country taken steps to ensure that its first and/or second national report(s) is/are available for use by relevant stakeholders? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |
| If yes, was this by: | |
| a) informal distribution? | X |
| b) publishing the report? | |
| c) making the report available on request? | X |
| d) posting the report on the Internet? | |

Decision V/19. National reporting

| | |
|---|---|
| 304. Has your country prepared voluntary detailed thematic reports on one or more of the items for in-depth consideration at an ordinary meeting of the parties, following the guidelines provided? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes - forest ecosystems | |
| c) yes - alien species | |
| d) yes - benefit sharing | |

Further comments on implementation of this Article

The Sustainable Development Council facilitated discussion on the Report which was completed through various consultations by the Biodiversity Steering Committee.

Decision V/6. Ecosystem approach

| | |
|---|---------------|
| 305. Is your country applying the ecosystem approach, taking into account the principles and guidance contained in the annex to decision V/6? | |
| a) no | |
| b) under consideration | |
| c) some aspects are being applied | X |
| d) substantially implemented | |
| 306. Is your country developing practical expressions of the ecosystem approach for national policies and legislation and for implementation activities, with adaptation to local, national, and regional conditions, in particular in the context of activities developed within the thematic areas of the Convention? | |
| a) no | |
| b) under consideration | |
| c) some aspects are being applied | X |
| d) substantially implemented | |
| 307. Is your country identifying case studies and implementing pilot projects that demonstrate the ecosystem approach, and using workshops and other mechanisms to enhance awareness and share experience? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) case-studies identified | |
| c) pilot projects underway | |
| d) workshops planned/held | |
| e) information available through CHM | |
| 308. Is your country strengthening capacities for implementation of the ecosystem approach, and providing technical and financial support for capacity-building to implement the ecosystem approach? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes within the country | X |
| c) yes including support to other Parties | |
| 309. Has your country promoted regional co-operation in applying the ecosystem approach across national borders? | |
| a) no | |
| b) informal co-operation | |
| c) formal co-operation (please give details) | X (see below) |
| The Fisheries Division (MOALFF) has been invited to participate in a PPW of Japanese Trust Fund Project, GCP/RLA/140/JPN – “Scientific Basis for Ecosystems – based Management in the Lesser Antilles including Interactions with Marine Mammals and Other Top Predators” October 8 – 10, 2002, implemented by FAO. | |

Inland water ecosystems

Decision IV/4. Status and trends of the biological diversity of inland water ecosystems and options for conservation and sustainable use

| | |
|--|---|
| 310. Has your country included information on biological diversity in wetlands when providing information and reports to the CSD, and considered including inland water biological diversity issues at meetings to further the recommendations of the CSD? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |
| 311. Has your country included inland water biological diversity considerations in its work with organizations, institutions and conventions affecting or working with inland water? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |
| If a developing country Party or Party with economy in transition - | |
| 312. When requesting support for projects relating to inland water ecosystems from the GEF, has your country given priority to identifying important areas for conservation, preparing and implementing integrated watershed, catchment and river basin management plans, and investigating processes contributing to biodiversity loss? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |
| 313. Has your country reviewed the programme of work specified in annex 1 to the decision, and identified priorities for national action in implementing the programme? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) under review | |
| c) yes | |

**Decision V/2. Progress report on the implementation of the programme of work on the biological diversity of inland water ecosystems
(implementation of decision IV/4)**

| | |
|--|---|
| 314. Is your country supporting and/or participating in the River Basin Initiative? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |
| 315. Is your country gathering information on the status of inland water biological diversity? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) assessments ongoing | |
| c) assessments completed | |
| 316. Is this information available to other Parties? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes - national report | |
| c) yes - through the CHM | |
| d) yes - other means (please give details below) | |

| | |
|---|---|
| 317. Has your country developed national and/or sectoral plans for the conservation and sustainable use of inland water ecosystems? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes - national plans only | |
| c) yes - national plans and major sectors | |
| d) yes - national plans and all sectors | |
| 318. Has your country implemented capacity-building measures for developing and implementing these plans? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |

Decision III/21. Relationship of the Convention with the CSD and biodiversity-related conventions

| | |
|--|---|
| 319. Is the conservation and sustainable use of wetlands, and of migratory species and their habitats, fully incorporated into your national strategies, plans and programmes for conserving biological diversity? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |

Further comments on implementation of these decisions and the associated programme of work

There are several initiatives planned and executed within the framework of the Organisation of Eastern Caribbean States. Grenada participates actively in these initiatives:

Migration of birds

Loss of wetlands

The Forestry Department is presently initiating a research programme to identify and catalogue all species of fauna in our inland water systems (rivers /lakes). Still in infancy stage.

Marine and coastal biological diversity

**Decision II/10 and Decision IV/5. Conservation and sustainable use of
marine and coastal biological diversity**

| | |
|---|---|
| 320. Does your national strategy and action plan promote the conservation and sustainable use of marine and coastal biological diversity? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes - limited extent | |
| c) yes - significant extent | X |
| 321. Has your country established and/or strengthened institutional, administrative and legislative arrangements for the development of integrated management of marine and coastal ecosystems? | |
| a) no | |
| b) early stages of development | X |
| c) advanced stages of development | |
| d) arrangements in place | |
| 322. Has your country provided the Executive Secretary with advice and information on future options concerning the conservation and sustainable use of marine and coastal biological diversity? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |
| 323. Has your country undertaken and/or exchanged information on demonstration projects as practical examples of integrated marine and coastal area management? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes - previous national report | |
| c) yes - case-studies | |
| d) yes - other means (please give details below) | |
| 324. Has your country programmes in place to enhance and improve knowledge on the genetic structure of local populations of marine species subjected to stock enhancement and/or sea-ranching activities? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) programmes are being developed | |
| c) programmes are being implemented for some species | |
| d) programmes are being implemented for many species | |
| e) not a perceived problem | |
| 325. Has your country reviewed the programme of work specified in an annex to the decision, and identified priorities for national action in implementing the programme? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) under review | |
| c) yes | |

Decision V/3. Progress report on the implementation of the programme of work on marine and coastal biological diversity (implementation of decision IV/5)

| | |
|--|---|
| 326. Is your country contributing to the implementation of the work plan on coral bleaching? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |
| c) not relevant | |
| 327. Is your country implementing other measures in response to coral bleaching? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes (please provide details below) | |
| c) not relevant | |
| 328. Has your country submitted case-studies on the coral bleaching phenomenon to the Executive Secretary? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |
| c) not relevant | |

Further comments on implementation of these decisions and the associated programme of work

The Biology Unit of the Fisheries Division proposed participation in the research on Coral Bleaching and other phenomena such as Black Band and White band diseases. However, logistic support and equipment was a limiting factor.

Agricultural biological diversity

Decision III/11 and Decision IV/6. Conservation and sustainable use of agricultural biological diversity

| | |
|--|---|
| 329. Has your country identified and assessed relevant ongoing activities and existing instruments at the national level? | |
| a) no | |
| b) early stages of review and assessment | X |
| c) advanced stages of review and assessment | |
| d) assessment completed | |
| 330. Has your country identified issues and priorities that need to be addressed at the national level? | |
| a) no | |
| b) in progress | X |
| c) yes | |
| 331. Is your country using any methods and indicators to monitor the impacts of agricultural development projects, including the intensification and extensification of production systems, on biological diversity? | |
| a) no | |
| b) early stages of development | X |
| c) advanced stages of development | |
| d) mechanisms in place | |
| 332. Is your country taking steps to share experiences addressing the conservation and sustainable use of agricultural biological diversity? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes - case-studies | X |
| c) yes - other mechanisms (please specify) | |
| 333. Has your country conducted case-studies on the issues identified by SBSTTA: i) pollinators, ii) soil biota, and iii) integrated landscape management and farming systems? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes - pollinators | |
| c) yes - soil biota | |
| d) yes - integrated landscape management and farming systems | |
| 334. Is your country establishing or enhancing mechanisms for increasing public awareness and understanding of the importance of the sustainable use of agrobiodiversity components? | |
| a) no | |
| b) early stages of development | X |
| c) advanced stages of development | |
| d) mechanisms in place | |

| | |
|---|---|
| 335. Does your country have national strategies, programmes and plans which ensure the development and successful implementation of policies and actions that lead to sustainable use of agrobiodiversity components? | |
| a) no | |
| b) early stages of development | X |
| c) advanced stages of development | |
| d) mechanisms in place | |
| 336. Is your country promoting the transformation of unsustainable agricultural practices into sustainable production practices adapted to local biotic and abiotic conditions? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes - limited extent | X |
| c) yes - significant extent | |
| 337. Is your country promoting the use of farming practices that not only increase productivity, but also arrest degradation as well as reclaim, rehabilitate, restore and enhance biological diversity? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes - limited extent | X |
| c) yes - significant extent | |
| 338. Is your country promoting mobilization of farming communities for the development, maintenance and use of their knowledge and practices in the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes - limited extent | X |
| c) yes - significant extent | |
| 339. Is your country helping to implement the Global Plan of Action for the Conservation and Sustainable Utilization of Plant Genetic Resources? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |
| 340. Is your country collaborating with other Contracting Parties to identify and promote sustainable agricultural practices and integrated landscape management? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |

Decision V/5. Agricultural biological diversity: review of phase I of the programme of work and adoption of a multi-year work programme

| | |
|--|---|
| 341. Has your country reviewed the programme of work annexed to the decision and identified how you can collaborate in its implementation? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |
| 342. Is your country promoting regional and thematic co-operation within this framework of the programme of work on agricultural biological diversity? | |
| a) no | |
| b) some co-operation | X |
| c) widespread co-operation | |
| d) full co-operation in all areas | |
| 343. Has your country provided financial support for implementation of the programme of work on agricultural biological diversity? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) limited additional funds | |
| c) significant additional funds | |
| <i>If a developed country Party -</i> | |
| 344. Has your country provided financial support for implementation of the programme of work on agricultural biological diversity, in particular for capacity building and case-studies, in developing countries and countries with economies in transition? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes within existing cooperation programme(s) | |
| b) yes, including limited additional funds | |
| c) yes, with significant additional funds | |
| 345. Has your country supported actions to raise public awareness in support of sustainable farming and food production systems that maintain agricultural biological diversity? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes, to a limited extent | X |
| c) yes, to a significant extent | |
| 346. Is your country co-ordinating its position in both the Convention on Biological Diversity and the International Undertaking on Plant Genetic Resources? | |
| a) no | |
| b) taking steps to do so | X |
| c) yes | |

| | |
|--|---|
| 347. Is your country a Contracting Party to the Rotterdam Convention on the Prior Informed Consent Procedure for Certain Hazardous Chemicals and Pesticides in International Trade? | |
| a) not a signatory | |
| b) signed - ratification in process | X |
| c) instrument of ratification deposited | |
| 348. Is your country supporting the application of the Executive Secretary for observer status in the Committee on Agriculture of the World Trade Organisation? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |
| 349. Is your country collaborating with other Parties on the conservation and sustainable use of pollinators? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |
| 350. Is your country compiling case-studies and implementing pilot projects relevant to the conservation and sustainable use of pollinators? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes (please provide details) | |
| 351. Has information on scientific assessments relevant to genetic use restriction technologies been supplied to other Contracting Parties through media such as the Clearing-House Mechanism? | |
| a) not applicable | X |
| b) no | |
| c) yes - national report | |
| d) yes - through the CHM | |
| e) yes - other means (please give details below) | |
| 352. Has your country considered how to address generic concerns regarding such technologies as genetic use restriction technologies under international and national approaches to the safe and sustainable use of germplasm? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes - under consideration | |
| c) yes - measures under development | |
| 353. Has your country carried out scientific assessments on <u>inter alia</u> ecological, social and economic effects of genetic use restriction technologies? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) some assessments | |
| c) major programme of assessments | |

| | |
|--|---|
| 354. Has your country disseminated the results of scientific assessments on <u>inter alia</u> ecological, social and economic effects of genetic use restriction technologies? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes - through the CHM | |
| c) yes - other means (please give details below) | |
| 355. Has your country identified the ways and means to address the potential impacts of genetic use restriction technologies on the <u>in situ</u> and <u>ex situ</u> conservation and sustainable use, including food security, of agricultural biological diversity? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) some measures identified | |
| c) potential measures under review | |
| d) comprehensive review completed | |
| 356. Has your country assessed whether there is a need for effective regulations at the national level with respect to genetic use restriction technologies to ensure the safety of human health, the environment, food security and the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes - regulation needed | |
| c) yes - regulation not needed (please give more details) | |
| 357. Has your country developed and applied such regulations taking into account, <u>inter alia</u> , the specific nature of variety-specific and trait-specific genetic use restriction technologies? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes - developed but not yet applied | |
| c) yes - developed and applied | |
| 358. Has information about these regulations been made available to other Contracting Parties? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes - through the CHM | |
| c) yes - other means (please give details below) | |

*Further comments on implementation of these decisions and the
associated programme of work*

Grenada participates in regional and sub-regional initiatives with respect to this Article (e.g. CARINET & CAPGENET)

347: The designated National Authority for Rotterdam Convention on (PIC) is located in Ministry of Agriculture.

350: Consideration has been given to the use of pesticides restricted to encourage the survival of the bumble bee, the pollinator for passion fruit.

355: Some discussions held but no firm decision or policy

Forest biological diversity

Decision II/9 and Decision IV/7. Forest biological diversity

| | |
|--|---|
| 359. Has your country included expertise on forest biodiversity in its delegations to the Intergovernmental Panel on Forests? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes | |
| c) not relevant | |
| 360. Has your country reviewed the programme of work annexed to the decision and identified how you can collaborate in its implementation? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) under review | |
| c) yes | |
| 361. Has your country integrated forest biological diversity considerations in its participation and collaboration with organizations, institutions and conventions affecting or working with forest biological diversity? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes - limited extent | X |
| c) yes - significant extent | |
| 362. Does your country give high priority to allocation of resources to activities that advance the objectives of the Convention in respect of forest biological diversity? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |
| For developing country Parties and Parties with economies in transition - | |
| 363. When requesting assistance through the GEF, Is your country proposing projects which promote the implementation of the programme of work? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |

Decision V/4. Progress report on the implementation of the programme of work for forest biological diversity

| | |
|---|---|
| 364. Do the actions that your country is taking to address the conservation and sustainable use of forest biological diversity conform with the ecosystem approach? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |
| 365. Do the actions that your country is taking to address the conservation and sustainable use of forest biological diversity take into consideration the outcome of the fourth session of the Intergovernmental Forum on Forests? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |

| | |
|---|---|
| 366. Will your country contribute to the future work of the UN Forum on Forests? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes | X |
| 367. Has your country provided relevant information on the implementation of this work programme? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) yes - submission of case-studies | |
| c) yes - thematic national report submitted | |
| d) yes - other means (please give details below) | |
| 368. Has your country integrated national forest programmes into its national biodiversity strategies and action plans applying the ecosystem approach and sustainable forest management? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes - limited extent | X |
| c) yes - significant extent | |
| 369. Has your country undertaken measures to ensure participation by the forest sector, private sector, indigenous and local communities and non-governmental organisations in the implementation of the programme of work? | |
| a) no | |
| b) yes - some stakeholders | |
| c) yes - all stakeholders | X |
| 370. Has your country taken measures to strengthen national capacities including local capacities, to enhance the effectiveness and functions of forest protected area networks, as well as national and local capacities for implementation of sustainable forest management, including restoration? | |
| a) no | |
| b) some programmes covering some needs | X |
| c) many programmes covering some needs | |
| d) programmes cover all perceived needs | |
| e) no perceived need | |
| 371. Has your country taken measures to implement the proposals for action of the Intergovernmental Forum on Forests and the Intergovernmental Panel on Forests on valuation of forest goods and services? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) under consideration | |
| c) measures taken | |

Biological diversity of dry and sub-humid lands

Decision V/23. Consideration of options for conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity in dryland, Mediterranean, arid, semi-arid, grassland and savannah ecosystems

| | |
|---|---|
| 372. Has your country reviewed the programme of work annexed to the decision and identified how you will implement it? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) under review | |
| c) yes | |
| 373. Is your country supporting scientifically, technically and financially, at the national and regional levels, the activities identified in the programme of work? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) to a limited extent | |
| c) to a significant extent | |
| 374. Is your country fostering cooperation for the regional or subregional implementation of the programme among countries sharing similar biomes? | |
| a) no | X |
| b) to a limited extent | |
| c) to a significant extent | |

Further comments on implementation of these Decisions and the associated programme of work

The Forestry Department with the support of the Fisheries division is proposing that government sign the RAMSAR Convention and plans to designate the Levera Lake/Pond and nearby Sugarloaf Island a RAMSAR Site.

This will assist in protecting forest as well as coastal biodiversity.

Decision V/20. Operations of the Convention

| | |
|---|---------------|
| 375. Does your country take into consideration gender balance, involvement of indigenous people and members of local communities, and the range of relevant disciplines and expertise, when nominating experts for inclusion in the roster? | |
| a) no | X (see below) |
| b) yes | |
| 376. Has your country actively participated in subregional and regional activities in order to prepare for Convention meetings and enhance implementation of the Convention? | |
| a) no | |
| b) to a limited extent | X |
| c) to a significant extent | |
| 377. Has your country undertaken a review of national programmes and needs related to the implementation of the Convention and, if appropriate, informed the Executive Secretary? | |
| a) no | |
| b) under way | X |
| c) yes | |

375. The National Policy espoused by Government is to include all citizens including gender and members of local communities when considering nomination to various bodies.

Please use this box to identify what specific activities your country has carried out as a DIRECT RESULT of becoming a Contracting Party to the Convention, referring back to previous questions as appropriate:

Completion of National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan
 Completion of First National Report
 Participation in Regional and Sub-regional initiative
 Institution of Public Awareness and Public Information Programme
 Establishment of Committees for Stakeholder dialogue
 Consultations on the Environment
 Capacity Building Workshops and Training Sessions
 Regional and Sub-regional Cooperation on the Environment

Please use this box to identify joint initiatives with other Parties, referring back to previous questions as appropriate:

| | |
|---------------------|---|
| OECS Initiatives | Sustainable Tourism. OECS Environmental Charter |
| CARICOM Initiatives | CARICOM Task Force on Environment |
| SIDS Initiatives | |

Please use this box to provide any further comments on matters related to national implementation of the Convention:

Activities under the Enabling Activities Project are scheduled to get underway in four quarter of 2002.

- Capacity needs Assessment
- Establishment of CHM
- Public Awareness / Education

Biosafety Frameworks

The wording of these questions is based on the Articles of the Convention and the decisions of the Conference of the Parties. Please provide information on any difficulties that you have encountered in interpreting the wording of these questions

No difficulties

If your country has completed its national biodiversity strategy and action plan (NBSAP), please give the following information:

| | | | |
|--|---|----|--------------------------|
| Date of completion: | <i>July 2002</i> | | |
| If the NBSAP has been adopted by the Government | | | |
| By which authority? | <i>Cabinet</i> | | |
| On what date? | <i>June 2000</i> | | |
| If the NBSAP has been published please give | | | |
| Title: | <i>Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan: Grenada</i> | | |
| Name and address of publisher: | <i>Government of Grenada National Printery St. George's Grenada</i> | | |
| ISBN: | <i>N/A</i> | | |
| Price (if applicable): | <i>N/A</i> | | |
| Other information on ordering: | <i><u>Timothy.Antoine@gov.gd</u></i> | | |
| If the NBSAP has not been published N/A | | | |
| Please give full details of how copies can be obtained: | <i>N/A</i> | | |
| If the NBSAP has been posted on a national website | | | |
| Please give full URL: | <i>economicaffairs.gov.gd</i> | | |
| If the NBSAP has been lodged with an Implementing Agency of the GEF | | | |
| Please indicate which agency: | <i>UNDP</i> | | |
| Has a copy of the NBSAP been lodged with the Convention Secretariat? | | | |
| Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Please provide similar details if you have completed a Biodiversity Country Study or another report or action plan relevant to the objectives of this Convention

Please provide details of any national body (e.g. national audit office) that has or will review the implementation of the Convention in your country