

#### Aid Flows Targeting CBD Objectives

#### **OECD Side Event**

During the Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-Ended Working Group on Review of Implementation
UNESCO, Paris
10 July 2007








# Tracking financial support to CBD objectives







- Brief history
- Messages from COP
- Use of Rio markers
- Synergy analysis
- Sectoral integration
- Recommendations





### **Brief history**

- Mission impossible –
   COP2
- Initiation in 1996 –
   COP3
- Pilot phase for 1998 2000
- Regularization of Rio markers







# Messages from COP

Decision V/11, preamble

Decision VI/16, paragraph 10

Decision VII/21, paragraph 4

Decision VIII/13, paragraphs 5 and 6



#### **Use of Rio Markers**

- Use for pre-sessional working documents
- -- UNEP/CBD/COP/6/14 Additional financial resources (16 October 2001)
- -- UNEP/CBD/COP/7/18 Additional financial resources (10 November 2003)
- -- UNEP/CBD/COP/8/21 Additional financial resources: status, gaps and options (19 January 2006)
- Use by Governments (Austria, European Community)





# Biodiversity synergy index

- Definition: percentage in total biodiversity funding
- BD-climate change: 17%
- BD-desertification: 22%
- BD-CC-Desertification: 22%
- Message: over 40% biodiversity funding also address CC and/or desertification



## Sectoral distribution

Sectors	Marked biodiversity aid	Percentage in total marked	
	between 1998-2005	biodiversity assistance	
Water supply and sanitation	2296.52	25.83%	
Agriculture	1450.08	16.31%	
Forestry	1208.99	13.60%	
Fishing	220.72	2.48%	
Energy	144.34	1.62%	
Transport and storage	127.04	1.43%	
Government & civil society	39.79	0.45%	
Industry	35.35	0.40%	
Education	17.79	0.20%	
Tourism	12.45	0.14%	
Banking & financial services	9.75	0.11%	
Mining	8.90	0.10%	
Health	7.78	0.09%	
Trade policy & regulation	5.11	0.06%	
Communications	2.48	0.03%	



# Sectoral integration

Year	Multisector	Water	Agriculture	Forestry	Fishing	Energy	Transport
1998	3.77%	5.81%	3.11%	25.43%	5.33%	0.24%	0.01%
1999	3.71%	5.41%	2.20%	48.37%	18.58%	1.90%	0.02%
2000	3.16%	3.10%	1.68%	22.76%	11.08%	0.36%	0.25%
2001	5.57%	6.40%	8.69%	51.78%	11.55%	0.13%	0.19%
2002	8.72%	10.15%	7.99%	26.23%	11.36%	0.16%	0.01%
2003	6.45%	15.67%	4.37%	75.34%	19.72%	0.30%	0.01%
2004	8.50%	3.76%	5.47%	18.72%	6.32%	0.13%	0.44%
2005	2.74%	2.78%	0.75%	1.73%	3.03%	0.01%	0.27%
Weighted	5.25%	6.08%	3.98%	33.21%	9.98%	0.34%	0.17%
average							
Simple average	6.64%	8.16%	5.27%	42.10%	13.36%	0.49%	0.19%



#### Recommendations



- Review of Rio Markers
- Comprehensive analysis of statistical results
- Regularization of Rio Markers in OECD statistics

