





Distr. **GENERAL**

UNEP/CBD/BS/COP-MOP/5/16 1 March 2010

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

CONFERENCE OF THE PARTIES SERVING AS THE MEETING OF THE PARTIES TO THE CARTAGENA PROTOCOL ON BIOSAFETY Fifth meeting Nagoya, Japan, 11-15 October 2010 Item 17 of the provisional agenda*

STRATEGIC PLAN FOR THE CARTAGENA PROTOCOL ON BIOSAFETY, 2011 - 2020

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. The Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety requires, in Article 35, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol (COP-MOP) to undertake periodic evaluation of the effectiveness of the Protocol. The first evaluation of the effectiveness of the Protocol is reported in document UNEP/CBD/BS/COP-MOP/4/14. In conjunction with this evaluation, Parties to the Protocol decided, at their fourth meeting, to initiate a process towards developing a strategic plan for the Protocol. In this regard, Parties were invited to make submissions on a strategic plan for the Protocol and the Executive Secretary was requested to present a draft strategic plan for the consideration of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety at its fifth meeting (paragraph 2, decision BS-IV/15).
- Accordingly, this document presents, in section II, background information on the existing elements of the strategic plan of the Convention on Biological Diversity (Annex, decision VI/26) that were related to the Protocol' Section III highlights the main elements of a strategic plan for the Protocol submitted by Parties to the Protocol in accordance with the invitation of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol, including the consultative process as established by the Bureau of the Parties to the Protocol. Section IV of the document proposes some elements of a draft decision for consideration by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol.
- 3. Furthermore, the Secretariat has prepared a draft strategic plan on the basis of the submissions, elements derived from the report of the evaluation of the Protocol, national reports, decisions from the first to the fourth meetings of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol and comments from the consultative process. As the period covered by the medium-term programme of work (Annex, decision BS-I/12) is due to end at the fifth meeting of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol, the Secretariat has also prepared a draft programme of work for the period that the draft strategic plan is proposed to cover. Both the draft strategic plan and the proposed programme of work are made available as annexes to this document.

/...

UNEP/CBD/BS/COP-MOP/5/1.

II. BACKGROUND

- 4. A strategic plan of an organization is a process that builds commitment from key stakeholders in a particular direction that guides the future allocation of resources. The process and areas that embody such a strategic plan are normally organization-specific. A strategic plan also guides the development processes or implementation of institutional structures and procedures in achieving stakeholder-derived targets.
- 5. The Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity adopted, at its sixth meeting, a strategic plan for the Convention. The development of the Strategic Plan had gone through different stages. A Workshop on the Strategic Plan was convened in Seychelles from 28 to 30 May 2001. The workshop resulted in conclusions which included elements for a vision, mission statement and operational goals of the possible strategic plan for the Convention. The strategic plan was also one of the items considered by an open-ended inter-sessional meeting which was convened from 19 to 21 November 2001 to assist with preparations for the sixth meeting of the Conference of the Parties. The inter-sessional meeting developed further the elements of the Strategic Plan including the issue, mission statement, vision, operational goals, constraints, monitoring and reporting and periodic assessment and review, review of implementation, and communication.
- 6. The Strategic Plan of the Convention (Annex, decision VI/26) comprises four goals. Each goal contains several objectives and one or more of these objectives were specific to the Protocol. The strategic objectives of the Protocol were set up as integral parts of the Strategic Plan of the Convention. For example, the first Goal of the Strategic Plan was to see the Convention fulfilling its leadership role in international biodiversity issues. One of the objectives set to be achieved in the pursuit towards this Goal was the wide implementation of the Protocol. Similarly, Goals two, three and four include objectives with regard to the Protocol. The following table consolidates the objectives identified in the Strategic Plan of the Convention that were specific to the Protocol and provides a preliminary review of progress towards achieving each of the objectives.

Goals	Objectives specific to the Protocol	Progress
1. The Convention is fulfilling its leadership role in international biodiversity issues	1.4 The Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety is widely implemented	The Protocol entered into force in 2003, less than four years after its adoption At the time of finalizing this document 157 Parties to the Convention have ratified or acceded to the Protocol
2. Parties have improved financial, human, scientific, technical, and technological capacity to implement the Convention	2.3 Developing country Parties, in particular the least developed and the small island developing States amongst them, and other Parties with economies in transition, have increased resources and technology transfer available to implement the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety	At least 120 countries have developed draft national biosafety frameworks documents and are in the process of operationalizing them

	2.4 All Parties have adequate capacity to implement the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety	More than 100 capacity-building projects have been implemented by Governments with support from different donor agencies and organizations Most developing countries have no or limited capacity to undertake risk assessment and to design and implement risk management schemes
3. National biodiversity strategies and action plans and the integration of biodiversity concerns into relevant sectors serve as an effective framework for the implementation of the objectives of the Convention	3.2 Every Party to the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety has a regulatory framework in place and functioning to implement the Protocol	About 43 developing countries still lack any form or elements of a functional biosafety regulatory framework
4. There is a better understanding of the importance of biodiversity and of the Convention, and this has led to broader engagement across society in implementation	4.2 Every Party to the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety is promoting and facilitating public awareness, education and participation in support of the Protocol	According to the first national reports submitted to the Secretariat in 2007, 49 per cent of the Parties reported having promoted and facilitated public awareness, education and participation to a large extent and 47 per cent had done so to a limited extent

- 7. The mission statement of the Strategic Plan of the Convention was a commitment by Parties to a more effective and coherent implementation of the three objectives of the Convention to achieve, by 2010, a significant reduction of the rate of biodiversity loss. As the 2010 biodiversity target is approaching, the Conference of the Parties decided, at its ninth meeting held in May 2008, on a process to revise the current Strategic Plan of the Convention and adopt a new one that envisions beyond the 2010 target. Accordingly, the Secretariat is preparing, on the basis of submissions from Parties and observers, a draft updated Strategic Plan with input from the third meeting of the Working Group on Review of Implementation of the Convention. The draft strategic plan for the Protocol presented as annex I of this document has, therefore, been prepared taking into account the updating of the Strategic Plan of the Convention, including the analysis of issues considered in the updating process. The draft strategic plan of the Protocol is further designed to be coherent and consistent with the reviewed strategic plan of the Convention.
- 8. It is noted that whilst the Convention has substantially developed its processes and institutions to support its objectives and is in its enhanced phase of implementation, the processes and institutions under the Biosafety Protocol are still evolving and are being developed both at the international and national levels.

III. SUBMISSIONS ON A STRATEGIC PLAN FOR THE PROTOCOL

- 9. In response to the invitation by the fourth meeting of Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol and the Secretariat's follow up notification, the Governments of Japan, Norway, Thailand and the European Union made submissions of views on a strategic plan for the Protocol. Each submission is different in its structure and details. However, there is a great deal of convergence in the elements identified to be included in the strategic plan. For example, risk assessment and risk management and handling, transport, packaging and identification are elements that have been identified by all submissions invariably. Capacity-building is another item of interest for most of the submissions. Liability and redress, cooperation with other organizations or processes, and information sharing are also items suggested to be addressed in the strategic plan. The full texts of the submissions are available in an information document.
- 10. At its meeting on 8 November 2009, the Bureau of COP-MOP/4 requested the Secretariat to initiate a consultative process to enable Parties to submit comments on the draft elements of the Strategic Plan (2011 to 2020) of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety. The Bureau also directed that the draft elements of the Strategic Plan be submitted to Parties along with the report of the evaluation of the effectiveness of the Protocol. Accordingly, the Governments of Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Japan, Mexico, Mongolia, Norway and the European Union submitted comments. The Bureau further established a discussion forum on the Biosafety Clearing House (BCH) in which comments were solicited from stakeholders.
- 11. The draft elements of the Strategic Plan for the Protocol were also submitted to the following meetings for comments during the consultative process:
 - The fifth meeting of the BCH informal advisory Committee, Montreal, 19-21 October 2009;
 - The UNEP/GEF National Project Coordinators meeting, Moldova, 1-4 December 2009;
 - The sixth Coordination Meeting for Governments and Organizations Implementing or Funding Biosafety Capacity-building Activities, Siem Reap. Cambodia, 1 -3 February 2010;
 - The seventh Meeting of the Liaison Group on Capacity-building for Biosafety, Siem Reap. Cambodia, 4-5 February 2010; and
 - The third International Meeting of Academic Institutions and Organizations involved in Biosafety Education and Training, Tsukuba, Japan, 15-17 February 2010.

IV. ELEMENTS OF A DRAFT DECISION

Annex I

DRAFT STRATEGIC PLAN FOR THE CARTAGENA PROTOCOL ON BIOSAFETY

2011 - 2020

I. THE CONTEXT

- 1. The Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety was adopted in January 2000 and entered into force on 11 September 2003. The first meeting of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol (COP-MOP) adopted, on the basis of recommendations from the Intergovernmental Committee on the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety, a medium-term programme of work for the period covering the second to the fifth meeting of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol.
- 2. Over the past six years, significant achievements have been made towards the implementation of the Protocol. The number of Parties has increased by more than 100 since the entry into force of the Protocol. The medium-term programme of work has made a significant contribution to the implementation of the Protocol. Many decisions on tools, guidelines and mechanisms have been adopted and the Biosafety Clearing-House became fully operational. More than 100 countries received, through UNEP/GEF as an implementing agency of the Global Environment Facility (GEF) and other GEF implementing agencies, capacity-building assistance in support of their efforts to develop and implement their national biosafety legal and administrative frameworks. The number of bilateral, sub-regional and regional cooperative arrangements to support biosafety capacity-building activities has also increased significantly in the past years.
- 3. The medium term programme of work of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol is due to end at the fifth meeting to be held in October 2010. In addition, a process has been established to undertake an assessment and review of the effectiveness of the Protocol in accordance with Article 35 of the Protocol. The initiation of the assessment and review process on the one hand, and the completion of the medium-term programme of work on the other, presented an opportunity for Parties to consider developing a long-term vision for the Protocol in the form of a strategic plan and a corresponding multi-year programme of work. The opportunity has become even broader due to the ongoing process to revise and update the Strategic Plan of the Convention in light of new thinking and resolve for action beyond the 2010 biodiversity target.
- 4. Significant challenges remain as regards the implementation of the Protocol. Parties still need to provide more guidance and clarify procedures and processes in areas such as the application of the advance informed agreement procedure, compliance (Article 34), liability and redress (Article 27), risk assessment and risk management (Articles 15 and 16), handling, transport, packaging and identification (Article 18) and capacity-building (Article 22). One of the major prerequisites of successful implementation of planned activities is the provision of sufficient financial resources including alternative mechanisms for funding and technical support especially for developing countries and countries with economies in transition.
- 5. This draft strategic plan and the programme of work accompanying it (annex II) have been prepared on the basis of the few submissions received by the Secretariat, the analysis of the first national reports, experience gained through the development, implementation, and currently, updating of the Strategic Plan of the Convention, and the successive decisions taken by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol from the first to its fourth meetings.

II. INTERPRETATION OF THE STRATEGIC PLAN

- 6. The draft Strategic Plan consists of a vision, a mission and five strategic objectives. Within each strategic objective there are a number of expected impacts, operational objectives, outcomes and indicators.
- 7. The vision and mission are the overarching statements and guidance for the Plan while the five strategic objectives are the objectives that will need to be met in order for the vision and the mission to be achieved within the ten year duration of the Plan. In addition, the Strategic Plan has been presented in the form of a logical framework for ease of reference:
 - Each strategic objective has a number of expected impacts that will occur if the strategic objective is achieved;
 - The operational objectives comprise actions that will need to be undertaken in order to achieve the impacts;
 - The outcomes are the consequences that should be seen if the operational objectives are achieved;
 - The indicators serve as a monitoring and evaluation tool of the Strategic Plan for measuring achievements.
- 8. The stakeholders of the Strategic Plan should also be noted. Depending on the case, the actions or activities described in the Plan may need to be undertaken by, for example, Parties, other Governments, the Secretariat, other organizations or individuals.
- 9. The elements of the draft Strategic Plan should also be interpreted in light of the text of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety. The Protocol governs the interpretation and understanding of the elements of the Strategic Plan and nothing in the Strategic Plan should be understood as going outside the scope of the Protocol.

III. ASSUMPTIONS

- 10. A number of assumptions have been made in the development of the Strategic Plan. First, it is assumed that the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol (COP-MOP) will adopt a number of decisions including on: common approaches to risk assessment and risk management; identification and documentation; a supplementary protocol on liability and redress; and socio-economic considerations and decision-making. The following are also assumed: Parties and sub-regional organizations are incorporating rules and procedures from MOP decisions into their domestic frameworks; the "Action Plan for Building Capacities for the Effective Implementation of the Protocol" is regularly updated, agreed upon and implemented; Parties submit timely national reports and information (regulatory frameworks, decisions on LMOs, etc.) to the BCH; adequate and predictable financial resources and a progressive increase in human resources at the international and national level over the ten-year period.
- 11. A further assumption is that a baseline (global picture) of the Protocol for the indicators will be established after second Assessment and Review process of the Protocol at COP-MOP/6. The indicators have been drafted in such a way that they will measure progress against this baseline.

IV. HUMAN RESOURCE SUPPORT FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF THE STRATEGIC PLAN

12. With the envisaged increase in the volume of work for the successful implementation of the strategic plan, the Secretariat would need to be strengthened to meet the demands of its supporting role of ensuring consistent and complementary delivery of the different components of the plan. The Biosafety team would need to be expanded and headed by a Principal Officer with an addition of two programme

officers: one for scientific and technical issues and one for policy and legal issues as well as two programme assistants.

V. MONITORING, REVIEW AND EVALUATION

13. This strategic plan will be implemented through a ten-year programme of work for the Protocol which will, if necessary, be adjusted from time to time on the basis of: (a) experience gained in the implementation of the requirements of the Protocol; and (b) the result of the periodic assessment and review of the effectiveness of the Protocol as provided for in Article 35 of the Protocol. A mid-term evaluation will be undertaken five years after the adoption of the Strategic Plan. This process will use the indicators in the Strategic Plan to evaluate the extent to which the strategic objectives are being achieved. Information will be drawn from the national reports amongst other things to generate the data necessary for the analysis. The evaluation will capture the effectiveness of the Strategic Plan and allow Parties to adapt to emerging trends in the implementation of the Protocol. Sufficient resources will need to be allocated to this process.

DRAFT ELEMENTS OF THE STRATEGIC PLAN VERSION 010310

CARTAGENA PROTOCOL ON BIOSAFETY

VISION

Biological diversity is adequately protected from any adverse effects of living modified organisms

MISSION

To strengthen global action in ensuring the safe transfer, handling and use of living modified organisms that may have adverse effects on the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, taking also into account risks to human health and specifically focusing on transboundary movements

Strategic Objective	Expected Impacts	Operational Objectives	Outcomes	Indicators
1. To put in place further tools and guidance necessary to make the Protocol fully operational	Full implementation of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety by Parties	1.1 To further develop and support implementation of science-based tools on common approaches to risk assessment and risk management for Parties	 Guidance on risk assessment and risk management including guidance on new developments in modern biotechnology developed and available Common approaches to risk assessment and risk management established and adopted by Parties and other Governments, as appropriate 	 Percentage of Parties adopting and using guidance documents on risk assessment and risk management Percentage of Parties adopting common approaches to risk assessment and risk management

Strategic Objective	Expected Impacts	Operational Objectives	Outcomes	Indicators
	Enhanced performance by Parties towards the attainment of the overarching objectives of conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity	1.2 To develop modalities for cooperation and guidance in identifying LMOs or specific traits that may have adverse effects on the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, taking also into account risks to human health	 Modalities developed and put in place Parties enabled to identify LMOs or specific traits that may have adverse effects Guidance on living modified organisms or specific traits that may have adverse effects on the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, taking also into account risks to human health, developed and available to Parties 	Number of reports to the BCH on the identification of LMOs or specific traits that may have adverse effects
		1.3 To enhance mechanisms for providing scientific and technical advice to the COP-MOP and to the Parties	Improved scientific and technical advice to the COP- MOP and the Parties	Number of scientific and technical guidance materials produced by such mechanisms and available to Parties
		1.4 To enable Parties to implement the requirements of the Protocol and COP-MOP decisions on identification and documentation requirements for living modified organisms	All shipments of living modified organisms intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing, contained use or intentional introduction into the environment are identified through accompanying documentation in accordance with the requirements of the Protocol and COP-MOP decisions	 Percentage of Parties that put in place documentation requirements for living modified organisms intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing Percentage of Parties that put in place documentation requirements for living modified organisms for contained use and for intentional introduction into the environment

Strategic Objective	Expected Impacts	Operational Objectives	Outcomes	Indicators
		1.5 To adopt and implement rules and procedures in the field of liability and redress for damage resulting from transboundary movements of living modified organisms	Each Party takes administrative and legal measures necessary to implement, at the domestic level, the rules and procedures on liability and redress	 Entry into force of the international rules and procedures on liability and redress prior to the seventh meeting of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol Percentage of Parties to the Supplementary Protocol on Liability and Redress having in place domestic administrative and legal frameworks incorporating rules and procedures on liability and redress for damage caused by living modified organisms
		1.6 To clarify the socio- economic considerations that may be taken into account in reaching decisions on import of living modified organisms	 Guidelines regarding socio- economic considerations of living modified organisms developed and used by Parties Socio-economic considerations applied, where appropriate, by Parties 	 Number of Parties reporting on their approaches to taking socioeconomic considerations into account Number of Parties reporting on their experiences in taking socio-economic considerations into account in reaching decisions on import of living modified organisms Number of Parties using the guidelines on socio-economic considerations
		1.7 To develop tools and guidance that facilitate the implementation of the Protocol's provisions on transit, contained use, unintentional transboundary movements and emergency measures	 Parties enabled to manage LMOs in transit Guidance developed to assist Parties to detect and take measures to respond to unintentional releases of living modified organisms 	 Percentage of Parties having in place measures to manage LMOs in transit Percentage of Parties having in place measures for contained use Percentage of Parties using the guidance to detect occurrence of unintentional releases of living modified organisms and being able to take appropriate response measures

Strategic Objective	Expected Impacts	Operational Objectives	Outcomes	Indicators
2. To further develop and strengthen the capacity of Parties to implement the Protocol	Effective and efficient regulatory, administrative frameworks established by Parties for the implementation of the Protocol Full use of information exchange systems More transparent and expeditious decision-making Necessary mechanisms put in place to enable Parties to make science-based risk assessments Increased safety in the transfer, handling and use of living modified organisms	2.1 To put in place effective mechanisms for developing, coordinating, financing and monitoring capacity-building	 Improved understanding of the capacity-building needs of developing country Parties and Parties with economies in transition A cohesive approach and effective mechanisms established for biosafety capacity-building Parties have adequate and predictable financial and technical resources to enable them to implement their obligations under the Protocol in an integrated and sustainable manner National biosafety capacity-building strategies and action plans by each Party in place and implemented Existing resources and opportunities leveraged and more effectively used Improved coordination and collaboration between Parties and entities implementing or funding biosafety capacity-building efforts 	 national biosafety capacity-building action plans for implementing the Protocol Percentage of the Parties that have in place training programmes for personnel dealing with biosafety issues and for long-term training of biosafety professionals Percentage of Parties that have in place national coordination mechanisms for biosafety capacity-building initiatives Amount of new and additional financial resources mobilized for the implementation of the Protocol Number of Parties that have predictable and reliable funding for strengthening their capacity in implementing the Protocol Number of Parties that use resources effectively and assessing their contribution to the implementation of the Action plane.

Strategic Objective	Expected Impacts	Operational Objectives	Outcomes	Indicators
		2.2 To enable all Parties to have operational national biosafety frameworks in place for the implementation of the Protocol	 Decisions regarding the safety of a living modified organism are based on established regulatory and administrative rules consistent with the Protocol Biosafety issues and the implementation of the Biosafety Protocol are integrated into relevant sectors 	 Percentage of Parties that have designated national focal points and competent national authorities Number of Parties that have in place national biosafety legislation and implementing guidelines not more than 6 years after accession to/ratification of the Protocol Percentage of the Parties that have in place administrative rules and procedures for handling notifications and requests for approval of imports or release of LMOs
		2.3 To enable Parties to carry out risk assessments and establish capacities to regulate, manage and control risks of LMOs	 Resources, including human resources required to assess risks of living modified organisms are available and administrative mechanisms are in place Training materials and technical guidance on risk assessment and risk management developed and used by Parties Infrastructure and administrative mechanisms established for the management of risks of living modified organisms at national, subregional or regional level 	 Ratio of risk assessment summary reports as against number of decisions on LMOs on the BCH Number of risk assessment summary reports in the BCH that are in accordance with the Protocol Number of people trained on risk assessment, as well as in monitoring, management and control of LMOs Number of Parties that have infrastructure, including laboratories for monitoring, management and control available

Strategic Objective	Expected Impacts	Operational Objectives	Outcomes	Indicators
		2.4 To develop capacity for handling, transport, packaging and identification of living modified organisms	 Customs/border officials are able to enforce the implementation of the Protocol's requirements related to handling, transport, packaging and identification of living modified organisms Personnel are trained and equipped for sampling, detection and identification of LMOs 	 Number of customs officers and laboratory personnel trained Percentage of Parties that have established or have reliable access to detection laboratories
		2.5 To assist Parties to the Protocol in their efforts to establish and apply the rules and procedures on liability and redress for damage resulting from the transboundary movements of living modified organisms	An institutional mechanism or process identified or established to facilitate the implementation of the international rules and procedures on liability and redress at the national level	 Number of eligible Parties that received capacity building support in the area of liability and redress involving living modified organisms Number of domestic administrative or legal instruments identified, amended or newly enacted that fulfill the objective of the international rules and procedures in the field liability and redress
		2.6 To enhance capacity at the national, regional and international levels that would facilitate efforts to raise public awareness, and promote education and participation concerning the safe transfer, handling and use of LMOs	 Parties have access to guidance and training materials on public awareness, education and participation concerning the safe transfer, handling and use of LMOs Parties are enabled to promote and facilitate public awareness, education and participation in biosafety 	 Percentage of Parties having in place mechanisms for ensuring public participation in decision-making concerning LMOs not later than 6 years after accession to/ratification of the Protocol Percentage of Parties that inform their public about existing modalities for participation Number of Parties' authorities with a network of biosafety education and communication experts Number of Parties having in place national websites and searchable archives, national resource centres or sections in existing national libraries dedicated to biosafety

Strategic Objective	Expected Impacts	Operational Objectives	Outcomes	Indicators
				educational materials
		2.7 To ensure that the BCH is easily accessed by all established stakeholders, in particular in developing countries and countries with economies in transition	• Increased access to information in the BCH and sharing of information through the BCH by users in developing countries and countries with economies in transition	 Number of submissions to the BCH from developing countries and countries with economies in transition Amount of traffic to the BCH from developing countries and countries with economies in transition
			• Information on the BCH is easily accessible to stakeholders including the general public	
			• Tools to facilitate implementation of the Protocol are easily accessible through the BCH	
		2.8 To promote education and training of biosafety professionals through greater coordination and	A sustainable pool of biosafety professionals with various competencies available at national/international levels	 Number of academic institutions by region offering biosafety education and training courses and programmes Number of biosafety training materials and online modules available
		collaboration among academic institutions and relevant organizations	• Improved biosafety education and training programmes	
			 Increased exchange of information, training materials and staff and students exchange programmes among academic institutions and relevant organizations 	
3. To expand the reach of the Protocol and promote cooperation	Increased political support for the implementation of the Protocol	3.1 To achieve global recognition of the Protocol	All Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity become Parties to the Protocol	Percentage of Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity that become Parties to the Protocol

Strategic Objective	Expected Impacts	Operational Objectives	Outcomes Indi	cators	
	Increased support from and collaboration with relevant organizations, conventions and initiatives for the implementation of the Protocol	3.2 To enhance international cooperation and collaboration in biosafety	 Official relationships established with secretariats of other conventions and organizations Secretariat of the CBD invited as an observer to WTO SPS Committee Number of establishe other conventions Number of meetings, activities between SO other conventions and 	consultations and joint CBD and secretariats of	
		of the Protocol 3.3	3.3 To raise the profile of the Protocol	 Outreach services of the Protocol enhanced among relevant national and international stakeholders All Parties have designed and implemented education and communication strategies Number of national a programmes on biosa Percentage of Parties national communication adopted national biosafety not later that adopted national biosafety 	fety that have in place on strategies on n 3 year after having
			 Biosafety issues and Protocol activities are regularly covered by local as well as international media Percentage of Parties national biosafety we BCH nodes that are a searchable by the pub. 	bsites, including national ccessible to and	
			 Increased understanding of the relationship between the Protocol and the CBD and other biosafety-related agreements Number of Parties wire educational materials Protocol available and including the diversit 	on biosafety and the l accessible to the public,	

Strategic Objective	Expected Impacts	Operational Objectives	Outcomes	Indicators
4. To achieve compliance with and effectiveness of the Protocol	Parties are in compliance with the requirements of the Protocol	4.1 To strengthen the mechanisms for achieving compliance	 Each Party regularly monitors the implementation of its obligations under the Protocol and submits complete and timely national reports The Compliance Committee is able to thoroughly review the implementation of obligations by Parties and to propose appropriate measures Supportive role of the Compliance Committee is improved All Parties able to enforce their regulatory frameworks and decisions Sufficient financial resources are allocated to compliance 	 Number of Parties that have identified and addressed their non-compliance issues Number of Parties having approved and functional national biosafety framework Percentage of Parties that designated all National Focal points Number of Parties having in place a system for handling requests Percentage of Parties that published all mandatory information via BCH Number of Parties having in place a monitoring and enforcement system
		4.2 To improve the effectiveness of the Protocol, including through regular assessment and review processes	 Assessment and review of the Protocol, including its procedures and annexes, are undertaken on a regular basis The Protocol, including its procedures and annexes, is adapted by Parties if new challenges are brought about by new developments in the field of modern biotechnology Improved reporting by Parties 	 Number of assessment reports submitted and reviews published Number of Parties modifying their national biosafety frameworks with the aim of adapting to new biosafety challenges Number of national reports received under each reporting cycle Number of subsidiary bodies established by COP/MOP for the implementation of the Protocol

Strategic Objective	Expected Impacts	Operational Objectives	Outcomes	Indicators
5. To enhance the availability and exchange of relevant information through the Biosafety Clearing- House	Transparency in the development and use of LMOs Informed decision making Enhanced public awareness of biosafety Increased compliance with	5.1 To increase the amount and quality of information submitted to and retrieved from the BCH	 The BCH is recognized as the most authoritative repository of information on biosafety Information submitted to the BCH is accurate, complete and timely A larger number of countries submit and retrieve information Risk assessment reports are shared in a timely manner through the BCH Facilitated access to resources and experiences related to biosafety 	 Ratio of risk assessment summary reports as against number of decisions on LMOs Number of publications contained in the Biosafety Information Resource Centre (BIRC) Amount of traffic to the BCH Number of references to the BCH Number of Parties with focal points registered on the BCH Number of non-Parties having published biosafety laws and/or regulations on the BCH Number of AIA/domestic decisions available through BCH
	compliance with national and international requirements	5.2 To establish the BCH as a fully functional and effective platform for assisting countries in the implementation of the Protocol	 Countries are better equipped with tools made available through the BCH The BCH principles of inclusiveness, transparency and equity are applied consistently Protocol discussions and negotiating processes facilitated through the BCH Increased awareness of the BCH in different stakeholder groups and regions 	 Number of online discussions and real-time conferences carried out through the BCH platform Number of participants and percentage of Parties participating in online discussions and real-time conferences on the BCH Number of capacity building activities aimed to increase the transparency, inclusiveness and equity of participation in the BCH

Annex II

MULTI-YEAR PROGRAMME OF WORK OF THE CONFERENCE OF THE PARTIES SERVING AS THE MEETING OF THE PARTIES TO THE CARTAGENA PROTOCOL ON BIOSAFETY UP TO 2020

1.	Standing Items:	
	(a)	Matters relating to the financial mechanism and resources;
	(b)	Report of the Executive Secretary on the administration of the Protocol;
secretai	(c) riat servi	Programme of work and budget for the Secretariat as regards its costs of distinct ces for the Protocol;
	(d)	Report of the Compliance Committee.
2. inter al	The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol may consider <i>clia</i> , the following items:	
2.1	Sixth meeting:	
	(a)	
2.2	Seventh meeting	
	(a)	
2.3	Eighth	meeting
	(a)	
2.4	Ninth meeting	
	(a)	
2.5	Tenth meeting	
	(a)	