



CONVENTION ON BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY

Distr. GENERAL

UNEP/CBD/WG-ABS/4/INF/12 17 January 2006

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

AD HOC OPEN-ENDED WORKING GROUP ON ACCESS AND BENEFIT -SHARING Fourth meeting Granada, Spain, 30 January to 3 February 2005 Item 8 of the agenda*

MEASURES TO SUPPORT COMPLIANCE WITH PRIOR INFORMED CONSENT OF THE CONTRACTING PARTY PROVIDING GENETIC RESOURCES AND MUTUALLY AGREED TERMS ON WHICH ACCESS WAS GRANTED IN CONTRACTING PARTIES WITH USERS OF SUCH RESOURCES UNDER THEIR JURIS DICTION

Note by the Executive Secretary

The present document contains the full text of a submission from Switzerland received after the finalisation and distribution of the note by the Executive Secretary on "Measures to support compliance with prior informed consent of the contracting party providing genetic resources and mutually agreed terms on which access was granted in contracting parties with users of such resources under their jurisdiction" (UNEP/CBD/WG-ABS/4/5). The submission relates to section 3 of the document on "Issues related to disclosure of origin/source/legal provenance of genetic resources and associated traditional knowledge in applications for intellectual property rights identified by Parties". The submission contains Switzerland's proposals regarding the declaration of the source of genetic resources and traditional knowledge in patent applications.

The submission is being circulated in the form and language in which it was received by the Secretariat.

* UNEP/CBD/WGABS/4/1.

/...

SWITZERLAND'S PROPOSALS REGARDING THE DECLARATION OF THE SOURCE OF GENETIC RESOURCES AND TRADITIONAL KNOWLEDGE IN PATENT APPLICATIONS

Table of contents

l. (Overview	3
II.	SUMMARY OF THE PROPOSALS BY SWITZERLAND	4
1)	Policy objectives	4
2)	Amendment of the Patent Cooperation Treaty and the Patent Law Treaty	4
3)	Use of terms	
<i>á</i>)	Concept of the "source" of genetic resources and traditional knowledgeknowledge	5
5)	Scope of the obligation to declare the source	5
<i>6</i>)	Optional vs. mandatory introduction of the requirement at the national level	
7)	Sanctions	6
8)	Establishment of a list of government agencies competent to receive information on declaratio	n o
so	urce	7
III.	C ONCLUSIONS	7
ANNE	ANNEX I: DOCUMENTS BY SWITZERLAND ON ITS PROPOSALS	
ANNE	ANNEX II: SWITZERLAND'S PROPOSALS FOR AMENDMENTS TO PCT-REGULATIONS	

I. Overview

The seventh Conference of the Parties (COP-7) of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) mandated the Ad Hoc Open-Ended Working Group on Access and Benefit Sharing (Working Group on ABS) "to elaborate and negotiate an international regime on access to genetic resources and benefit-sharing with the aim of adopting an instrument\instruments to effectively implement the provisions in Article 15 and Article 8(j) of the Convention and the three objectives of the Convention." The Terms of Reference for the Working Group on ABS list as one element to be considered for inclusion in the international regime the "disclosure of origin/source/legal provenance of genetic resources and associated traditional knowledge in applications for intellectual property rights." In paragraph 5 of Recommendation 3/4, the Working Group on ABS invited Parties to identify issues related to disclosure of origin/source/legal provenance of genetic resources and associated traditional knowledge in applications for intellectual property rights, and to submit this information to the Executive Secretary.³ Paragraph 7 of the same Recommendation requested the Executive Secretary to compile pertinent documentation circulated in other relevant forums, in particular recent proposals submitted by Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity, and to make it available, among others, to the fourth meeting of the Working Group on ABS.

This information document contains a summary of the proposals submitted by Switzerland to the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) regarding the declaration of the source of genetic resources and traditional knowledge in patent applications.⁴ In summary, the proposed amendments to WIPO's Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) would explicitly enable the national legislator to require patent applicants to declare the source of genetic resources and the

¹ Decision VII/19, Section D, para. 1.

Decision VII/19, Section D, Annex, subpara. (d)(xiv).

Decision VII/19, Section D, Annex, subpara. (d)(xiv).

These proposals are contained in the following WIPO-documents:

⁻ PCT/R/WG/4/13 and, with identical contents, PCT/R/WG/5/11/Rev. (available a www.wipo.int/pct/en/meetings/reform_wg/pdf/pct_r_wg_5_11_rev.pdf)

⁻ PCT/R/WG/6/11 (available at <www.wipo.int/pct/en/meetings/reform_wg/pdf/pct_r_wg_6_11.pdf>), and

⁻ PCT/R/WG/7 Paper No. 7 (available at <www.wipo.int/pct/reform/en/draftdocs/wg7/pct_r_wg_7_paper_7.pdf>) .

associated traditional knowledge in patent applications, if the invention is directly based on the resources or knowledge in question. The proposals would also grant applicants the possibility of satisfying this requirement at the time of filing an international patent application, or later during the international phase. This declaration of source would be included in the publication of the international patent application in order to render it accessible to the public at the earliest stage possible.

For information purposes, Switzerland also presented the three submissions on its proposals to the TRIPS Council, and to the WIPO-Intergovernmental Committee on Intellectual Property and Genetic Resources, Traditional Knowledge and Folklore (IGC). Furthermore, Switzerland presented a submission summarizing its proposals to the 3rd session of the Working Group on ABS.

II. Summary of the Proposals by Switzerland

1) Policy objectives

In the view of Switzerland, the proposed disclosure of the source allows to achieve four policy objectives: These concern transparency, traceability, technical prior art and mutual trust (in short, "the four T's"):

- 1. **Transparency**: With a requirement in national and international patent applications to disclose the source, the patent system would increase transparency in access and benefit sharing with regard to genetic resources and traditional knowledge.
- 2. **Traceability**: Disclosing the source in patent applications would allow the providers of genetic resources and traditional knowledge to keep track of the use of their resources or knowledge in research and development resulting in patentable inventions.
- 3. **Technical prior art**: Disclosing the source of genetic resources and traditional knowledge in patent applications would assist patent examiners and judges in the establishment of prior art with regard to inventions that somehow relate to these resources or this knowledge. This applies in particular to prior art regarding traditional knowledge, as disclosing the source would simplify searching the databases on traditional knowledge that are increasingly being established at the local, regional and national level.
- 4. **Mutual Trust**: The disclosure of the source would increase mutual trust among the various stakeholders involved in access and benefit sharing, including among developing and developed countries, indigenous and local communities, private companies and research institutions. All of these stakeholders may be providers and/or users of genetic resources and traditional knowledge. Accordingly, disclosing the source would build mutual trust in the North South relationship. Moreover, it would strengthen the mutual supportiveness between the access and benefit sharing system and the patent system.

2) Amendment of the Patent Cooperation Treaty and the Patent Law Treaty

Switzerland proposes to amend the PCT Regulations to explicitly enable the Contracting Parties of the PCT to require patent applicants, upon or after entry of the international application into the national phase of the PCT procedure, to declare the source of genetic resources and/or traditional knowledge, if an invention is directly based on such resource or knowledge. Furthermore, Switzerland proposes to afford applicants the possibility of satisfying this requirement at the time of

See WTO-documents IP/C/W/400/Rev.1 (available at <www.ige.ch/E/jurinfo/documents/IP-C-W-400.pdf>), IP/C/W/423 (available at http://docsonline.wto.org/DDFDocuments/t/IP/C/W423.doc), and IP/C/W/433 (available at www.ige.ch/E/jurinfo/documents/j110114e.pdf).

See WIPO-document WIPO/GRTKF/IC/7/INF/5 (available at <www.wipo.int/edocs/mdocs/tk/en/wipo_grtkf_ic_7/wipo_grtkf_ic_7_inf_5.pdf>).

See CBD-document UNEP/CBD/WG-ABS/3/INF/7 (available at <www.biodiv.org/doc/meetings/abs/abswg-03/information/abswg-03-inf-07-en.pdf>).

filing an international patent application or later during the international phase, and to include the declaration of the source in the international publication of the patent application containing such a declaration. In case an international patent application does not contain the required declaration, national law may foresee that in the national phase the application is not processed any further until the patent applicant has furnished the required declaration.

Based on the reference to the PCT contained in Article 6.1 of WIPO's Patent Law Treaty (PLT), the proposed amendment to the PCT would also apply to the PLT. Accordingly, the Contracting Parties of the PLT would also explicitly enable to require in their national patent laws that patent applicants declare the source of genetic resources and/or traditional knowledge in national patent applications.

3) Use of terms

The Swiss proposals use the terms "genetic resources" and "traditional knowledge related to genetic resources" to ensure consistency with the CBD, the Bonn Guidelines on Access to Genetic Resources and Fair and Equitable Sharing of the Benefits Arising Out of Their Utilization (Bonn Guidelines), and the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (International Treaty) of the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). As a measure under patent law, the focus is on traditional knowledge that can give rise to a technical invention.

4) Concept of the "source" of genetic resources and traditional knowledge

Switzerland proposes to require patent applicants to declare the "source" of genetic resources and traditional knowledge. The term "source" should be understood in its broadest sense possible. This is because according to the international instrument referred to above, a multitude of entities may be involved in access and benefit sharing.

In the foreground to be declared as the source is the entity competent (1) to grant access to genetic resources and/or traditional knowledge or (2) to participate in the sharing of the benefits arising out of their utilization.

Depending on the genetic resource or traditional knowledge in question, one can distinguish:

- Primary sources, including in particular Contracting Parties providing genetic resources,
 the Multilateral System of FAO's International Treaty, indigenous and local communities;
 and
- 2. secondary sources, including in particular ex situ collections and scientific literature.

Accordingly, there is a "cascade" of possible primary and secondary sources: Patent applicants must declare the primary source to fulfil the requirement, if they have information about this primary source at hand, whereas a secondary source may only be declared if patent applicants have no information at hand about the primary source. Accordingly, if, for example, the patent applicant knows that the source of a genetic resource is the Contracting Party providing this resource, this Contracting Party must be disclosed as the source; in contrast, if the patent applicant received the genetic resource from a botanical garden, but does not know the Contracting Party providing the genetic resource, the botanical garden must be disclosed as the source.

5) Scope of the obligation to declare the source

With regard to genetic resources, the proposed new Rule 51 bis.1(g)(i) of the PCT Regulations makes clear that

1. the invention must make immediate use of the genetic resource, that is, depend on the specific properties of this resource; and

⁸ See Articles 15, 16 and 19 CBD.

⁹ See Articles 10-13 FAO International Treaty.

¹⁰ See Article 8(j) CBD.

2. the inventor must have had physical access to this resource, that is, its possession or at least contact which is sufficient enough to identify the properties of the genetic resource relevant for the invention.

With regard to traditional knowledge, the proposed new Rule 51 bis.1(g)(ii) of the PCT Regulations makes clear that the inventor must know that the invention is directly based on such knowledge, that is, the inventor must consciously derive the invention from this knowledge.

6) Optional vs. mandatory introduction of the requirement at the national level

Switzerland proposes to amend the PCT-Regulations to explicitly enable the national patent legislation to require the declaration of the source of genetic resources and traditional knowledge in patent applications. The proposals thus leave it up to the national legislator to decide whether such a requirement is to be introduced in the national patent legislation.

The optional approach by Switzerland intends to offer four main advantages:

- At present, greatly divergent views exist on transparency measures, and the ongoing discussions have not brought any final results. Much faster progress, however, can be expected from an optional approach as is proposed by Switzerland, than can be expected from any mandatory approach.
- 2. An optional introduction of the disclosure requirement would enable those States interested in introducing such a requirement to do so. Additionally, it would allow the national governments and the international community to gain experience with the disclosure requirement, without prejudice to further international efforts.
- 3. The proposed establishment of the list of competent government agencies described below, and the inclusion of the declaration of the source in the publication of the patent application, would bring almost identical results as a mandatory approach. It is important to note that Switze rland and most European countries plan to introduce a disclosure requirement in their national patent laws. This would create the critical mass to render the proposed disclosure of the source an effective measure.
- 4. The approach proposed by Switzerland would not oblige developing countries, especially the least developed countries, to introduce the disclosure requirement in their national laws. Introducing such a requirement would generally bring little advantage to these countries. In contrast, a mandatory approach would oblige all countries to introduce such a requirement in their national patent laws.

It is crucial to keep in mind that once the disclosure requirement as proposed by Switzerland is implemented at the national level, it is mandatory for patent applicants to disclose the source in patent applications. Failure to disclose or wrongful disclosure would carry the severe sanctions outlined below. In this regard, the Swiss proposals are of a mandatory and not of a voluntary nature.

7) Sanctions

In the view of Switzerland, the sanctions currently allowed for under the PCT and the PLT should apply to failure to declare the source or wrongful declaration of the source of genetic resources and traditional knowledge in patent applications.

Accordingly, if the national law applicable by the designated Office requires the declaration of the source of genetic resources and traditional knowledge, the proposed amended Rule 51*bis*.3(a) of the PCT Regulations requires the designated Office to invite the applicant, at the beginning of the national phase, to comply with this requirement within a time limit which shall not be less than two months from the date of the invitation. If the patent applicant does not comply with this invitation within the set time limit, the designated Office may refuse the application or consider it withdrawn

For more information on the draft for a revised Swiss Patent Law with regard to the declaration of the source of genetic resources and traditional knowledge in patent applications, see <www.ige.ch/E/jurinfo/j100.shtm> and <www.ige.ch/E/jurinfo/documents/j10017e.pdf>.

on the grounds of this non-compliance. If, however, the applicant submitted with the international application or later during the international phase the proposed declaration containing standardized wording relating to the declaration of the source, the designated Office must according to the proposed new Rule 51 *bis*.2(d) accept this declaration and may not require any further document or evidence relating to the source declared, unless it may reasonably doubt the veracity of the declaration concerned.

Furthermore, if it is discovered after the granting of a patent that the applicant failed to declare the source or submitted false information, such failure to comply with the requirement may not be a ground for revocation or invalidation of the granted patent, except in the case of fraudulent intention (Article 10 PLT). However, other sanctions provided for in national law, including criminal sanctions such as fines, may be imposed.

8) Establishment of a list of government agencies competent to receive information on declaration of source

The proposed transparency measure could be further strengthened by establishing a list of government agencies competent to receive information about patent applications containing a declaration of the source of genetic resources and/or traditional knowledge. For easy reference, this list should be made accessible on the internet. Patent offices receiving patent applications containing such declaration could inform the competent government agency that the respective State is declared as the source. This information could be provided in a standardized letter sent to the competent government agency. Switzerland therefore invited WIPO, in close collaboration with the CBD, to further consider the possible establishment of such a list of competent government agencies.

III. Conclusions

In the view of Switzerland, the proposed amendments to the PCT present one simple and practical solution to the issues arising in the context of access to genetic resources and traditional knowledge and the fair and equitable sharing of the benefits arising out of their utilization. These amendments could be introduced in a timely manner and would not require extensive changes to the provisions of relevant international agreements.

Disclosing the source can be seen as the "entering point" of the access and benefit sharing in the patent system. In this way, disclosing the source would help to build mutual trust in the North – South – relationship. Moreover, it would strengthen the mutual supportiveness between the access and benefit sharing system and the patent system.

The proposed declaration of the source of genetic resources and traditional knowledge in patent applications would allow States that are party to a contract on access and benefit sharing to verify whether the other contracting party is complying with its obligations arising under that contract. This transparency measure would not only assist in and simplify the enforcement of these obligations, but would also allow to verify whether prior informed consent (PIC) of the country providing the genetic resources has been obtained and whether provisions have been made for fair and equitable benefit sharing.

The proposals made by Switzerland would thus enable the Contracting Parties of relevant international agreements, including the CBD, the International Treaty of FAO, the PCT, the PLT and the TRIPS Agreement, to fulfil their respective obligations. This applies in particular to Articles 8(j), 15.4, 15.5, 15.7 and 16.5 of the CBD. Furthermore, the Swiss proposals would enable the Contracting Parties of the CBD to implement the provisions of the Bonn Guidelines, in particular their paragraph 16(d), as well as several of the decisions adopted by COP6 and COP7. And finally, the possibility to require the declaration of the source would also support the determination of prior art with regard to traditional knowledge, as it would simplify searching the databases on traditional knowledge that are increasingly being established at the local, regional and national level.

Annex I: Documents by Switzerland on Its Proposals

With regard to its proposals, Switzerland submitted the following documents to WIPO:12

1. **English**: Proposals by Switzerland Regarding the Declaration of the Source of Genetic Resources and Traditional Knowledge in Patent Applications, WIPO documents PCT/R/WG/4/13 and, with identical contents, PCT/R/WG/5/11 Rev.

http://www.wipo.int/pct/en/meetings/reform_wg/pdf/pct_r_wg_5_11_rev.pdf

Français: Propositions de la Suisse en ce qui concerne la déclaration de la source des ressources génétiques et des savoirs traditionnels dans les demandes de brevet, OMPI document PCT/R/WG/5/11

http://www.wipo.int/pct/fr/meetings/reform-wg/pdf/pct-r-wg-5-11.pdf

Español: Propuestas de suiza relativas a la declaración de la fuente de los recursos genéticos y los conocimientos tradicionales en las solicitudes de patentes, anexo al documento OMC IP/C/W/400/Rev.1 (pagina 16ff)

http://docsonline.wto.org/DDFDocuments/v/IP/C/W400R1.do

2. **English**: Additional Comments by Switzerland on Its Proposals Regarding the Declaration of the Source of Genetic Resources and Traditional Knowledge in Patent Applications, WIPO document PCT/R/WG/6/11

http://www.wipo.int/pct/en/meetings/reform_wg/pdf/pct_r_wg_6_11.pdf

Français: Observations supplémentaires de la Suisse portant sur les propositions concernant la déclaration de la source des ressources génétiques et des savoirs traditionnels dans les demandes de brevet document OMPI PCT/R/WG/6/11

http://www.wipo.int/pct/fr/meetings/reform_wg/pdf/pct_r_wg_6_11.pdf

Español: Observaciones adicionales de Suiza sobre sus propuestas presentadas a la OMPI en relación con la declaración de la fuente de los recursos genéticos y los conocimientos tradicionales en las solicitudes de patentes, documento OMC IP/C/W/423 http://docsonline.wto.org/DDFDocuments/v/IP/C/W423.doc

 English: Further Observations by Switzerland on Its Proposals Regarding the Declaration of the Source of Genetic Resources and Traditional Knowledge in Patent Applications, WIPO document PCT/R/WG/7/9

<www.wipo.int/edocs/mdocs/pct/en/pct_r_wg_7/pct_r_wg_7_9.doc>

Français: Observations supplémentaires de la Suisse portant sur les propositions concernant la déclaration de la source des ressources génétiques et des savoirs traditionnels dans les demandes de brevet, document OMPI PCT/R/WG/7/9

http://www.wipo.int/edocs/mdocs/pct/fr/pct r wg 7/pct r wg 7 9.doo

Español: Nuevas observaciones de Suiza sobre sus propuestas relativas a la declaración de la fuente de los recursos genéticos y los conocimientos tradicionales en las solicitudes de patentes, documento OMC IP/C/W/433

http://docsonline.wto.org/DDFDocuments/v/IP/C/W433.doc

-

Switzerland presented the three submissions on its proposals to the Working Group on PCT Reform. For information purposes, it also presented these submissions to the WTO's TRIPS Council and WIPO's IGC. Documents of the Working Group on PCT Reform are available in English and French only, whereas documents of the TRIPS Council are additionally available in Spanish. Accordingly, the list of documents to follow refers to documents of WIPO and the WTO in order to provide access to the submissions in English, French and Spanish. All documents referred to, however, have identical contents.

Annex II: Switzerland's Proposals for Amendments to PCT-Regulations¹³

Rule 4: The Request (Contents)

Rule 4.17: Declarations Relating to National Requirements Referred to in Rule 51bis.1(a)(i) to (v) and Rule 51bis.1(g)

The request may, for the purposes of the national law applicable in one or more designated States, contain one or more of the following declarations, worded as prescribed by the Administrative Instructions:

(vi) a declaration as to the source of a specific genetic resource and/or traditional knowledge related to genetic resources, as referred to in Rule 51 bis.1(g).

Rule 48: International Publication

Rule 48.2: Contents

- (a) The pamphlet shall contain:
 - (xi) any declaration referred to in Rule 4.17(vi), and any correction under Rule 26ter.1, which was received by the International Bureau before the expiration of the time limit under Rule 26ter.1.

Rule 51bis: Certain National Requirements Allowed Under Article 27

Rule 51bis.1: Certain National Requirements Allowed

- (g) Subject to Rule 51 bis.2, the national law applicable by the designated Office may, in accordance with Article 27, require the applicant to furnish:
 - (i) a declaration as to the source of a specific genetic resource to which the inventor has had access, if the invention is directly based on such a resource;
 - (ii) a declaration as to the source of traditional knowledge related to genetic resources, if the inventor knows that the invention is directly based on such knowledge;
 - (iii) a declaration that the source referred to in (i) or (ii) is unknown to the inventor or applicant, if this is the case.

Rule 51 bis.2: Circumstances in Which Documents or Evidence May Not Be Required

- (d) Where the applicable national law requires the applicant to furnish a declaration as to the source (Rule 51bis.1(g)), the designated Office shall not, unless it may reasonably doubt the veracity of the declaration concerned, require any document or evidence:
 - (i) relating to the source of a specific genetic resource (Rule 51 bis.1(g)(i) and (iii)) if, in accordance with Rule 4.17(vi), such declaration is contained in the request or is submitted directly to the designated Office;
 - (ii) relating to the source of traditional knowledge related to genetic resources, (Rule 51bis.1(g)(ii) and (iii)) if, in accordance with Rule 4.17(vi), such declaration is contained in the request or is submitted directly to the designated Office.

Rule 51 bis.3: Opportunity to Comply with National Requirements

/...

Wording of the proposed amendments is underlined.

(a) Where any of the requirements referred to in Rule 51bis.1(a)(i) to (iv), and (c) to (e), and (g), [...] is not already fulfilled during the same period within which the requirements under if Article 22 must be complied with, the designated Office circumstances, shall invite the applicant to comply with the requirement within a time limit which shall not be less than two months from the date of the invitation. [...].
